Government Department Strategies Index Handbook He Puna Rautaki

as at 31 December 2023





Government Department Strategies Index Handbook He Puna Rautaki

GDSs in operation as at 31 December 2023



Title Government Department Strategies Index Handbook – He Puna Rautaki

Author McGuinness Institute

Citation Please cite this publication as:

McGuinness Institute. (December 2024). Government Department Strategies Index Handbook

- He Puna Rautaki. Retrieved from www.mcguinnessinstitute.org

ISBN 978-1-99-106559-9 (PDF) ISBN 978-1-99-106559-9 (paperback)

This document is available at www.mcguinnessinstitute.org and may be

reproduced or cited provided the source is acknowledged.

Research team

2023/24 Wendy McGuinness, Patrick Shonakan, Molly Woods, Arne Larsen, Eilish Cartysquires

and Mani McDougall

2021/22 Thomas Augustowicz, Reuben Brady, Eilish Cartysquires, Gemma Coutts, Madison Crisp,

Anna Kissock, Arne Larsen and Patrick Shonakan

2018/19 Reuben Brady, Wei Kai Chen, Holly Diepraam, Madeleine Foreman, Isabella Smith

and Freya Tearney

2014/15 Annie McGuinness, Renata Mokena-Lodge, George Spittle, Karri Shaw

and Hannah Steiner-Mitchell

Designers Dana King, Lauren Hynd and Lucy Roughan

Editor Caren Wilton and Johanna Knox

For further McGuinness Institute information Phone (04) 499 8888

Level 1A, 15 Allen Street

PO Box 24222 Wellington 6011 New Zealand

www.mcguinnessinstitute.org

Disclaimer The McGuinness Institute has taken reasonable care in collecting and presenting the

information provided in this publication. However, the Institute makes no representation or endorsement that this resource will be relevant or appropriate for its readers' purposes and does not guarantee the accuracy of the information at any particular time for any particular purpose. The Institute is not liable for any adverse consequences, whether they be direct or indirect, arising from reliance on the content of this publication. Where this publication contains links to any website or other source, such links are provided solely for information purposes, and the Institute is not liable for the content of such website or other source.

Publishing The McGuinness Institute is grateful for the work of Creative Commons, which inspired

our approach to copyright. This work is available under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 New Zealand Licence.

To view a copy of this licence visit:

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/nz

Printed in New Zealand by Wakefields Digital. This print run, 10 December 2024.

This document is printed on Advance Laser uncoated paper which is an environmentally responsible paper produced using Elemental chlorine Free (ECF) pulp soured from Sustainable & Legally Harvested Farmed Trees, and manufactured under the strict ISO14001 Environmental

Management System. The cover is printed on 400 gsm splendorgel.

| We've got no money, so we've got | to think. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | — New Zealand physicist, Ernest Rutherford |
| | nto account what is and is not practically e distant from those on whom it will ultimately bened in the UK. |
| | — UK COVID-19 Inquiry Module 1 (2024) (p. 74) |

One of the key findings of that 2013 [Good to Great] report was that the best performing agencies are clear about their role and purpose, enjoy strong internal leadership, and as a result can enlist the active support of all those outside the agency who are necessary to that agency, delivering the most it can for New Zealanders.

 lain Rennie, State Services Commissioner (now Secretary and Chief Executive to the Treasury), cited in Getting to Great (2014) (p. i)

One day some historian will look back and say how remarkable it was that these strange folk who called themselves 'Americans' [read New Zealanders] ever governed themselves at all, given how they went about it. Inside the government were all these little boxes. The boxes had been created to address specific problems as they arose. 'How to ensure our food is safe to eat,' for instance, or 'how to avoid a run on the banks,' or 'how to prevent another terrorist attack.' Each box was given to people with knowledge and talent and expertise useful to its assigned problem and, over time, those people created a culture around the problem, distinct from the cultures in the other little boxes. Each box became its own small, frozen world, with little ability to adapt and little interest in whatever might be going on inside the other boxes. People who complained about 'government waste' usually fixated on the other ways taxpayer money got spent. But here was the real waste. One box might contain the solution to a problem in another box, or the person who might find that solution, and the second box would never know about it.

- Michael Lewis, *The Premonition* (2021) (pp. 78–79)

Not just an extraordinary adviser, but an extraordinary do-er. Endlessly intellectually curious. Always looking for the answer. Never stopping until he found the solution to the knottiest of problems. Whatever the issue was, Jeremy [Heywood] would sort it ... the greatest public servant of our time.

- Theresa May, cited in *What does Jeremy think?* (2021) (p. 521)

Table of Contents

| 2023 GDS Index: By the numbers | б |
|---|-----|
| Preface | 7 |
| Introduction | 8 |
| Government Department Strategies (GDSs) | |
| 1. Crown Law Office | 10 |
| 2. Department of Conservation | 11 |
| 3. Department of Corrections | 33 |
| 4. Department of Internal Affairs | 41 |
| 5. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | 53 |
| 6. Education Review Office | 60 |
| 7. Government Communications Security Bureau | 62 |
| 8. Inland Revenue Department | 63 |
| 9. Land Information New Zealand | 65 |
| 10. Ministry for Culture and Heritage | 71 |
| 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples | 72 |
| 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | 77 |
| 13. Ministry for the Environment | 100 |
| 14. Ministry for Women | 111 |
| 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | 113 |
| 16. Ministry of Defence | 130 |
| 17. Ministry of Education | 133 |
| 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | 149 |
| 19. Ministry of Health | 157 |
| 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | 202 |
| 21. Ministry of Justice | 209 |
| 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri | 211 |
| 23. Ministry of Social Development | 215 |
| 24. Ministry of Transport | 235 |
| 25. New Zealand Customs Service | 250 |
| 26. New Zealand Security Intelligence Service | 252 |
| 27. Oranga Tamariki—Ministry for Children | 253 |
| 28. Public Service Commission | 260 |
| 29. Serious Fraud Office | 264 |
| 30. Statistics New Zealand | 265 |
| 31. The Treasury | 268 |


| Lists of GDSs | 271 |
|--|-----|
| A: GDSs by rank order | 272 |
| B: GDSs archived between October 1994 and 31 December 2023 | 285 |
| C: GDSs by jointly held | 299 |
| D: GDSs by merged | 301 |
| Abbreviations | 304 |
| Glossary | 305 |
| | |
| List of Figures | |
| Figure 1: Illustration of the methodology | 8 |
| Figure 2: The strategy pyramid | 9 |
| | |
| List of Tables | |
| Table 1: GDS Index data sets over time | 9 |

2023 GDS Index: By the numbers

· GDSs - government department strategies

· 636 GDSs published since July 1994

· 230 GDSs in operation



230 GDSs were in operation as at 31 December 2023. In 2021, 221 GDSs were in operation.

116 of operational GDSs had strategy maps. In 2021, 77 GDSs had strategy maps.

636 GDSs have been published since 1994.

25 years

is the age of the oldest GDS: Karst Management Guidelines - Policies and Actions (May, 1999). It was retrospectively added to the 2023 index.

In 2021, the oldest GDS was MOH's Reduced Waiting Times for Public Hospital Elective Services (2000).



CONTENT

19% of operational GDSs (44 out of 230) were held by one department - MOH.

> In 2021, 22% of operational GDSs (48 out of 221) were held by one department - MOH.

348 pages was the longest: *Te Hαu* Mārohi ki Anamata – Towards a Productive, Sustainable and Inclusive Economy: Aotearoa New Zealand's first emissions reduction plan (May 2022).

> In 2021, the longest GDS was 193 pages: MoH's Influenza Pandemic Plan: A framework for action.

ACCOUNTABILITY

of operational GDSs (32 out of 230) have a legal relationship of some form in New Zealand law by either being required (must) to be published or can be published (may).



37 GDSs are jointly held.

The departments that collaborated most were DOC and MPI (both 5).

In 2021, 33 GDSs were jointly held. The departments that collaborated most were DOC, MBIE and MPI.

5 departments did not hold any operational GDSs.

They are Crown Law Office, Government Communications Security Bureau, Ministry for Culture and Heritage, New Zealand Security Intelligence Service and the Serious Fraud Office. In 2021, 6 departments did not hold any operational GDSs.



39% of operational GDSs (89 out of 230) were signed by the Crown only.

> In 2021, 44% of operational GDSs (98 out of 221) were signed by the Crown only.

37% of operational GDSs (84 out of 230) were not signed at all.

> In 2021, 32% of operational GDSs (70 out of 221) were not signed at all.


GDS BY CLIMATE


56% (129 out of 230) of all operational GDSs did not make a mention of climate change.

> In 2021, 67% of operational GDSs (148 out of 221) did not make a mention of climate change.

26%

(59 out of 230) show some form of climate intelligence (i.e. a basic or detailed response to climate change impacts):





Preface

This is our fourth GDS Index. The Institute has continually raised concerns over the government's inability to identify, execute and review these key strategic documents. They are expensive instruments to create and costly to replace – they deserve our attention.

The Public Service Act 2020 promises New Zealanders that chief executives will pursue and protect the long-term public interest, facilitate active citizenship, collaborate with other public service agencies, undertake long-term thinking, deliver goods and services efficiently and economically, and consider how effectively those goods or services contribute to the intended outcomes (see, for example, ss 11, 12 and 52 of the Act).

From our experience, most chief executives of government departments are failing to use strategy to deliver long-term public benefits. At the same time, Parliament is failing to seek regular reviews from chief executives on the extent to which their strategies are working, requiring a refresh or needing to be replaced.

In times of significant change, strategies need to be frequently refreshed to ensure costs, risks and benefits align with the needs of the wider public.

One of the key lessons from the UK COVID-19 Inquiry is the importance of ensuring risk assessment is not simply an academic exercise. The report states: 'If risk assessment does not take into account what is and is not practically feasible, it is an academic exercise distant from those on whom it will ultimately have an impact.'

Strategy is hard work; it requires a critical examination of capabilities, resources and capacity and a deep understanding of the future costs, risks and benefits. The challenge is then to match ambition with reality. Government department strategies (GDSs) are documents prepared and published by government departments to link ambition to execution. Strategies explain how we execute purpose (as shown in the strategy pyramid on p. 11). They are designed to enable citizens to read, reflect, suppport and, ideally, engage in public policy issues, ultimately working together to improve outcomes for all.

At a time when New Zealand's infrastructure is failing, our healthcare system is in crisis and climate change is forcing the relocation of communities, our current approach of ignoring strategy (and these strategic documents) is illogical, costly and a major missed opportunity by leaders who wish to bring about durable, cost-effective change.

Four major recommendations from previous GDS indexes have been updated below. If you are interested in learning more, please read *Working Paper 2024/08: Methodology for the 2023 Government Department Strategies Index*, which is on our web page, titled GDS Index. While the methodology explains how and why this research was undertaken, this Handbook, in contrast, explains what we found.

1. The House of Representatives should find a better way to communicate and report on government priorities, goals and targets.

The House should agree to establish a consistent place where citizens can find a list of government priorities. Although priorities, goals and targets are often mentioned in the Budget speech or in the press, the reality is that they frequently change and are not always easy to find, review or compare across governments.

2. The Ministry for Regulation Te Manatū Waeture and/or Te Kawa Mataaho Public Service Commission should maintain a central register of GDSs, a consultation timeline for members of the public, and guidance on how government departments can improve GDS content.

As GDSs are designed to address significant issues of their day, we believe there is considerable historical value in learning lessons from past strategies. However, this information is often lost in the current system because non-operational GDSs are removed from websites and there is no central register.

3. The Minister of Climate Change should require all 230 GDSs to be reassessed to take into account the impacts of climate change before 1 July 2025.

In this index, we have included a special focus on climate change. See Working Paper 2024/09: Climate change mentions in government department strategies in operation as at 31 December 2023.

4. Government departments should identify GDSs in operation in their annual report.

Thank you to government department officials for their assistance, patience, and interest in our work. We hope that we have honoured the hard work of those writing strategy documents in government and that this Handbook helps to continue the conversation around GDSs and their capacity to drive change.



Wendy McGuinness Chief Executive

Welcome to the Government Department Strategies Handbook - He Puna Rautaki

Government department strategies (GDSs) provide citizens with windows into the workings of government and help build trust through transparency, accountability and public engagement.

The purpose of the *Handbook* is to improve stewardship of strategy documents by enabling:

- Ministers to review GDSs under their control with a view to improving outcomes;
- Members of Parliament to learn more about the work currently undertaken by departments, with a view to improving discussions on public policy in Parliament;
- chief executives to use GDSs to drive their work programmes and those of other departments, with a view to reducing costs and improving alignment and collaboration; and
- other stakeholders to learn more about what
 is driving the thinking and work of departments,
 with a view to understanding trade-offs,
 improving feedback from consultation processes
 and gathering wider public support for
 specific strategies.

Working Paper 2024/08: Methodology for the 2023 Government Department Strategies Index sets out why we have undertaken research into New Zealand GDSs. Figure 1 provides an overview of the method.

What is strategy?

Strategy concerns choice. What we choose to focus on as individuals, communities and a nation indicates the direction in which we are likely to travel.

Depending on the intensity of our focus and the quality of our strategic thinking, we might drift slowly on a linear trajectory, only changing direction in response to a disruptive event, or we might move rapidly and responsively, working to be proactive, agile and open to emerging opportunities and challenges.

Alignment is key, both within each strategy (see Figure 2 overleaf) and in the wider strategy ecosystem that operates within government. Developing an effective strategy ecosystem requires a deep understanding of where we have been (hindsight), where we are now (insight) and where we want to go (foresight). 'Foresight' is commonly used to refer to anticipatory governance – a topic the Institute intends to explore in collaboration with others in 2025.

Our progress as a nation is dependent on how we use institutions, instruments and information – what the Institute calls the three I's. GDSs have been and always will be a tool of government. However, they remain underutilised and poorly understood. In our view, they provide an opportunity to bring about change in a cost-effective and durable way.




Figure 1: Illustration of the methodology


Table 1: GDS Index data sets over time

| GDS data set | GDSs in operation | GDSs archived | Total GDSs since 1 July 1994 | GDSs in operation as a percentage of all GDSs since 1 July 1994 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--|
| GDSs as at 30 June 2014 | 136 | 154 | 290 | 46.9% |
| GDSs as at 30 June 2015 | 134 | 168 | 302 | 44.4% |
| GDSs as at 31 December 2018 | 148 | 265 | 413 | 35.8% |
| GDSs as at 31 December 2020 | 199 | 288 | 487 | 40.9% |
| GDSs as at 31 December 2021 | 221 | 327 | 548 | 40.3% |
| GDSs as at 31 December 2023 | 230 | 406 | 636 | 36.2% |

Note to Table 1

This includes GDSs that have been jointly held. Hence, a strategy jointly held by four departments is included four times in the GDS Index. See Appendix 7 for a list of all jointly held GDSs.

Figure 2: The strategy pyramid







Karst Management Guidelines - Policies and Actions

KARST MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES Policies and Actions

Purpose

'[T]o help DOC staff to more effectively manage karst and karst-like areas ... Karst usually occurs in limestone and marble, which has been sculpted by natural waters.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to follow a series of actions grouped into the following categories:

- Overall actions to conserve the karst natural heritage of New Zealand.
- Planning the management of protected natural karst areas administered by DOC.
- Protection of karst areas from visitor impacts.
- Concessions in karst areas.
- Non-routine activities in karst areas.
- Protection of karst on private land and other public land.
- Staff training and capacity. (p. 13)

Key data

Publication date: May 1999 Duration: 1999-NK Number of pages: 28

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

155= out of 230 GDSs

16= out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 43 | 96 |

Subantarctic Islands Research Strategy



Purpose

'[M]aintaining or improving the condition of these islands by continuing with the programme of eradication of all introduced mammal species.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to set guidelines for researchers desiring access to Southland Conservancy and to provide a tool for managers to decide on the appropriate research needed for each of the four research themes: natural ecosystems; effects of introduced biota; human impacts and social interaction; and abiotic sciences (sciences such as geology which study non-living systems). (pp. 18-27)

Key data

Publication date: May 2005 2005-NK Duration: Number of pages:

Signed by: Department staff (other

> than CE) (Conservator, Southland Conservancy)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis


Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

178= out of 230 GDSs

18 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 36.5 | 96 |

Wilding Conifer Management Strategy (also known as Wilding Pines Management Strategy)



Purpose

'Prevent the spread of wilding conifers, and contain or eradicate established areas of wilding conifers by 2030'. (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to: clarify roles and responsibilities; gain funding for collective action and timely intervention; support fair allocation of costs associated with wilding conifer control; prioritise wilding conifer management; develop consistent monitoring and mapping; promote consistency in policy across organisations; co-ordinate operations; increase understanding of wilding conifer impacts; support ongoing research to reduce the cost of control and risk of establishment; and promote information sharing of best practice and technological gains in control methods. (pp. 25-26)

Key data

Publication date: December 2014 Duration: 2015-2030

Number of pages: 40

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MPI

Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2030 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

9 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 3 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 50 | 96 |

Mātauranga Whakauka Taiao - Environmental Education for Sustainability



Purpose:

'All New Zealanders value a connection to our environment by actively working together for a sustainable future.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three priority areas and implement an Environmental Education for Sustainability (EEfS) strategy to ensure Aotearoa New Zealand's environment remains healthy and sustainable in the future. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: July 2017 Duration: 2017-2027

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate

> Minister of Conservation and Minister for the

Environment)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MFE

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 15 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

155= out of 230 GDSs

16= out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 43 | 96 |

New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka Threat Management Plan



Purpose:

'[P]romote the recovery and ensure the long-term viability of New Zealand sea lions, with the ultimate goal of achieving "Not Threatened" status.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four workstreams: engagement (including the establishment of a New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka forum, advisory group, and community liaison role within DOC), direct mitigation, targeted research (such as research to better understand adult female nutritional stress and diet), and evaluation (such as conducting annual pup counts and tag resighting on the Auckland Islands). (pp. 15-16)

Key data

Publication date: July 2017

Duration: 2017-NK (was initially

2022)

Number of pages: 19

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MPI


Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 13-14 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

126= out of 230 GDSs

11= out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ut | 47 | 96 |

National Compliance Strategy



Purpose:

'To effectively administer [existing] legislation ... This Strategy sets out DOC's approach to its compliance and law enforcement function.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to identify, deal with and prosecute non-compliance with a set of nine principles, such as transparency and consistency. (pp. 5-8)

Key data

Publication date: August 2017

Duration: 2017-NK (was initially

2020)

Number of pages: 13

Signed by: CE (Director-General)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

214= out of 230 GDSs

19 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ut | 24 | 96 |

Kiwi Recovery Plan -Mahere Whakaora Kiwi



Purpose:

'To reach 100 000 kiwi by 2030 through:

- Growing all kiwi species by at least 2% per year,
- Restoring their former distribution and
- Maintaining their genetic diversity.' (p. 17)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement a number of objectives and actions that fall under a series of topics within four high-level themes:

- management
- research and innovation
- engaging people with kiwi and their recovery, and
- growing and sustaining the kiwi conservation effort. (p. 18)

Key data

Publication date: November 2018 Duration: 2018-2028

Number of pages: 64

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

• 2028 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

13= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 4 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 4 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 3 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 78 | 96 |

Government Tourism Strategy



Purpose:

'[S]ustainable tourism growth.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to use government-led initiatives to support 17 specific workstreams across five outcomes: the economy, the environment, international and domestic visitors, New Zealanders and our communities, and regions. (pp. 7-8, 12-16)

Key data

Publication date: May 2019

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially 2020)

Number of pages: 22

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 5

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

93= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 52 | 96 |

National RMA and **EEZA** [Exclusive Economic Zone and Continental Shelf (Environmental Effects) Act 2012] Advocacy Strategy



Purpose

'To provide high-level direction for DOC staff when deciding whether to initiate engagement in statutory advocacy processes under the RMA and EEZA.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to initiate engagement in:

- Planning processes under RMA or EEZA to protect the Priority Conservation Values
- Consent applications under RMA and EEZA where they may result in adverse effects on the Priority Conservation Values
- · Advocacy in RMA and EEZA processes where proposed provisions in Planning Documents or proposed activities:
 - have the potential to create inefficiencies or impose unnecessary obligations on DOC
 - are inconsistent with a DOC Management Planning Document. (p. 4)

Key data

Publication date: June 2019 Duration: 2019-NK

Number of pages: 11

Signed by: CE (Director-General

of Conservation)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

225= out of 230 GDSs

21 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 0 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 0 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 16 | 96 |

Predator Free 2050 Strategy



Purpose:

'Return the voices of the insects, bats, reptiles and birds back to the forests, farmland, towns, cities and coasts.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to eradicate mustelids, rats and possums through six interconnected pathways: whānau, hapū and iwi expressing kaitiakitanga; communities taking action; supporting the kaupapa through legislation and policy; advancing our knowledge, innovation and improvement; measuring and assessing the difference we make; and moving from sustained predator control to eradication. (p. 17)

Key data

Publication date: February 2020 Duration: 2020-2050

Number of pages: 44

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Conservation)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 19

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

52= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 60 | 96 |

Visitor Centre Strategy

Visitor Centre Strategy 2020-2025 Department of Conservation To Paper Abarelo

Purpose:

To create 'a clear visitor centre system ... ensuring a good visitor experience'. (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to improve visitor experience and enhance DOC's reputation by supporting visitors to have a safe and enjoyable experience on public conservation land, developing an effective visitor information system, and providing quality experience through visitor centres. (pp. 11, 14-15)

Key data

Publication date: February 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 23

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

131= out of 230 GDSs

13= out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 46 | 96 |

National Plan of Action - Seabirds

National Plan of Action -Seabirds 2020

Purpose:

'[W]ork towards zero fishing-related seabird mortalities.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to avoid bycatch, maintain healthy seabird populations, undertake research to manage direct effects of fisheries on seabirds, and actively engage internationally to promote measures and practices that reduce impacts on New Zealand seabirds. (p. 12)

Key data

Publication date: May 2020 2020-2025 Duration:

Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: National plan of action


- Seabirds (2013)

Jointly held with: MPI

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 11-12 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

146= out of 230 GDSs

15 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 44 | 96 |

Climate Change Adaptation Action Plan - He Whakamahere Hātepe Urutau Mō Te Huringa Āhuarangi



Purpose

'To guide DOC's strategic planning and management activities for increased resilience to climate change impacts.' (p. 14)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- Establish governance & resource programme and programme management & risk assessment framework, inform government policy, engage with Treaty partners and stakeholders
- Develop frameworks for evaluating & reporting climate change impacts, produce guidance for planning & assessment, report on progress towards achieving CCAAP actions, revise CCAAP
- Identify & fill information gaps, undertake risk assessments, update existing planning & prioritisation systems, implementation of adaptation actions (already underway). (p. 26)

Key data

Publication date: June 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 80

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 26 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

3 out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 8 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 8 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 86 | 96 |

Te Kaweka Takohaka mō te Hoiho



Purpose:

'Hoiho should be able to go to sea to feed on abundant and good quality kai, and return safely to the whenua to breed, feed their young and socialise without facing human-induced threats.' (p. 12)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on sustaining and restoring the health of the hoiho population by focusing on 20 strategic priorities, such as ensuring that accurate, usable and accessible data contributes to hoiho conservation. These strategic priorities are guided by six principles that set the foundation for how decisions will be made. (pp. 14, 19-27)

Key data

Publication date: August 2020 Duration: 2019-2029

Number of pages: 32


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MPI

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

131= out of 230 GDSs

13= out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 46 | 96 |

Te Mana o te Taiao: Aotearoa New Zealand **Biodiversity Strategy** 2020



Purpose:

'The vision we set out for this strategy is not only for the return of health to the natural world in a way that we can measure but also for the return of a health and vibrancy that we can feel, touch, smell and hear, as well as an emotional reconnection with nature.' (p. 10)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three pillars: getting the system right, empowering action and protecting and restoring biodiversity. (p. 43)

Key data

Publication date: August 2020 Duration: 2020-2050

Number of pages: 73

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Conservation and

Associate Minister for the

Environment)

This GDS replaces: Biodiversity Strategy

(2000)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 43 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

46 out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 62.5 | 96 |

Critical Ecosystem Pressures on Freshwater Environments (CRESP) 4-year research strategy



Purpose:

'[T]o provide knowledge that will strengthen DOC's advocacy and management of indigenous freshwater ecosystems and species.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to conduct research in collaboration with a range of external groups on four abiotic pressures and their effects: water levels/flows; habitat loss; sediment and nutrients; and fish passage (instream structures that alter freshwater habitats or block fish movements upstream and/or downstream). (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: November 2020 Duration: 2020-2024

Number of pages: 11

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Yes, p. 4 Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

219= out of 230 GDSs

20 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 0 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 21 | 96 |

Heritage and Visitor Strategy – He Rautaki Taonga Tuku Iho, Manuhiri Tūārangi hoki



Purpose:

'Sustainably manage visitors to protect and enhance the value of New Zealand's natural, cultural and historic heritage.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to seek opportunities to partner with tangata whenua, improve the evidence base for decision making and service delivery, and invest in and maintain visitor experiences and facilities. (pp. 15-16)

Key data

Publication date: January 2021 Duration: 2021-2025

Number of pages: 29

Signed by: CE (Director-General)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 13-14 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

58 out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| 1: | | | Out of |
|------|--|------|--------|
| | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 3 | 6 |
| Tota | l | 59.5 | 96 |

Hector's and Māui Dolphin Threat Management Plan 2020



Purpose:

'The vision of the Hector's and Māui dolphin TMP is that: New Zealand's Hector's and Māui dolphin populations are resilient and thriving throughout their natural range.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to identify and implement unique fishing protection measures (e.g. netting and trawling) and non-fishing protection measures (e.g. sanctuaries and tourism). (pp. 7-11)

Key data

Legislation:

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-NK

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Hector's and Maui's

Dolphin Threat

Not applicable

Management Plan (2007)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Not applicable Transferred from: Not found Strategy map:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

71= out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 56 | 96 |

Procurement Strategy for Roading Activities

Procurement Strategy for Roading Activities 2021/22 - 2023/24

Purpose:

'This strategy seeks to maximise the value for money through the engagement of adjacent road controlling authorities or their contractors to maintain our roads.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

'The approach ... as with the 2018 Strategy, is to seek the integration of routine maintenance of our roads into the maintenance regimes of adjacent road controlling authorities if it can be expected to lead to value for money outcomes for our dispersed and disconnected roading.' (p. 12)

Key data

Publication date: May 2022 Duration: 2021-2024

Number of pages: 19

Signed by: CE and department staff

(other than CE) (Director-General, Deputy Director-General Operations,

Supplier Sourcing Manager, **Director Operations** Planning, Asset Planning

Manager)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

95= out of 230 GDSs

8 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | | 0 |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| | | Score | Out of |
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 51 | 96 |
| | | | |

A Structured Decision-Making Approach for the Recovery of Kuaka/ Whenua Hou Diving Petrel (Pelecanoides whenuahouensis)



Purpose:

'Maximise number of kuaka ... Maximise number of kuaka populations ... Kāi Tahu express rangatiratanga and exercise kaitiakitanga ... Minimise ecosystem impacts ... Maximise public appreciation ... Minimise costs of conservation management ... Minimise impacts on fisheries' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on seven workstreams:

- Permissions and funding
- Communications and advocacy
- Biosecurity
- Monitoring
- Best practice mitigation of light pollution
- Plant management
- Competition management
- Inter-island translocations. (pp. 20-21)

Key data

Publication date: June 2022 Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages: 45 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

126= out of 230 GDSs

11= out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 4 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 47 | 96 |

Ngā Awa, DOC's Priority River Restoration Programme



Purpose:

'Working together with our communities towards healthy, thriving rivers from source to sea... [r]iver ecosystems and species thrive from mountain to sea... [r]estored rivers enrich people's lives.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is a series of 'national actions', that fit under the following 'objective' categories:

- River ecosystems and species thrive from mountains to sea
- Restored rivers enrich people's lives
- Collaborate with others
- Co-design and co-lead with iwi/hapū/whānau
- Recognise climate change. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: October, 2022 Duration: 2022-2050

Number of pages: 4

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: pp. 1-2

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

120= out of 230 GDSs

10 out of the 21 GDSs in DOC

| | | Score | Out of |
|-------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Total | | 48 | 96 |
| | | | |



Hōkai Rangi: Ara Poutama Aotearoa Strategy 2019-2024



Purpose:

'This strategy ... ultimately aims to lower the proportion of Māori in our care to a level that matches the Māori share of the general population.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on the wellbeing of people who come into the department's care by facilitating kaupapa Māori-based pathways and practices, including rehabilitation and whānau support. (pp. 16-17)

Key data

Publication date: August 2019 Duration: 2019-2024

Number of pages: 40

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Corrections) and CE

This GDS replaces: Change Lives Shape

> Futures: Investing in better mental health for

offenders (2017)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 35 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

109= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 7 GDSs in Corrections

8 out of the 8 GDSs in the Justice Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|-------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Total | | 49.5 | 96 |

Our Alcohol and Other Drug Strategy - Ara Poutama Aotearoa Strategy 2021-2026



Purpose:

'We will contribute to enhanced selfmanagement, healthier lifestyle choices and protective factors such as participation in Te Ao Māori that will benefit individuals, whānau and communities.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement a whanaucentred approach with four workstreams. This includes establishing an alcohol and other drug governance group of external and internal stakeholders. (pp. 12-13)

Key data

Publication date: October 2021 Duration: 2021-2026

Number of pages: 16

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Corrections) and CE

This GDS replaces: Our Drug and Alcohol

Strategy Through to

2020 (2016)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found

Legislation: Required (see Corrections

Act 2004, s 123) and cited

in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

80= out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 7 GDSs in Corrections

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 54 | 96 |

Wāhine – E rere ana ki te pae hou: Women's Strategy 2021-2025



Purpose:

'[H]elp break the cycle of reoffending and improve the wellbeing and safety of women, their whānau, and our wider communities as well as contribute to intergenerational transformation.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement an action plan that focuses on eight key areas: transitioning through the prison system; ensuring safe and humanised treatment while in prison; improving prison environments; improving the health and wellbeing of women; working with whānau to strengthen relationships; improving staff training and recruitment; monitoring the outcomes for effectiveness; and implementing a more effective assurance framework. (pp. 20-23)

Key data

Publication date: October 2021 Duration: 2021-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Corrections) and CE

This GDS replaces: Wahine – E rere ana ki

te Pae Hou – Women's

Strategy (2017)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

70 out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 7 GDSs in Corrections

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | SCOIC | Out of |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | / | Ö |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | | |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to | 3.5 | 8 |
| 0.1 | what success would look like | 3.3 | 0 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 56.5 | 96 |
| | | | |

Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated responses.
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2046 Number of pages: 128 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for the Prevention of Family

Violence and Sexual

Violence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MOE, MOH, MOJ, TPK,

MSD, OT and PSC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

18= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 7 GDSs in Corrections

| | | Score | Out of |
|-----|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| | ıl | 74 | 96 |

Ara Poutama Aotearoa - Suicide Prevention and Postvention Action Plan



Purpose:

'Our action plan represents our intention to take deliberate and targeted action to address the problem of suicide with the aforementioned values in mind' (p. 10)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to define several broad focus areas, each with corresponding actions:

- National leadership
- Using evidence to make a difference
- Developing the workforce
- Evaluation and monitoring
- Promoting wellbeing
- Responding to suicidal distress
- Responding to suicidal behaviour
- Supporting after a suicide. (p. 12)

Key data

Publication date: March 2022 Duration: 2022-2025

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Department staff (other

than CE) (Deputy CE)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 11

Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

38= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 7 GDSs in Corrections

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| | | Score | Out of |
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 4 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 67 | 96 |
| | | | |

Disability Action Plan



Purpose:

Provide:

- 'Equitable access and choices.
- Mana enhancing practice for all tāngata whaikaha Māori/ disabled people.
- Tāngata whaikaha Māori/disabled people led participation.' (p. 15)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to define 'key strategic focus areas and the broad ways in which we intend to act on these. These focus areas are guided by what we heard from tangata whaikaha Maori/ disabled people and their whanau, previous action plans and the EGL framework adapted for an Ara Poutama Aotearoa context.'

Focus areas: national leadership; using evidence to make a difference; responding to disability support needs; developing the workforce; research and evaluation; and working with tangata whaikaha and their whānau. (pp. 15, 18)

Key data

Legislation:

Publication date: February 2023 Duration: 2023-2027

Number of pages:

Signed by: Department staff (other


than CE) (Deputy CE)

Not applicable

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 18

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

41 out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 7 GDSs in Corrections

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 66 | 96 |

Ageing Well Action Plan



Purpose:

'[O]lder people to live well, age well and have a respectful end of life, in age-friendly communities'.

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on a series of actions that fall under strategic focus areas developed for 2019-2022.

These strategic focus areas are: national leadership; ageing well; acute and restorative care; living well with long-term conditions; supporting people with high and complex needs; and respectful end-of-life care. (p. 11)

Key data

Publication date: August 2023 Duration: 2023-2026

Number of pages: 20


Signed by: Department staff (other

than CE) (Deputy CE)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

67= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 7 GDSs in Corrections

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 57 | 96 |



Te Huri Mōhiotanga Hei Uara: Nga Tohutohu Rautaki Ki 2030 -Turning Knowledge into Value: Strategic Directions to 2030



Purpose:

'[C]reate cultural and economic value for New Zealanders through leadership and collaboration. We will remove barriers to knowledge, ensure New Zealanders have the skills to create knowledge and preserve knowledge for future generations.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to work with iwi, partners and stakeholders, by developing a culture of research and innovation, to improve access to knowledge, reading comprehension and awareness of diverse perspectives. (p. 4-7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2016 Duration: 2016-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Department staff (other

than CE) (National

Librarian)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

212 out of 230 GDSs

9 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|-----|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| | | | |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |

Archives 2057 Strategy

Archives 2057

Purpose:

'We will be a trusted regulator of government information through the Public Records Act; experts in how to create, manage, preserve and discover information; and a gateway providing access to the nation's history, connecting people to their stories, rights and entitlements.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to develop three key strategic areas within Archives New Zealand: increasing transparency; creating effective and integrated systems; and making people more aware of archives' relevance. (p. 3)

Key data

Publication date: May 2017 Duration: 2017-2057

Number of pages:


Signed by: Department staff (other

than CE) (Chief Archivist)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

170= out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 39.5 | 96 |

Digital Inclusion Blueprint - Te Mahere mō te Whakaurunga Matihiko



Purpose:

'[T]hat all of us have what we need to participate in, contribute to, and benefit from the digital world.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to identify priority areas and gaps, test small-scale interventions, and then review digital inclusion goals and priorities and check they are still relevant. (p. 16)

Key data

Publication date: March 2019 Duration: 2019-2024

Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

> Government Digital Services) and other (Government Chief Digital Officer)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

168 out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 41 | 96 |

Office of Ethnic Communities -Strategic Framework



Purpose:

'Communities are welcoming and inclusive, diversity is valued, and all people participate in and contribute fully to society.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to develop and build on measures and processes in three interconnected areas: promoting positive relationships and trust with ethnic communities, creating better governmentled outcomes, and ensuring positive attitudes and dialogue in wider society. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: January 2020 Duration: 2020-NK

Number of pages: 1

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 1

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

230 out of 230 GDSs

11 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 0 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 10.5 | 96 |

Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financing of Terrorism Group Framework



Purpose:

- 'Detect and deter money laundering and terrorism financing
- Maintain and enhance New Zealand's international reputation by adopting recommendations issued by the Financial Action Task Force
- Contribute to public confidence in the financial system'. (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- Help businesses understand and manage money laundering and financing terrorism risks, and comply with the AML/CFT Act through guidance, engagement and assistance
- Use two key tools to assess business' compliance with their obligations; desk-based reviews and onsite inspections
- Enforce compliance with AML/CFT requirements. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: February 2020 2020-NK Duration:

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 1


Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

209 out of 230 GDSs

8 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 27 | 96 |

Strategy for a Digital **Public Service**



Purpose:

'The public service is modern at its heart and all New Zealanders are thriving in a digital age.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on eight behaviours that will help transform the public service to be fit for the digital age. These behaviours are:

- Acting as a unified public service
- Making people-centred decisions
- Collaborating and co-create
- Digital transformation in accordance with tikanga Māori
- · Acting quickly and innovatively
- Prioritising value for money
- Fostering a learning environment, and
- Striving for an open, accountable public service. (pp. 18-19)

Key data

Publication date: March 2020 Duration: 2020-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

> Government Digital Services) and other (Government Chief Digital Officer)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

129= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 46.5 | 96 |

Charities Services Research Strategy



Purpose:

'[S]timulate and promote research into any matter relating to charities.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to improve the quality and accessibility of data on the Charities Register and increase the level of collaboration across the research sector. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: March 2021

Duration: 2020-2024 (was initially

2023)

Number of pages: 1

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

● 2024 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

227 out of 230 GDSs

10 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 0 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 15.5 | 96 |

Regulatory Services **Group Strategy**



Purpose:

'RSG enhances the individual and collective effectiveness of its regulatory systems.' (p. 11)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on embedding regulatory foundations; strengthening capability; aligning culture and purpose; harnessing evidence, insights and evaluation; and maximising relationships. (p. 4)

Key data

Publication date: July 2021 Duration: 2021-2026

Number of pages: 42

Signed by: Department staff

(other than CE) (General


Manager)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 4

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

151= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 43.5 | 96 |

Approach to Regulation of Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financing of Terrorism



Purpose:

'[B]uild the capability ... of reporting entities in detecting and preventing their businesses from being used by criminals and terrorists to hide or move money through the financial system, and support New Zealand and international efforts to make it difficult for criminals and terrorists to exploit financial systems.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- '[D]evelop a comprehensive understanding of ML/TF risks and typologies and share this across the sectors we supervise...
- [B]uild a culture of effective AML/CFT compliance across the reporting entities we
- [I]dentify reporting entities who are not adequately managing and mitigating their ML/TF risks and work with them...
- [T]ake action where there is serious or systemic non-compliance by reporting entities'. (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: July 2022 Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages: 13

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

173= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ut | 39 | 96 |

Te Rautaki Matihiko mō Aotearoa - The Digital Strategy for Aotearoa



Purpose:

'Aotearoa New Zealand's people, communities, economy, and environment are flourishing and prosperous in the digital era.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement a series of initiatives under three areas:

- Mahi Tika Trust (e.g. putting guardrails in place)
- Mahi Tahi Inclusion (e.g. ensuring digital content and services meet everyone's needs)
- Mahi Ake Growth (e.g. supporting data-driven innovation). (pp. 4, 16, 23, 31 of Te Rautaki Matihiko mō Aotearoa – The Digital Strategy for Aotearoa)

The Action plan consists of 11 initiatives that will advance the DSA in the short-term. (p. 3 of Action Plan for the Digital Strategy for Aotearoa)

Key data

Publication date: September 2022 Duration: 2022-2032 Number of pages: 67 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for the

Digital Economy and Communications) and CE

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 4 of Te Rautaki Matihiko mō Aotearoa -

The Digital Strategy for

Aotearoa

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

8= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 4 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 80 | 96 |

Strategy 2022-2025: A pathway to an Aotearoa where ethnic communities feel at home



Purpose:

'[S]ets out the actions the Ministry will prioritise to achieve better outcomes for ethnic communities ... an Aotearoa New Zealand where everyone feels welcome, safe, included, and valued.' (p. 13)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to continue on with a series of actions that are already underway, and implement a number of new actions. These focus on improving representation of ethnic communities on public sector boards and committees; building communication channels between ethnic communities and government; improving accessibility to funding, training and government services; and addressing disparities in pay and education and job opportunities. (pp. 42-49)

Key data

Publication date: September 2022 2022-2025 Duration:

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

> Diversity, Inclusion and Ethnic Communities)

and CF

Office of Ethnic This GDS replaces:

Communities – Strategic


Framework (2020)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 51 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

71= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 11 GDSs in DIA

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 56 | 96 |

05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet: GDS05-01 to GDS05-06

05

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet— Te Tari o te Pirimia me te Komiti Matua

National Disaster Resilience Strategy - Rautaki ā-Motu Manawaroa Aituā



Purpose:

'New Zealand is a disaster resilient nation that acts proactively to manage risks and build resilience in a way that contributes to the wellbeing and prosperity of all New Zealanders.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement a package of initiatives to manage risks, improve rapid response services, and integrate and support community perspectives. Examples include implementing emergency management system reforms to improve how New Zealand responds to natural disasters and emergencies, and improving local government planning, including long-term plans, annual plans and asset management plans. (p. 34)

Key data

Publication date: April 2019 2019-2029 Duration:

Number of pages: 52

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Civil

Defence)

This GDS replaces: National Civil Defence

Emergency Management

Strategy (2008)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 3

Legislation: Required (see Civil Defence

> Emergency Management (CDEM) Act 2002, s 31) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

33 out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 6 GDSs in DPMC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 68.5 | 96 |

Cyber Security Strategy 2019



Purpose:

'This strategy has a vision that New Zealand is confident and secure in the digital world it is about enabling New Zealand to thrive online.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to help citizens and workers become more security aware, develop better international partnerships and cooperation at policy and operational levels, and together proactively tackle cybercrime. (pp. 10, 13)

Key data

Publication date: July 2019

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially

2023)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister

> of Broadcasting, Communications and

Digital Media)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

125 out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 6 GDSs in DPMC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 47.5 | 96 |

Child and Youth Wellbeing Strategy



Purpose:

'[T]hat New Zealand be the best place in the world for children and young people.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach includes a package of initiatives, such as free and universal access to many core health and education services, and extra funding/staffing to help core services better meet the needs of children and young people from disadvantaged families and communities. (p. 62)

Key data

Legislation:

Publication date: August 2019 Duration: 2019-NK

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for Child Poverty Reduction and

Minister for Children)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found

> Required (see Children's Act 2014, ss 4 and 6) and

cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

37 out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 6 GDSs in DPMC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 67.5 | 96 |

National Fuel Plan

Purpose:

'[M]inimise the effects of a fuel supply disruption on New Zealand, whatever the cause.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to agree communication and coordination arrangements, and regional fuel supply arrangements, between government agencies, Civil Defence Emergency Management (CDEM) groups and fuel sector organisations. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: March 2020 Duration: 2020-NK Number of pages: 70

Signed by: Department staff (other

than CE) (Deputy CE) and other (Director of Civil Defence Emergency

Management)

This GDS replaces Oil Emergency Response

Strategy (2008)

Jointly held with: **MBIE**


Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis


Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

111= out of 230 GDSs

4= out of the 6 GDSs in DPMC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 49 | 96 |

Countering Terrorism and Violent Extremism Strategy

New Zealand's Countering Terrorism and Violent Extremism Strategy

Purpose:

'This strategy aims to secure Aotearoa New Zealand from the threat of terrorism and violent extremism, and to ensure that all communities, iwi, hapū, whānau and individuals feel secure.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is focused on reduction, through: understanding the threat and ensuring we're aware and informed; working together and prioritising partnerships to reduce the risk; focusing efforts and capabilities on effective, long-term prevention; and being ready to respond and recover. (pp. 10-11)

Key data

Publication date: October 2022 Duration: 2020-NK

Number of pages: 15

Signed by: Crown (Prime Minister)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

111= out of 230 GDSs

4= out of the 6 GDSs in DPMC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 49 | 96 |

Secure Together -Tō Tātou Korowai Manaaki



Purpose:

'Working towards our vision requires efforts focused on three outcomes:

- New Zealand protected from threats
- A resilient society, informed and engaged on national security challenges
- An effective national security system.' (p. iii)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- · Reform the national security community
- Cultivate and sustain a public conversation on national security
- Act early to prevent national security threats and build New Zealand's resilience
- Work together to foster collective understanding and approaches
- Lead an integrated approach. (pp. 10, 12-13)

Key data

Publication date: August 2023 Duration: 2023-2028

Number of pages: 44

Signed by: Crown (Prime Minister

and Minister for National Security and Intelligence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable


Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 17

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

52= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 6 GDSs in DPMC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | - C |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 60 | 96 |



Pacific Strategy: Driving success for Pacific learners



Purpose:

'[E]very child achieves success as a lifelong learner.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five strategic goals for improving educational outcomes for Pacific learners, including measures, partnerships, and targeted, Pacific-focused programmes. (p. 4)

Key data

Publication date: April 2019

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially

2022)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Pacific Strategy 2013–2017

(2013)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 5

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

214= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 1 GDSs in ERO

16= out of the 19 GDSs in the Education and Workforce Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 3 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 24 | 96 |





Our Strategy: Improving oranga for current and future generations



Purpose: (p. 2)

- 'The intergenerational oranga of the people of Aotearoa New Zealand is supported by [IRD's] active stewardship
- Oranga increases when Inland Revenue works with other organisations
- · People receive their entitlements, enabling oranga
- Revenue is available to fund government programmes/services'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to: keep customers at the centre; support people to create success; become Te Tiriti-based; maximise the benefits of being digitally enabled for customers and partners; add greater value through collaboration with partners to deliver services; actively take care of what they have now and ensure it is fit for the future; have an integrated planning and funding model; get the most value from data, analytics, information and knowledge; and have a fit-forpurpose change-delivery model. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: November 2023

Duration: 2023-NK

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Our Corporate Strategy -

Customer (2016)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 2

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring


228 out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 1 GDSs in IRD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 0 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 14 | 96 |



Cadastre 2034



Purpose:

'A cadastral system that enables people to readily and confidently identify the location and extent of all rights, restrictions, and responsibilities related to land and real property.' (p. 25)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement 23 actions, including developing principles and a coherent policy direction and framework for defining the spatial extents of all land and real property-related rights, restrictions and responsibilities. (pp. 36-38)

Key data

February 2014 Publication date: Duration: 2014-2034

Number of pages: 44

Signed by: Department staff

> (other than CE) (Surveyor-General)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2034 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

84= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 5 GDSs in LINZ

17 out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 53.5 | 96 |

Positioning Strategy

Land Information New Zealand late to alread

Purpose:

'This strategy sets a vision of [a]ccurately positioning New Zealand for the future'. (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to achieve five 10-year goals in the geospatial context and enable accurate positioning and improved access for users by adopting innovative technologies and capabilities. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: May 2014 Duration: 2014-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: Other (Chief Geodesist) This GDS replaces: Geodetic Strategy (2003)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

194 out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 5 GDSs in LINZ

51 out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 32 | 96 |

Regulatory Stewardship Strategy



Purpose:

'[E]nable New Zealanders to enjoy access to our public land, benefit from private land ownership, and be sure that overseas investment in New Zealand's sensitive assets brings benefits to New Zealand.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to manage four regulatory systems (property rights, property information, Crown land and overseas investment) through improving performance delivery, whilst mitigating regulatory underperformance or failure. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: August 2018

Duration: 2018-NK (was initially

2020)

Number of pages: 28 Signed by: CE


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

136= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 5 GDSs in LINZ

36= out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 45 | 96 |

New Zealand Geographic Board Strategy



Purpose:

'Our purpose is to secure and celebrate New Zealand's unique identity through place names'. (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four goals:

- · Naming places: officially name features and places so people can effectively communicate information about location and preserve New Zealand's heritage and culture.
- Outreach: make information, including stories about place names, readily available.
- Using official names: have organisations use official names so people use them in everyday life.
- Improving capability and processes: continuously improve capability and processes to achieve our goals and objectives. (p. 1)


Key data

Publication date: April 2020 Duration: 2020-2025 Number of pages: Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

213 out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 5 GDSs in LINZ

53 out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 0 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 25 | 96 |

Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities



Purpose:

Excellent and impactful science that addresses the urgent need to understand the role of Antarctica and the Southern Ocean in a globally-connected and rapidly changing environment and supports Aotearoa New Zealand's commitment to protecting Antarctica and the Southern Ocean.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four priority research directions: sea-level rise; connections between the cryosphere (frozen water), ocean and atmosphere; ecosystem dynamics; and protection of Antarctic and Southern Ocean environments. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2030

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Foreign

Affairs; Minister for Oceans and Fisheries; Minister of Climate Change; Minister for Research, Science and Innovation; and Minister

of Conservation)


This GDS replaces: Antarctic and Southern

Ocean Science (2011)

Jointly held with: MPI and MFAT Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 5 GDSs in LINZ

21= out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 50 | 96 |





Pacific Employment Action Plan



Purpose

'[D]eliver better employment opportunities and services for Pacific Peoples across employment, selfemployment, and training.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on a series of tangible actions listed under each of the three key objectives above. (pp. 20-21, 25-27, 30)

Key data

Publication date: May 2022 Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Pacific Peoples)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

26 out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 4 GDSs in MPP

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 71 | 96 |

Pacific Languages Strategy



Purpose

'Recognise the Value of Pacific Languages across Aotearoa ... Strengthen Pathways and Resources for Learning Pacific Languages and ... Create Environments for Pacific Languages to be Used more often, and in more Spaces'. (p. 15 of Pacific Languages Strategy)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on a series of tangible actions listed under each of the three key objectives above. They centre around increasing online content for Pacific language learning and increased opportunities to learn these languages throughout the education system, for example, by funding teacher training and introducing more Pacific language units in schools. (pp. 38-43 of Pacific Languages Strategy)

Key data

Publication date: August 2022 Duration: 2022-2032 Number of pages: 113 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for Pacific

Peoples) and CE

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2032 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

1= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 4 GDSs in MPP

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 4 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 87 | 96 |

Pacific Wellbeing Strategy



Purpose

'[F]undamentally modify New Zealand public sector system conditions so that we can turn the tide on some of the inequities for Pacific communities as shown in the tables below, to improve wellbeing outcomes for Pacific peoples.' (p. 11)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- Improve alignment and measurement of Pacific investment to drive enhanced delivery of Pacific wellbeing outcomes
- Improve Pacific cultural capability, responsiveness and engagement approaches across government
- Establish and embed a community advisory and/or partnership model that utillises existing networks for collective design and action through partnership. (pp. 13-14)

Key data

Publication date: September 2022

Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

> Pacific Peoples) and CE (Secretary for Pacific

Peoples)

Not applicable This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Yes, pp. 29-30 Strategy map: Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

30= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 4 GDSs in MPP

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 69 | 96 |

Fale mo Aiga -Pacific Housing Strategy 2030



Purpose

'Pacific peoples own and live in affordable, quality, fit-for-purpose, healthy homes that enhance their wellbeing.' (p. 2 of Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy 2030)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four key priorities:

- 'Demand [b]uild intergenerational Pacific wealth through home ownership.
- Supply [b]uild affordable, quality, healthy, fit-for-purpose homes for Pacific peoples.
- Pacific housing sector [d]evelop and grow the Pacific housing sector.
- Housing system [i]nfluence and strengthen the housing system to improve housing outcomes for Pacific peoples.' (p. 2 of Fale mo Aiga - Pacific Housing Strategy 2030)

Key data

Publication date: November 2022 Duration: 2022-2030 Number of pages: 4 (combined) Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: HUD

Transferred from: Not applicable


Strategy map: Yes, p. 2 of Fale mo Aiga

- Pacific Housing Strategy 2030

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

71= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 4 GDSs in MPP

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 56 | 96 |



Biosecurity Science Strategy for New Zealand - Mahere Rautaki Putajao Whakamaru



Purpose:

'[C]ontributing to keeping New Zealanders, the plants and animals we value and our unique natural environment, safe and secure from damaging pests and disease.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to establish three sectoral advisory groups to interpret the goals of Biosecurity New Zealand and make science priority recommendations to the science advisory committee, to forward to the Minister of Biosecurity. (p. 17)

Key data

Publication date: October 2007 Duration: 2007-2032

Number of pages: 73

Signed by: Crown (Minister

for Biosecurity)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

30= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ut | 69 | 96 |

Harvest Strategy Standard for New Zealand **Fisheries**



Purpose:

'[P]rovide a consistent and transparent framework for setting fishery and stock targets and limits and associated fisheries management measures, so that there is a high probability of achieving targets, a very low probability of breaching limits, and acceptable probabilities of rebuilding stocks that nevertheless become depleted, in a timely manner.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three core elements: a specified target about which a fishery or stock should fluctuate; a soft limit that triggers a requirement for a formal, time-constrained rebuilding plan; and a hard limit below which fisheries should be considered for closure. (pp. 7-9)

Key data

Publication date: October 2008 Duration: 2008-NK

Number of pages:

This GDS replaces:

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Fisheries)

Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

176 out of 230 GDSs

18 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 38.5 | 96 |

Research and Science Information Standard for New Zealand **Fisheries**



Purpose:

'[S]takeholders and the public can be confident in the research and science information used to inform fisheries management decisions.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to ensure science information is of sufficient quality to make fisheries management decisions, by applying five key principles to all information prior to use: peer review, relevance, integrity, objectivity and reliability. (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: May 2011 Duration: 2011-2030

Number of pages: 36

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Fisheries and Aquaculture)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

224 out of 230 GDSs

22 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 1 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 17.5 | 96 |

Animal Welfare Matters

Ministry for Primary Industries Manacli Also Macas

Purpose:

'[E]veryone taking responsibility for the welfare of animals.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on better planning to prevent animal welfare problems; better animal husbandry, science and technology; clearer expectations and sanctions; and measuring animal welfare performance. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: May 2013 Duration: 2013-NK

Number of pages: 11

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 2

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

189= out of 230 GDSs

19 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 33 | 96 |

National Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks



Purpose:

'To maintain the biodiversity and the long-term viability of all New Zealand shark populations by recognising their role in marine ecosystems, ensuring that any utilisation of sharks is sustainable, and [that New Zealand is recognised for] efforts in shark conservation and management.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on six goals and put in place a number of five-year objectives for each goal. The goals are: biodiversity and long-term viability of shark populations; utilisation, waste reduction and the elimination of shark finning; domestic engagement and partnerships; non-fishing threats; international engagement; and research and information. (pp. 3-4)

Key data

Publication date: January 2014

Duration: 2013-NK (was initially

2018)

Number of pages: 36

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Primary Industries)

This GDS replaces: National Plan of Action

- Sharks (2008)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 6

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

120= out of 230 GDSs

10 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 48 | 96 |

Wilding Conifer Management Strategy (also known as Wilding Pines Management Strategy)



Purpose

'Prevent the spread of wilding conifers, and contain or eradicate established areas of wilding conifers by 2030'. (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to: clarify roles and responsibilities; gain funding for collective action and timely intervention; support fair allocation of costs associated with wilding conifer control; prioritise wilding conifer management; develop consistent monitoring and mapping; promote consistency in policy across organisations; co-ordinate operations; increase understanding of wilding conifer impacts; support ongoing research to reduce the cost of control and risk of establishment; and promote information sharing of best practice and technological gains in control methods. (pp. 25-26)

Key data

Publication date: December 2014 Duration: 2015-2030

Number of pages: 40

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC

Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

86= out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 3 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 53 | 96 |

Science Strategy -Rautaki Putaiao



Purpose:

'Policy, regulation-setting, and decision-making must be based on robust, fit-forpurpose, up to date and comprehensive science and science evidence to be effective and credible.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on seven priorities: smart regulation; operational excellence; international access; provenance and traceability; precision production and investment; enduring relationships; and integrated information, insight and knowledge. (p. 19)

Key data

Publication date: October 2015

Duration: 2015-NK (was initially

2020)

Number of pages:

Signed by: CE (Director-General) and

> department staff (other than CE) (Departmental

Science Adviser)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 8

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

118= out of 230 GDSs

9 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 48.5 | 96 |

Biosecurity 2025 **Direction Statement**



Purpose:

'The biosecurity system protects New Zealanders, our way of life, our natural and productive resources and our biodiversity from the harmful effects of pests and diseases.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is a range of actions fitting under five strategic directions. These actions include: establishing national data standards and procedures to support sharing of organism information, and undertaking a review of biosecurity system governance. (pp. 26-27)

Key data

Publication date: November 2016 Duration: 2016-2025

Number of pages: 32

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Primary Industries)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found

Legislation: Required (see Biosecurity Act 1993, s 56) but not

cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

136= out of 230 GDSs

14 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 45 | 96 |

Primary Sector Science Roadmap - Te Ao Tūroa



Purpose:

'Science and technology accelerates innovation and growth for intergenerational economic and environmental sustainability of the primary sector, leading to increased wellbeing for all New Zealanders.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on eight priority areas: adding value; harnessing the value and power of data; innovating with advanced technology; innovating through genetics; innovating through Kaupapa Māori; protecting and sustaining resources; deriving value from complex systems; and integrating people and values. (p. 21)

Key data

Publication date: June 2017 Duration: 2017-2030

Number of pages: 60

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

> **Primary Industries** and Minister of Science

and Innovation)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

111= out of 230 GDSs

8 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 49 | 96 |

New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka Threat Management Plan



Purpose:

'[P]romote the recovery and ensure the long-term viability of New Zealand sea lions, with the ultimate goal of achieving "Not Threatened" status.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four workstreams: engagement (including the establishment of a New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka forum, advisory group, and community liaison role within DOC), direct mitigation, targeted research (such as research to better understand adult female nutritional stress and diet), and evaluation (such as conducting annual pup counts and tag re-sighting on the Auckland Islands). (pp. 15-16)

Key data

Publication date: July 2017 Duration: 2017-NK (was

initially 2022)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC


Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 13-14 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

126= out of 230 GDSs

11 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 47 | 96 |

National Blue Cod Strategy



Purpose:

'[A]bundant and sustainable blue cod fisheries for all.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to standardise rules around blue cod fishing, so they are the same nationwide, including the use of a traffic light system. (p. 9)

Key data

Publication date: December 2018 Duration: 2018-NK Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Fisheries)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

195 out of 230 GDSs

20 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 31.5 | 96 |

National Fisheries Plan for Deepwater and Middle-depth Fisheries 2019



Purpose:

'[M]aximise the benefits from the sustainable use of our deepwater fisheries resources and ensure that the health of the aquatic environment is maintained.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to target 11 management objectives that are focused on implementing the purpose and principles of the Fisheries Act 1996 and will provide for the utilisation of deepwater fisheries within sustainable limits. (p. 12)

Key data

Publication date: May 2019 Duration: 2019-NK 40 Number of pages:

Not signed Signed by:

National Fisheries Plan for This GDS replaces: Deepwater and Middle-

depth Fisheries (2010)

Jointly held with: Not applicable

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found

Legislation: May issue a strategy (see

Fisheries Act 1996, s 11A) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

219= out of 230 GDSs

21 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 0 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 0 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 21 | 96 |

National Fisheries Plan for Highly Migratory Species



Purpose:

'[E]stablishes objectives for the management of New Zealand's HMS fisheries and strategies to achieve them. It also identifies performance indicators in order to monitor the achievement of these objectives.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The plan establishes 10 objectives including: supporting viable and profitable commercial HMS fisheries in New Zealand; maintaining and enhancing world class game fisheries in New Zealand fisheries waters; enhancing Māori interests (including customary, commercial, recreational, and environmental); and maintaining sustainable HMS fisheries within environmental standards. (pp. 11-13)

Key data

Publication date: May 2019 Duration: 2019-NK Number of pages: 52

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map:

Legislation: May issue a strategy (see

Fisheries Act 1996, s 11A) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

173= out of 230 GDSs

17 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 39 | 96 |

Aquaculture Strategy

Purpose:

'New Zealand is globally recognised as a worldleader in sustainable and innovative aquaculture management across the value chain.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to maximise the value of existing farms through innovation, and then extend into high-value land-based aquaculture and/or extend aquaculture into the open ocean. (pp. 4-5)

Key data

Publication date: September 2019 Duration: 2019-2035

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Fisheries)

Not applicable

This GDS replaces: Aquaculture Strategy and

> Five-year Action Plan to Support Aquaculture (2012)

Jointly held with: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 6

Transferred from:

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

76 out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | l. | 55.5 | 96 |

Cadmium and New Zealand Agriculture and Horticulture



Purpose:

'To ensure that cadmium in rural production poses minimal risks to health, trade, land use flexibility and the environment over the next 100 years.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to manage the risk of cadmium (a naturally occurring heavy metal in soils, of which phosphate fertiliser is the primary source) through research, monitoring, education and supporting practices which enable food standards to be met, and cadmium accumulation in soils to be controlled. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: December 2019 Duration: 2019-2026

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Cadmium and

> New Zealand Agriculture and Horticulture (2011)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 9

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

6= out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 50 | 96 |

National Plan of Action - Seabirds

National Plan of Action -Seabirds 2020

Purpose:

'[W]ork towards zero fishing-related seabird mortalities.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to avoid bycatch, maintain healthy seabird populations, undertake research to manage direct effects of fisheries on seabirds, and actively engage internationally to promote measures and practices that reduce impacts on New Zealand seabirds. (p. 12)

Key data

Publication date: May 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: National plan of action


- Seabirds (2013)

Jointly held with: DOC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 11-12 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

146= out of 230 GDSs

15 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 44 | 96 |

Fit for a Better World: Accelerating our economic potential



Purpose:

'We aspire to an enriched future by providing the world's most discerning consumers with outstanding, ethicallyproduced food, natural fibres, drinks, co- and bioproducts, all sourced from our land and oceans.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is achieve three ambitious targets across the three pillars of New Zealand's economic recovery. These targets are:

- Productivity: Add \$44 billion in export earnings over the next decade via a focus on creating value and building off the strong position of our core sectors.
- Sustainability: Reduce biogenic methane to 24-47% below 2017 levels by 2050, including to 10% below 2017 levels by 2030, and restore New Zealand's freshwater to a healthy state within a generation.
- Inclusiveness: Employ 10% more New Zealanders in the primary sector by 2030 and 10,000 more New Zealanders in the primary sector workforce over the next four years. (p. 8)

Key data


Publication date: July 2020 Duration: 2020-2030

Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

71= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 56 | 96 |

Te Kaweka Takohaka mō te Hoiho



Purpose:

'Hoiho should be able to go to sea to feed on abundant and good quality kai, and return safely to the whenua to breed, feed their young and socialise without facing human-induced threats.' (p. 12)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on sustaining and restoring the health of the hoiho population by focusing on 20 strategic priorities, such as ensuring that accurate, usable and accessible data contributes to hoiho conservation. These strategic priorities are guided by six principles that set the foundation for how decisions will be made. (pp. 14, 19-27)

Key data

Publication date: August 2020 Duration: 2019-2029

Number of pages: 32


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC


Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

131= out of 230 GDSs

12= out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 46 | 96 |

Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities



Purpose:

Excellent and impactful science that addresses the urgent need to understand the role of Antarctica and the Southern Ocean in a globally-connected and rapidly changing environment and supports Aotearoa New Zealand's commitment to protecting Antarctica and the Southern Ocean.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four priority research directions: sea-level rise; connections between the cryosphere (frozen water), ocean and atmosphere; ecosystem dynamics; and protection of Antarctic and Southern Ocean environments. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Foreign

Affairs; Minister for Oceans and Fisheries; Minister of Climate Change; Minister for Research, Science and Innovation; and Minister

of Conservation)

This GDS replaces: Antarctic and Southern

Ocean Science (2011)

Jointly held with: LINZ and MFAT Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

6= out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 50 | 96 |

A Strategy for New Zealand Food Safety: Strategy refresh



Purpose:

'[Ensure that] New Zealand food is safe and suitable ... [and] New Zealand's food sector thrives ... Grow a genuine partnership with Māori ... Strengthen our organisation to deliver'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement a series of initiatives to ensure New Zealand food is safe and suitable; the food sector thrives; a genuine partnership with Māori is fostered; and that New Zealand Food Safety is strengthened to ensure these initiatives are delivered. (pp. 8-15)

Key data

Publication date: August 2022 Duration: 2022-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: Department staff

(other than CE)

(Deputy Director-General)

This GDS replaces: Food Safety 2019-2024

(2019)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

131= out of 230 GDSs

12= out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 46 | 96 |

National Inshore Finfish Fisheries Plan



Purpose:

Ensuring the long-term health and resilience of ocean and coastal ecosystems, including the role of fisheries.' (p. 10)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach has five focus areas: achieving individual stock; enhancing benefits for Tangata Whenua and stakeholders; enabling integrated multi-stock management; improving local fisheries; and improving environmental performance. (p. 11)

Key data

Publication date: October 2022 Duration: 2022-2027

Number of pages: 44

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found

Legislation: May issue a strategy (see Fisheries Act 1996, s 11A)

and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

163= out of 230 GDSs

16 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 42 | 96 |

Wallaby Strategy: Achieving a wallaby-free Aotearoa



Purpose:

'By 2025 wallabies are contained within designated containment areas' as 'the first step towards the vision of eradication'. (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):


The approach has seven objectives, including that: wallaby management is effective, cost efficient and co-ordinated; the ecology and impact of wallabies are understood, and effective surveillance, control and decision support tools are developed, accessible and used; the rangatiratanga of whānau, hapū and iwi is actively enabled and supported; and New Zealanders are aware of the impacts of wallabies, support the management of wallabies, report sightings accurately and do not transport and release wallabies. (pp. 6-7)

Key data

Publication date: October 2022 Duration: 2021-2025 Number of pages: 29 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis


Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

61= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 22 GDSs in MPI

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 3 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 58 | 96 |



Urban Design Protocol

New Zealand Urban Design Protocol

Purpose:

'Making New Zealand towns and cities more successful through quality urban design.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to create a national cross-sector commitment to quality urban design; provide a national resource of tools, actions and experiences; set up partnerships between government, the private sector and professionals; and increase the awareness of quality urban design (including demonstrating its value). (p. 5)

Key data

Publication date: March 2005 Duration: 2005-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for the

Environment)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

155= out of 230 GDSs

9= out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 43 | 96 |

Clean Healthy Air for all New Zealanders



Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to promote a toolkit of 'compliance activities' to assist regional councils to meet the ambient PM10 standard. It adopts a graduated approach, with activities ranging from education, assisted compliance, advice, reporting and review through to action. (pp. 20-22)

Key data

Publication date: August 2011 Duration: 2011-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

the Environment)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

136= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 45 | 96 |

Mātauranga Whakauka Taiao - Environmental Education for Sustainability



Purpose:

'All New Zealanders value a connection to our environment by actively working together for a sustainable future.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three priority areas and implement an Environmental Education for Sustainability (EEfS) strategy to ensure Aotearoa New Zealand's environment remains healthy and sustainable in the future. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: July 2017 Duration: 2017-2027

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate

Minister of Conservation

and Minister for the Environment)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 15 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

155= out of 230 GDSs

9= out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 43 | 96 |

Our Science Strategy - Rautaki Pūtaiao



Purpose:

'[V]alued and trusted science for environmental stewardship.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four themes (people, tools and processes, collaborations, and conversations), and, where appropriate, to work directly with the Department Science Advisor, the Information Directorate and the Engagement and Procurement teams. (pp. 9-18)

Key data

Publication date: May 2018 Duration: 2018-NK

Number of pages: 18

Signed by: CE and department

> staff (other than CE) (Department Science

Advisor)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 9

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

143= out of 230 GDSs

8 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 44.5 | 96 |

Te hau mārohi ki anamata -Transitioning to a low-emissions and climate-resilient future



Purpose:

'[T]o urgently reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase carbon sinks, so that we meet our domestic emissions reduction targets.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on aligning system settings; reducing emissions in the key sectors of the economy; and ensuring a fair distribution of costs and benefits. This strategy forms Part I of the first emissions reduction plan and sets the context for the first three emissions budgets (published in May 2022). These will provide detailed information about the steps Aotearoa will take to reduce emissions and increase carbon sinks in the first budget period (2022-25). (pp. 15, 62)

Key data

Publication date: November 2021 Duration: 2021-2050 Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Climate Change)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 6

Legislation: Required (see Climate Change Response Act 2002, ss 5ZG-5ZI) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

65= out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 57.5 | 96 |

Te Hau Mārohi ki Anamata - Towards a Productive, Sustainable and Inclusive Economy: Aotearoa New Zealand's first emissions reduction plan



Purpose:

'[T]o contribute to global efforts to limit temperature rise to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels.' (p. 29)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach sets out a series of actions under key areas of focus, such as empowering Māori, achieving an equitable transition, working with nature, emissions pricing, funding and finance. (pp. 1, 52-331)

Key data

Publication date: May 2022 Duration: 2022-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Prime Minister

> and Minister for Climate Change) and CE (Secretary

for the Environment)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 12

Legislation: Required (see Climate Change Response Act

2002, ss 5ZG-5ZI) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

1= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 87 | 96 |

Urutau, ka Taurikura: Kia tū Pakari a Aotearoa i ngā Huringa Āhuarangi - Adapt and Thrive: Building a Climate-Resilient New Zealand



Purpose:

'To enable New Zealanders to prepare for and adapt to the impacts of climate change'. (p. 12)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach sets out many actions under three areas: enable better risk-informed decisions; drive climate-resilient development in the right locations; and focus on adaptation options, including managed retreat. (p. 12)

Key data

Publication date: August 2022 Duration: 2022-2028

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Climate

Change) and CE (Secretary

for the Environment)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 12, 24

Legislation: Required (see Climate

Change Response Act 2002, ss 5ZS-5ZT) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring


18= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

5 out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 4 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 74 | 96 |

Updated National Implementation Plan Under the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants



Purpose:

'[P]rotect human health and the environment from [persistent organic pollutants (POPs)], by implementing the Convention.' (p. 13)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- · Comply with the convention and communicate the actions taken to implement it
- Assess current progress in reducing the use of POPs in New Zealand
- Identify actions needed to eliminate and reduce the use of 'new POPs'
- Update and continue to implement New Zealand's action plan for dioxins and other unintentionally produced POPs
- Dispose of POP stockpiles and manage sites contaminated by POPs. (p. 13)

Key data

Publication date: December 2022 Duration: 2022-2025

Number of pages: 88

Signed by: CE (Secretary for the

Environment)

This GDS replaces: National Implementation

> Plan Under the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (2006)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found

Legislation: Required (see Hazardous Substances and New

Organisms Act 1996, sch 1AA)


and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

52= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

8= out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | L | 60 | 96 |

Te Rautaki Para -Waste Strategy



Purpose:

'[O]ur government sets out a long-term path to achieve the vision of Aotearoa New Zealand in 2050 as a low-emissions, low-waste society, embedding circular economy principles.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach covers three areas:

- · 'Waste generation: reduce the amount of material entering the waste management system, by 10 per cent per person.
- Waste disposal: reduce the amount of material that needs final disposal, by 30 per cent per person.
- Waste emissions: reduce the biogenic methane emissions from waste, by at least 30 per cent.' (p. 25)

Key data

Legislation:

Publication date: March 2023 2023-2050 Duration:

Number of pages: 56

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

the Environment)

Not applicable

This GDS replaces: Waste Strategy (2010)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 20

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

4 out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

3 out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | OCOTC | Out of |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | 0 | 0 |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | ' | 1 |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 85 | 96 |

Nationally Determined Contribution Strategy

Purpose:

'[Reduce] net emissions to 50 percent below 2005 gross emissions levels by 2030'. (p. 28)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- Ensure the ERP2 process can deliver increased domestic action and reduce emissions
- Deliver additional mitigation through international cooperation through a portfolio of options
- Reinforce existing climate change policy oversight functions and establish some new special-purpose functions across domestic action and international cooperation to ensure delivery, monitor progress and keep New Zealand on track
- Develop funding options for the cost of meeting the NDC. (p. 32)

Key data

Publication date: October 2023 Duration: 2023-NK Number of pages: 35

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map:

Legislation: Required (see Climate

Change Response Act 2002, sch 2A) but not cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis


Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

67= out of 230 GDSs


6 out of the 10 GDSs in MFE

13 out of the 58 GDSs in the Natural Resources Sector

| 1: Opportunities and Threats 1.1 Identifies potential opportunities 3 4 1.2 Identifies potential threats 2 4 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 6 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 3 4 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 3 4 2.3 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 6 8 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3. Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4 4 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3 4 5.1 Identifies who is respons | | | | |
|--|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1.1 Identifies potential opportunities 1.2 Identifies potential threats 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 6 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 3 4 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 3 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 6 | | | Score | Out of |
| 1.2 Identifies potential threats 2 4 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 6 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 3 4 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 3 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5 Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6 Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 6 | 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 6 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 3 4 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 3 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 3 4 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 3 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 6.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6.1 Alignment and Authority 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 3. 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3. 4 3. Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4. Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3. 4 5. Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2. 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4. 4 5. Explains how progress will be reported 5. Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6. Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.4 Ones are a special sequences. | 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 3 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5 4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6 Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's sonual report 6 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 6 | 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3.5 Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3.4 Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 4.5 Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4.5 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6. Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's sonual report 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.6 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.7 On the strategy and and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.6 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.7 On the strategy and and identifies any lessons learnt 6.8 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.9 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.9 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.9 Aligns with its department's annual report | 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2.4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3.4 Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3.5 Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6.4 Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.6 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.7 One of the strategy and strategy and sidentifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.4 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.5 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.6 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.7 Aligns with its department's annual report | 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 2. 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4. Highlights the chosen approach 3. 4 4. Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2. 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4. 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's soll 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 6 | 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's soll 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 6 | 3.1 | · | 6 | 8 |
| 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2.4 Lidentifies who will report on its progress 4.5 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's soll 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.6 6.7 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.7 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.8 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.9 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.9 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.9 Aligns with its department's annual report | 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3.4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3.4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2.4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4.5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6.1 Alignment and Authority 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.6 6.6 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.7 6 | 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3.4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3.5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2.4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4.5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6.1 Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.6 6.7 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.7 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.8 Aligns with its department's annual report 6.9 Aligns with its department's annual report | 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 3 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 6 | 4.1 | | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 4 | 4 |
| 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 2 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 4 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 2 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 0 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 6 | 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 6 | 6.1 | | 3 | 4 |
| 0 0 | 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| Total 57 96 | 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| | Tota | al | 57 | 96 |



Te Mahere Whai Mahi Wāhine -Women's Employment Action Plan



Purpose:

'[P]rovides a roadmap of actions towards a better future for women's employment.' (p. 10)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to deliver on five key objectives:

- Building a skilled workforce
- Supporting regions and industries
- Ensuring workplaces are modern and provide decent work
- Responding to the changing nature of work
- Creating an inclusive labour market. (p. 10)

Key data

Publication date: June 2022 Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages: 76

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Women and Chair of National Advisory Council on the

Employment of Women)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

16 out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 1 GDSs in MFW

4 out of the 35 GDSs in the Social Services and Community Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 77 | 96 |





Ministry of Business, Innovation and **Employment**— Hīkina Whakatutuki

Vision Mātauranga

Purpose:

'To unlock the innovation potential of Māori knowledge, resources and people to assist New Zealanders to create a better future.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four research themes: Indigenous innovation (contributing to economic growth through distinctive research and development); Taiao (achieving environmental sustainability through iwi and hapū relationships with land and sea); Hauora/oranga (improving health and social wellbeing); and Mātauranga (exploring indigenous knowledge and research, science and technology). (pp. 8-17)

Key data

Publication date: July 2007 2007-NK Duration: Number of pages: 28

Signed by: Not signed Not applicable This GDS replaces: Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

178= out of 230 GDSs

10 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 36.5 | 96 |

Strategy to 2040: He kai kei aku ringa - The Crown-Māori **Economic Growth** Partnership



Purpose:

'[W]hānau, hapū, iwi and enterprises are actively seeking opportunities to sustainably develop their own resources (human and natural) to improve Māori economic performance.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach has three themes: education (Government and Māori work together to consider new models of compulsory schooling that better meet Māori needs); natural resources (Government and Māori accelerate discussions on the development of natural resources); and Māori working together to drive growth (Build relationships and manage logistics in export markets). (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: November 2012 Duration: 2012-2040

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Other (Māori Economic

Development Panel Chair and Deputy Chair)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 5

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis


Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

155= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 43 | 96 |

Refugee Settlement: Resettlement Strategy

REFUGEE SETTLEMENT

Purpose:

'Refugees are participating fully and integrated socially and economically as soon as possible so that they are living independently, undertaking the same responsibilities and exercising the same rights as other New Zealanders and have a strong sense of belonging to their own community and to New Zealand.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is in stages; only the first year is outlined. This includes putting in place measures that show whether Immigration New Zealand is making progress towards five goals. These include working to ensure the right support is available for refugees before they arrive in New Zealand and placing a strong focus on employment once they arrive. (p. 9)

Key data

Publication date: December 2012 Duration: 2012-NK

12

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

218 out of 230 GDSs

15 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 22 | 96 |

Nation of Curious Minds - He Whenua Hihiri i te Mahara: A national strategic plan for science in society



Purpose:

'Encourage and enable better engagement with science and technology in all sectors of New Zealand.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three strategic action areas: enhancing the role of education (e.g. stronger links between STEM educators, learners, scientists and technologists); public engaging with science (e.g. encouraging high-quality science); and the science sector engaging with the public (e.g. ensuring scientists know how to make research accessible). (pp. 23-31, 38)

Key data

Publication date: July 2014 Duration: 2014-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Science

and Innovation and Minister of Education)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MOE

Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 9

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

95= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 51 | 96 |

National Statement of Science Investment



Purpose:

'A highly dynamic science system that enriches New Zealand, making a more visible, measurable contribution to our productivity and wellbeing through excellent science.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is broad (e.g. helping ensure the national science challenges deliver), but contains some detail (e.g. making the contestable fund a single, more agile and responsive fund). Note: The NSSI will be refreshed every three years to reflect progress and the funding needs of the science system. (pp. 58, 64)

Key data

Publication date: October 2015 Duration: 2015-2025

Number of pages: 66


Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Science and Innovation)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 7-8 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

67=out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 57 | 96 |

Health Research Strategy



Purpose:

'By 2027, New Zealand will have a world-leading health research and innovation system that, through excellent research, improves the health and wellbeing of all New Zealanders.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four strategic priorities (and implement specific actions): invest in excellent health research; create a vibrant research environment in the health sector; build and strengthen pathways for translating research findings into policy and practice; and advance innovative ideas and commercial opportunities. (p. 10)

Key data

Publication date: June 2017 Duration: 2017-2027

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health

and Minister of Science

and Innovation)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: МоН


Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 4-5

Legislation: Required (see Health

Research Council Act 1990, s 34(2)) but not cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

163= out of 230 GDSs

8= out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 0 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 42 | 96 |

Health and Safety at Work Strategy



Purpose:

'Work is healthy and safe for everyone in New Zealand ... Everyone plays their part to manage health and safety risks effectively and proportionately.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach concentrates on two goals. The first goal is to focus on what will make the biggest impact. It includes three priorities: better management of work-related health risks; businesses with greater need (including sectors with the highest harm and small business); and workers with greater need (such as Māori, Pasifika, migrant and seasonal, younger and older workers). The second goal is to build everyone's capability, with a focus on four priorities: leadership; worker engagement, representation and participation; health and safety practitioners; and data and insights. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: December 2018 Duration: 2018-2028

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Workplace Relations

and Safety)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 4

Legislation: Required (see Health and

Safety at Work Act 2015, s 195) but not cited in

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

214= out of 230 GDSs

14 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 24 | 96 |

Conformance System Strategy



Purpose:

'[S]ustain a trusted, reliable and high quality conformance system ... to protect communities and support commerce.' [A conformance system is] 'made up of specialist organisations that check if goods and service providers conform to standards or regulations.' (pp. 3, 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four areas: organisational performance and governance, regulatory quality and practice, partnerships for skills and value, and international connections. (pp. 6-7)

Key data

Publication date: July 2019

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially

2022)

Number of pages: 8

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis


Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

225= out of 230 GDSs

16 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 1 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 0 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ut | 16 | 96 |

Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Strategy



Purpose:

'Reduce TBTs affecting New Zealand firms and increase international regulatory cooperation by maximising the outcomes of our TBT work programme.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to provide a more effective TBT work programme across five focus areas:

- · Negotiating free trade agreements
- Implementing free trade agreements
- Participating in the World Trade Organisation **TBT** Committee
- Operating the World Trade Organisation **TBT Enquiry Point**
- Evaluating the work programme.

Each focus area lists a number of related action points. (pp. 10-19)

Key data

Publication date: 2019 Duration: 2018-NK Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

189= out of 230 GDSs

11 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 33 | 96 |

National Fuel Plan



Purpose:

'[M]inimise the effects of a fuel supply disruption on New Zealand, whatever the cause.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to agree communication and coordination arrangements, as well as regional fuel supply arrangements, between government agencies, Civil Defence Emergency Management (CDEM) groups and fuel sector organisations. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: March 2020 Duration: 2020-NK

Number of pages: 70

Signed by: Department staff (other

than CE) (Deputy CE) and other (Director of Civil Defence Emergency

Management)

This GDS replaces: Oil Emergency Response

Strategy (2008)

Jointly held with: **DPMC**

Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

111= out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 49 | 96 |

Combatting Modern Forms of Slavery



Purpose:

'[T]o ensure that people are not exploited, either in New Zealand or overseas. We will continue to work together ... to eliminate forced labour, people trafficking and slavery.' (foreword)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to strengthen three main objectives (prevention, protection and enforcement), and provide an updated framework outlining the actions and responsibilities of the relevant entities. (Foreword)

Key data

Publication date: December 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 29

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Workplace Relations

and Safety)

This GDS replaces: Plan of Action to Prevent

People Trafficking (2009)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

163= out of 230 GDSs

8= out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 42 | 96 |

Building for the Future: **Building System** Regulatory Strategy



Purpose:

'High-performing building regulation supporting better buildings for New Zealanders.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is for MBIE to act as the central regulator for building work, leveraging tools such as laws, compliance, enforcement and partnerships. (p. 8)

Key data

Publication date: December 2020 Duration: 2020-2035

Number of pages: 21

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 2 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

203= out of 230 GDSs

13 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 29 | 96 |

Employment Strategy

Purpose:

'[T]o improve the employment outcomes of all New Zealanders.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four objectives:

- Building a skilled workforce so workers have the skills they need to achieve their career aspirations
- Supporting thriving industries and regions so businesses can create more jobs and people can work where they choose
- Supporting workplaces to respond to the changing world of work and to provide healthy, safe and productive jobs
- Promoting inclusive work so everyone has opportunities to participate in meaningful and fulfilling work. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: November 2022

Duration: 2022-NK

Number of pages: 4

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Our Employment Strategy

(2019)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 2

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Wide scope

Climate intelligence

| | | > | \geq |
|------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|
| No mention | Mention only | Basic response | Detailed response |

Transparency scoring

196=out of 230 GDSs

12 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 0 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 31 | 96 |

Regulatory Systems Stewardship Strategy



Purpose:

'[C]reate and maintain regulatory systems that prevent harm and enable Aotearoa New Zealand's people, businesses, and future generations to thrive.' (p. 14)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on six complementary, inter-related strategic responses, each with a number of workstreams. These strategic responses are:

- · Embedding formal system leadership
- · Lifting core people capability
- · Enhancing the design of regulatory policy and implementation
- Embedding proactive system management
- Building knowledge to empower regulatory stewardship
- Engaging with cross-system issues and opportunities. (pp. 16-17)

Key data

Publication date: March 2023 Duration: 2023-2028

Number of pages: 36


Signed by: CE (Secretary for

Business, Innovation and Employment and Chief Executive)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 14-15 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

71= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 56 | 96 |

Aerospace Strategy - Te Rautaki Ātea-ārangi o Aotearoa



Purpose:

'[E]stablish a distinct New Zealand approach to developing the aerospace sector, by building on our national strengths, while managing national security risks.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement a three-phase approach:

- Take off setting up governance arrangements and workstreams, assessing current conditions, conducting feasibility assessments, developing project proposals and planning future work
- Ascend establishing collaborative research programmes, developing proof-of-concept technologies in testing environments and investing in critical infrastructure
- Expand cementing capabilities and successes by scaling up tested prototypes and securing New Zealand's niche in aerospace. (p. 25)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of


> Research, Science and Innovation; Minister for Economic Development; and Associate Minister of

Transport)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

77= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 55 | 96 |

Charging Our Future: National electric vehicle charging strategy



Purpose:

'Aotearoa New Zealand's EV charging infrastructure supports an equitable transition to a lowemissions transport system in which accessible, affordable, secure, and reliable charging infrastructure is available to everyone who needs it.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five long-term outcomes:

- Integrating EV charging infrastructure, ensuring the system is affordable, reliable, secure and safe
- Making EV charging infrastructure accessible, affordable, secure, and reliable for EV users from diverse backgrounds
- · Developing integrated and streamlined crosssectoral planning and standards to underpin New Zealand's EV charging system
- Ensuring the EV charging market functions effectively, can adapt and evolve over time, and is attractive to users, operators and investors
- Contributing to the transition to, and use of, low-emissions transport modes across the wider transport system. (p. 3)

Key data

Publication date: October 2023 Duration: 2023-2035

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MoT

Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 4

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

146= out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 16 GDSs in MBIE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 44 | 96 |



Defence Capability Plan 2019



Purpose:

'[P]rovides a vision for the capabilities that will deliver on the Policy Statement [2018], and for an enhanced and sustainable Defence Force.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to provide an indicative investment plan for Defence Force capabilities to 2030, including planned investments in air, maritime, land and information capabilities. (pp. 2-3)

Key data

Publication date: June 2019 Duration: 2019-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Defence)


This GDS replaces: Defence Capability

Plan (2016)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

109= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 2 GDSs in MOD

5 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 49.5 | 96 |

Defence Policy and Strategy Statement



Purpose:

We will act early and deliberately in pursuing and protecting our defence interests, particularly in and for the Pacific'. (pp. 10–11 of Defence Policy and Strategy Statement)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- Understand increase awareness of strategic and operating environments by maximising the use of defence capabilities and technologies
- Partner improve and enhance partnerships to support collective security approaches and maximise interoperability with security partners
- · Act promote and protect New Zealand's interests by shaping the security environment with a credible, combat-capable, deployable force. (pp. 10–11 of Defence Policy and Strategy Statement)

Key data

Publication date: August 2023 Duration: 2023-NK Number of pages: 48 (combined) Signed by: Crown (Minister of Defence)

This GDS replaces: Strategic Defence Policy

Statement (2018)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 10-11 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

59 out of 230 GDSs


1 out of the 2 GDSs in MOD

3 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 55 | 96 |



Nation of Curious Minds - He Whenua Hihiri i te Mahara: A national strategic plan for science in society



Purpose:

'Encourage and enable better engagement with science and technology in all sectors of New Zealand.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three strategic action areas: enhancing the role of education (e.g. stronger links between STEM educators, learners, scientists and technologists); the public engaging with science (e.g. encouraging high-quality science); and the science sector engaging with the public (e.g. ensuring scientists know how to make research accessible). (pp. 23-31, 38)

Key data

Publication date: July 2014 Duration: 2014-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Science

and Innovation and Minister of Education)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: **MBIE**

Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 9

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

95= out of 230 GDSs

8 out of the 15 GDSs in Education

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 51 | 96 |

International Student Wellbeing Strategy



Purpose:

'International students feel welcome, safe and well, enjoy a high quality education and are valued for their contribution to New Zealand.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to support international students by increasing their safety and wellbeing both culturally and economically, ensuring they can access high-quality education, and ensuring they are valued for their contribution to New Zealand. Specific examples include ensuring students have access to safe and reliable transport and access to information about social, cultural and religious services. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: June 2017 Duration: 2017-NK Number of pages: 12

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Tertiary Education)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 7


Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

217 out of 230 GDSs

15 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 22.5 | 96 |

Learning Support Action Plan



Purpose:

'[A]n inclusive education system where every child feels a sense of belonging, is present, makes progress, where their wellbeing is safeguarded and promoted, where learning is a lifelong journey, and where children and young people with learning support needs get the right support at the right time.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to target specialist learners' educational needs through six priority areas:

- 1. Introducing Learning Support Coordinators in schools and kura
- 2. Screening to enable teachers and other educators to identify and respond to children's learning support needs earlier
- 3. Strengthening early intervention
- 4. Providing additional, more flexible supports for neurodiverse children and young people
- 5. Increasing access to supports for gifted children and young people
- 6. Improving education for children and young people at risk of disengaging from education. (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: July 2019 Duration: 2019-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister and

Associate Minister for

Education)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 14-15 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

61= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 58 | 96 |

Te Rautaki Rawa Kura - The School Property Strategy 2030



Purpose:

'[H]elp us carry out [our] stewardship role and improve the quality of school property for the enduring benefit of learners/ ākonga and their families and whānau, teachers and school leaders, and local communities.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on a series of actions, including:

- · Establishing quality learning environment standards, carrying out assessments against these standards and investing in interventions where these are below standard
- · Implementing their construction category procurement strategy
- · Developing their asset management planning and investment frameworks
- Developing their asset management information systems. (p. 36)

Key data

Publication date: June 2020 Duration: 2020-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Department staff (other


> than CE) (Head of Education Infrastructure

Service)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 2-3 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

52= out of 230 GDSs

5= out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | Score | Out of |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | • |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | Ö | 8 |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | • |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | 3 | 4 |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to | 6 | 8 |
| 0.1 | what success would look like | 0 | ŏ |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 60 | 96 |

Ka Hikitia - Ka Hāpaitia - The Māori Education Strategy



Purpose:

'Māori are enjoying and achieving education success as Māori, as they develop the skills to participate in te ao Māori, Aotearoa and the wider world.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to provide an organising framework to prioritise cross-agency actions focused on achieving educational success for Māori over the long term. This approach is guided by five principles, for example, ensuring Māori learners and their whānau have a strong sense of belonging across the education system and giving practical effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi in the education system. (p. 5)

Key data


Publication date: July 2020 Duration: 2020-2050

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 1, 5 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

173= out of 230 GDSs

11 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 39 | 96 |

Tau Mai te Reo -The Māori language in education strategy



Purpose:

'Growing te reo Māori through education & growing education through te reo Māori to protect and promote the Māori language for future generations.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on seven key actions relating to Māori language in education: stimulating demand among learners and whānau; giving clear directions to education services; growing the Māori language education workforce; providing high quality resources to support teaching and learning; ensuring learners have access; providing system stewardship in support; and supporting learners and whānau to build strong connections with Māori language in education services. (p. 4)

Key data

Publication date: July 2020 Duration: 2020-2040

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 1, 3 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

203= out of 230 GDSs

14 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 0 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 29 | 96 |

Statement of National Education and Learning Priorities



Purpose:

'[H]elping children and young people to attain their educational potential; preparing young people for participation in civic and community life and for work, and promoting resilience, determination, confidence, creative and critical thinking, good social skills and the ability to form good relationships; [and appreciate] ... Te Tiriti o Waitangi.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on seven priority areas: ensure places of learning are safe and inclusive; have high aspirations for every learner and support these aspirations; reduce barriers to education for all; ensure all learners have a strong foundation in literacy, language and numeracy; incorporate tikanga Māori and te reo Māori; strengthen staff teaching and leadership capabilities to support diverse students; and collaborate with partners to support learners on their journey from education to work. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: November 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 5

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable


Strategy map: Yes, p. 1

Legislation: May issue a strategy

(see Education and Training Act 2020, s 5) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

178= out of 230 GDSs

12 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 36.5 | 96 |

Tertiary Education Strategy



Purpose:

Sets out the 'long-term strategic direction for tertiary education, including economic, social, and environmental goals, and the development aspirations of Māori and other population groups'. (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on eight priority areas to support tertiary students: ensure places of learning are safe and inclusive; have high aspirations for every learner and support these aspirations; reduce barriers to education for all; ensure all learners have a strong foundation in literacy, language and numeracy; incorporate tikanga Māori and te reo Māori; strengthen staff teaching and leadership capabilities to support diverse students; collaborate with partners to support learners on their journey from education to work; and enhance the contribution of research and mātauranga Māori in addressing local and global challenges. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: November 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 3

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 1


Legislation: Required (see Education

and Training Act 2020, s 7)

and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

186= out of 230 GDSs

13 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 34.5 | 96 |

Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated responses.
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing.

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2046 Number of pages: 128 (combined) Signed by: Crown (Minister for the Prevention of Family Violence and

Sexual Violence) This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC, MOH, MOJ, TPK, MSD, OT and PSC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

34= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 68 | 96 |

Literacy & Communication and Maths Strategy

Literacy & **Communication and Maths Strategy**

Purpose:

'[G]uide action to shape the early learning and schooling system to deliver equity and excellence in literacy & communication and maths teaching and learning. Learners being more successful in these foundational skills, and enjoying their learning more, will also help children and young people stay engaged in education.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five interdependent focus areas:

- · Clear expectations for teaching and learning
- Capability supports along the career pathway to develop effective kaiako and teachers who can meet the needs of diverse groups of learners
- Educationally powerful connections to support and enhance learning
- A system of learning that responds to the needs of every learner
- System-wide evaluation. (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: March 2022 Duration: 2022-NK

Number of pages: 36

Signed by: Crown (Associate Minister

of Education)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 18-19 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

52= out of 230 GDSs

5= out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 60 | 96 |

Attendance and **Engagement Strategy**



Purpose:

'[C]hildren, young people and their whānau exercise their right to education every day, because every day of learning matters'. (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on 13 priority actions that will be delivered across four focus areas:

- 'Empowering akonga and their whanau to engage in education
- Strengthening school and kura engagement practice
- Strengthening system enablers and supports for engagement
- Strengthening cross-government collaboration to address barriers to engagement.' (p. 9)

Key data

Publication date: June 2022 Duration: 2022-NK

Number of pages: 16

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

50 out of 230 GDSs

- 4 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE
- 4 out of the 19 GDSs in the Education and Workforce Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 61 | 96 |

Hei Raukura mō te Mokopuna - A Te Reo Matatini and Pāngarau Strategy



Purpose:

'[P]romote and enhance a mātauranga and kaupapa Māori understanding of te reo matatini and pāngarau across the curriculum. This is expected to result in increased well being, higher educational outcomes and qualifications, and better job opportunities for mokopuna.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to achieve a set of goals, including:

- · Reclaiming the meaning of te reo matatini to reflect its original intent and clarify its contribution to Te Tamaiti Hei Raukura
- Emphasising the importance of te reo matatini for meeting iwi aspirations for language revitalisation and regeneration
- Imagining the 'wāhanga ako of Te Marautanga o Aotearoa as tīrewa ako in Te Tīrewa Marautanga from understandings gained from the development of tīrewa ako for reo-ā-waha, pānui, tuhituhi, and pāngarau (kia rangatira te reo).' (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: February 2022 Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages: Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

136= out of 230 GDSs

10 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 45 | 96 |

International **Education Strategy**



Purpose:

'[D]elivering good education outcomes for international students, global opportunities for domestic students and our educational institutions, as well as economic, social and cultural benefits for all of New Zealand.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to apply two focus areas to three overarching goals. The focus areas are:

- Helping providers of international education to build back onshore offerings
- Building a new international education future.

The overarching goals are:

- Delivering an excellent education and student experience
- Ensuring international education is sustainable and resilient
- Developing global citizens. (pp. 2, 7, 9-10, 13)

Key data

Publication date: August 2022 Duration: 2022-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: International Education

> Strategy – He Rautaki Mātauranga A Ao 2018–

2030 (2018)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable


Strategy map: Yes, p. 2

Legislation: May issue a strategy

(see Education and Training Act 2020, s 8) but not cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

43 out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 65 | 96 |

Connected Ako: Digital and data for learning (a strategy for education agencies)



Purpose:

'[T]o guide the digital and data direction of New Zealand Government education agencies' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three areas, each with a series of critical initiatives:

- · Connection building on the foundations Initiatives: Te ao Māori in digital design; using data to make a difference; and safe and effective digital services
- Commitment how we work Initiatives: Engaging widely and effectively, and showing future-focused leadership
- Capability to deliver Initiative: Transformation of learning, teaching, assessment and research. (p. 8)

Key data


Publication date: June 2023 2023-2033 Duration:

Number of pages:


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 10 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

9 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 50 | 96 |

Action Plan for Pacific Education: 2023 refresh



Purpose:

'[W]e will achieve system change to better support Pacific learners and families ... in Aotearoa, New Zealand.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on achieving five key system shifts, including:

- Working reciprocally with diverse Pacific communities
- Confronting systemic racism and discrimination in education
- Enabling every teacher, leader and educational professional to take coordinated action to become culturally competent with diverse Pacific learners
- Partnering with families to design education opportunities together with teachers, leaders and educational professionals.
- Growing, retaining and valuing highly competent teachers, leaders and educational professionals with diverse Pacific heritage (pp. 8-9)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2020-2030

Number of pages:

Crown (Associate Signed by: Minister of Education) This GDS replaces:

Action Plan for Pacific Education 2020-2030

(2020)Jointly held with:

Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 8-9 Legislation:

Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

30= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 15 GDSs in MOE

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | _ | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 69 | 96 |



International Cooperation for Effective Sustainable Development (ICESD) [Policy Statement]



Purpose:

'[C]ontribute to a more peaceful world, in which all people live in dignity and safety, all countries can prosper, and our shared environment is protected.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach aims to integrate environmental, diplomatic, trade, and security cooperation through MFAT's policy goals. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: November 2019 Duration: 2019-2030

Number of pages: 2

Signed by: Not signed Not applicable This GDS replaces: Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

223 out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 7 GDSs in MFAT

11 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 18 | 96 |

India - New Zealand 2025: Investing in the Relationship



Purpose:

'Building a more enduring strategic relationship between India and New Zealand. (inside cover)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is structured around six goals: building a relationship based on mutual trust that advances our shared interests; improving New Zealanders' capability for engaging with India; growing goods and services trade for shared prosperity; ensuring New Zealand's value proposition is known and understood; stronger and broader sporting and cultural connections between New Zealand and India; and shared approaches bilaterally and in international fora. (pp. 2-3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15)

Key data

Publication date: February 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Foreign Affairs)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

219= out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 7 GDSs in MFAT

10 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 21 | 96 |

Gender Action Plan



Purpose:

'[A]dvancing gender equality and women's empowerment.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on three areas: women in governance and leadership; eliminating violence against women and girls; and social protection. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: January 2021 2021-2025 Duration:

Number of pages: 2


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 2

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring


206= out of 230 GDSs

3= out of the 7 GDSs in MFAT

7= out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 28.5 | 96 |

Child & Youth Well-Being Strategic Action Plan



Purpose:

'[S]ustainable development outcomes for children and youth who are missing out.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is for MFAT to invest in four areas: improving governance systems for children and youth; empowering children and youth to participate in and inform development; providing health and learning services; and realising youth potential. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: May 2021 Duration: 2021-2025

Number of pages: 2


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 1

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

206= out of 230 GDSs

3= out of the 7 GDSs in MFAT

7= out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 28.5 | 96 |

Human Rights Strategic Action Plan for International Development Cooperation



Purpose:

'[A]dvancing the realisation of human rights, prioritising people and groups at risk of being left behind.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach has four strategic focus areas:

- · Capacity and capability: duty bearers are supported to improve human rights monitoring and implementation
- People and groups: rights holders are empowered through inclusive development
- Communities in crises: this human rights based approach is integrated in crises responses
- Quality delivery: human rights are mainstreamed throughout policy and programming. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: October 2021 Duration: 2021-2025

Number of pages:


Signed by: Not signed Not applicable This GDS replaces: Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 2

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

206= out of 230 GDSs

3= out of the 7 GDSs in MFAT

7= out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 28.5 | 96 |

Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities



Purpose:

'Excellent and impactful science that addresses the urgent need to understand the role of Antarctica and the Southern Ocean in a globally-connected and rapidly changing environment and supports Aotearoa New Zealand's commitment to protecting Antarctica and the Southern Ocean.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four priority research directions: sea-level rise; connections between the cryosphere (frozen water), ocean and atmosphere; ecosystem dynamics; and protection of Antarctic and Southern Ocean environments. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2030

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Foreign

Affairs; Minister for Oceans and Fisheries; Minister of Climate Change; Minister for Research, Science and Innovation; and Minister

of Conservation)

This GDS replaces: Antarctic and Southern

Ocean Science (2011)

Jointly held with: LINZ and MPI Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 7 GDSs in MFAT

4 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 50 | 96 |

International Climate Finance Strategy -Tuia te Waka a Kiwa



Purpose:

'[Provide] a high-level, flexible framework to inform our climate finance investments for the 2022-2025 commitment period and beyond ... It also seeks to provide a common understanding of Aotearoa New Zealand's climate finance preferences to support discussions with a wide range of partners.' (p. 12)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four goals:

- Accelerated climate change mitigation
- Enhanced resilience and adaptation to the impacts of climate change
- Improved institutional capability and evidence-based decision making
- Leveraged investment to achieve greater climate impact. (pp. 18-19)

Key data

Publication date: August 2022 Duration: 2022-2025

Number of pages: 19

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Foreign Affairs and Minister

for Climate Change)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

13= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 7 GDSs in MFAT

1 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 78 | 96 |



Palliative Care Strategy

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



McGuinness Institute analysis

Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

118= out of 230 GDSs

23 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

23 out of the 44 GDSs in the Health Sector

The New Zealand **Palliative Care** Strategy

Purpose:

'All people who are dying and their family/whānau who could benefit from palliative care have timely access to quality palliative care services that are culturally appropriate and are provided in a co-ordinated way.' (p. vii)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to create an integrated, cooperative framework with DHBs that ensures a palliative care culture. Actions are organised under nine strategies and include: implementing hospital palliative care teams; developing the palliative care workforce and training requirements; and implementing the Paediatric Review

recommendations. (pp. 15-20)

Key data

Publication date: February 2001

Duration: 2001-NK (was initially 2011)

Number of pages: 65

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

| 20 000 01 010 11 0200 11 010 110000 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------|--------|
| | | Score | Out of |
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 48.5 | 96 |

Sexual and Reproductive Health Strategy -Phase One

Sexual and Reproductive Health Strategy Phase One

Purpose:

'Good sexual and reproductive health for all New Zealanders.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to design a framework to meet the sexual-health needs of particular communities using a two-phase process which guides and aligns the health sector in the management and funding of services for STIs, unwanted pregnancy and HIV/AIDS. (p. iii)

Key data

Publication date: October 2001 Duration: 2001-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health) This GDS replaces: Sexual and Reproductive

Health Strategy (2001)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

186= out of 230 GDSs

38 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 34.5 | 96 |

Cancer Control Strategy

New Zealand Cancer Control

Strategy

Purpose:

'The overall purposes of the strategy are to: reduce the incidence and impact of cancer [and] reduce inequalities with respect to cancer.' (p. 19)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach includes 25 objectives to support six goals, along with broad areas for action to achieve the objectives. Examples of objectives include reducing the number of people who develop cancers due to tobacco use and secondhand smoke, and reducing the number of people developing cancers related to physical inactivity and obesity. (pp. 10, 25-55)

Key data

Publication date: August 2003

Duration: 2003-NK (was initially

2008)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)

Not applicable This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not found Strategy map: Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

80= out of 230 GDSs

14 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 54 | 96 |

Medicines New Zealand

Medicines **New Zealand**

Contributing to good health outcomes for all New Zealanders

Purpose:

'New Zealanders will have a medicines system that: delivers quality medicines that are safe and effective; provides access to the medicines they need; [and] ensures that medicines are used effectively.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to provide an overarching framework for agencies and stakeholders, in order to inform decision-making and ensure a cohesive and responsive medicines system is put in place. Ways to do this include making funding decisions more transparent and consulting with stakeholders to ensure current and future needs are met. (p. 10)

Key data

Publication date: December 2007

Duration: 2007-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister and

Associate Minister of

Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 5

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

198 out of 230 GDSs

40 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 30.5 | 96 |

Ambulance Service Strategy

The New Zealand Ambulance Service Strategy

Purpose:

'[E]nsure that the ambulance sector has clearly defined roles in the emergency and health sectors ... deliver[s] a long-term plan for meeting community emergency health needs [and] integrate[s] ambulance services within the wider health sector.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on 10 initiatives that promote leadership, cohesion and consistency between emergency ambulance providers, with greater national consistency in training, clinical guidelines and oversight, and levels of practice. The department will also work more closely with key organisations, such as ACC, MOH and DHBs, to integrate ambulance services within the wider health sector. (pp. 4-14)

Key data

Publication date: June 2009

Duration: 2009-NK (was initially

2020)

Number of pages: 13

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 7

Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

181= out of 230 GDSs

34= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 36 | 96 |

National Plan for Child Cancer Services in New Zealand



Purpose:

'[A]chieve national agreement on the service delivery model [including] equitable access ... certainty for parents, families and whānau ... clarity for District Health Boards (DHBs) ... [and] sustainable child cancer services.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is a two-centre model for the provision of specialist paediatric oncology care, with shared care arrangements with other DHBs. The two specialist centres are to be Starship Children's Hospital at Auckland DHB and Christchurch Hospital at Canterbury DHB. Actions include: reviewing current supportive care available and pricing for paediatric oncology; revising the Paediatric Oncology Service Specification; formalising shared care agreements; and establishing a national clinical network for child cancer services in New Zealand. (p. vi)

Key data

Publication date: December 2011 Duration: 2011-NK Number of pages: 54 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis


Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

86= out of 230 GDSs

16= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 53 | 96 |

Care Closer to Home

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



McGuinness Institute analysis

Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

229 out of 230 GDSs

44 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

44 out of the 44 GDSs in the Health Sector

Care Closer to Home

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

early and provide care for patients discharged from

Purpose:

'[P]roviding better,

integrated health care closer to home for all New Zealanders.' (p. 1)

The approach is to highlight initiatives being carried out by health-care providers to treat health issues hospital within their local communities. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: February 2014 Duration: 2014-NK Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 13.5 | 96 |

He Korowai Oranga -Māori Health Strategy

He Korowai Oranga Māori Health Strategy

Purpose:

'[T]o drive continuous quality improvement in the development, design and delivery of services for Māori.' (p. 12)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on effective leadership, planning and measurement. For example, measurement includes the collection of highquality ethnicity data so that all areas of health can measure performance at national, regional and local levels. (p. 13)

Key data

Publication date: June 2014 Duration: 2014-NK Number of pages: 16

Signed by: Not signed

He Korowai Oranga: Māori This GDS replaces:

Health Strategy (2002)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 4

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

169 out of 230 GDSs

32 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | I | 40.5 | 96 |

Cancer Plan

New Zealand Cancer Plan Better, Faster Cancer Care 2015-2018

Purpose:

'[T]o ensure all people have timely access to excellent cancer services that will enable them to live better and longer.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is a patient pathway that focuses on prevention and early detection, diagnosis and treatment, and follow-up care. (p. 8)

Key data

Publication date: December 2014

Duration: 2015-NK (was initially

2018)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 8

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

155= out of 230 GDSs

30= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 43 | 96 |

Implementing Medicines New Zealand



Purpose:

'Implementing Medicines New Zealand (the Plan) is about the changes required to deliver on Medicines New Zealand (2007) (the Strategy) ... We want New Zealanders, regardless of their ability to pay, to have access to safe, high-quality, effective medicines, and we want those medicines to be used in the best possible way.' (pp. iii, 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on advancing seven impact areas. Impact areas include enabling shared care through an integrated health care team; optimal use of antimicrobials; and competent and responsive prescribers. The aim is to implement a range of actions but be open to continuously changing priorities and actions as new ideas emerge. (pp. 2-11)

Key data

Publication date: June 2015

Duration: 2015-NK (was initially

2020)

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Crown (Associate

Minister of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

181= out of 230 GDSs

34= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | l | 36 | 96 |

Cancer Health Information Strategy

New Zealand Cancer Health Information Strategy Fortune Canar Resource Material CON SON

Purpose:

'[T]o deliver comprehensive, accessible and accurate information to support the delivery of quality care across the cancer patient pathway' and to 'align with the National Health IT Plan [see GDS19-14] and enable the New Zealand Cancer Plan 2015-2018 [see GDS19-18].' (pp. 1, 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four interventions: to establish a national framework for managing cancer data; to standardise, digitise and make accessible cancer data at point of care; to aggregate relevant patient and cancer service data into cancer information; and to analyse, produce and communicate cancer intelligence to stakeholders. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: July 2015

Duration: 2015-NK (was initially 2020)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Department staff (other

> than CE) (National Clinical Director Cancer and Director of National Health IT Board

and Information Group)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 9

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

181= out of 230 GDSs

34= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 36 | 96 |

Living Well with Diabetes

Living Well with Diabetes

A plan for people at high risk of or living with diabetes 2015-2020

Purpose:

'All New Zealanders with diabetes, or at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes, are living well and have access to highquality, people-centred health services.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on reducing the personal burden of disease for people with diabetes; providing consistent and equitable services for all New Zealanders; and reducing the cost of diabetes on the public health system, and the broader societal impact in the longer term. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: October 2015

Duration: 2015-NK (was initially

2020)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

151= out of 230 GDSs

28= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 43.5 | 96 |

Disability Strategy 2016-2026



Purpose:

'New Zealand is a nondisabling society – a place where disabled people have an equal opportunity to achieve their goals and aspirations, and all of New Zealand works together to make this happen.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is structured around eight desired outcomes, summarised as: education; employment and economic security; health and well-being; rights protection and justice; accessibility; attitudes; choice and control; and leadership.

Each outcome has two parts: a vision of the future which sets the aspiration, and how this future will be attained. (pp. 22-39, 46-47)

Key data

Publication date: November 2016 Duration: 2016-2026

Number of pages: 52

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Disability Issues)

This GDS replaces: Disability Strategy

(2001)

Jointly held with: MSD

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 8, 22 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

146= out of 230 GDSs

27 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 44 | 96 |

Healthy Ageing Strategy

Purpose: 'Older people live well, age well and have a respectful

Healthy Ageing **Strategy**

136= out of 230 GDSs

end of life in age-friendly communities.' (p. ii)

Transparency scoring

No mention

25= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

25= out of the 44 GDSs in the Health Sector

Mention only

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on five outcome areas: healthy ageing; acute and restorative care; living well with long-term conditions; support for people with high and complex needs; and respectful end of life. The strategy also includes an action plan listing specific actions for each outcome area and the lead entity and key partners tasked with implementing each action. (pp. 17, 48-67)

Key data

Publication date: December 2016 Duration: 2016-2026

Number of pages: 76

Signed by: Crown (Associate

Minister of Health)

and CE (Director-General

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis Year strategy ends • 2026 2024 2060 Scope of subject matter Narrow scope Climate intelligence

Basic response

Detailed response

| 25= out of the 44 GDSs in the Health Sector | | | |
|---|--|-------|--------|
| | | Score | Out of |
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 45 | 96 |

Health Research Strategy



Purpose:

'By 2027, New Zealand will have a world-leading health research and innovation system that, through excellent research, improves the health and wellbeing of all New Zealanders.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four strategic priorities (and implement specific actions): invest in excellent health research; create a vibrant research environment in the health sector; build and strengthen pathways for translating research findings into policy and practice; and advance innovative ideas and commercial opportunities. (p. 10)

Key data

Publication date: June 2017 Duration: 2017-2027

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health

and Minister of Science

and Innovation)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: **MBIE**

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 4-5

Legislation: Required (see Health

Research Council Act 1990, s 34(2)) but not cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

120= out of 230 GDSs

24 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 0 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 48 | 96 |

Faiva Ora 2016-2021 - National Pasifika Disability Plan



Purpose:

'Pasifika disabled people and their families are supported to live the lives they choose.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four priorities: outcomes improve for Pasifika disabled children, youth and their families; Pasifika communities are able to better engage with and support individuals with disabilities and their families to participate in their communities; disability services and supports meet the needs of Pasifika disabled people and their families; and stakeholders work in partnership to address challenges experienced by Pasifika disabled people and their families. (pp. 15-19)

Key data

Publication date: August 2017

Duration: 2016-NK (was initially

2021)

27 Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Faiva Ora National Pasifika

Disability Plan (2014)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

90= out of 230 GDSs

19= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 52.5 | 96 |

Influenza Pandemic Plan: A framework for action



Purpose:

'To minimise deaths, serious illness and serious disruption to communities and the economy arising from an influenza pandemic.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to provide a six-phase model of actions:plan for it (planning and preparedness), keep it out (border management), stamp it out (cluster control), manage it (pandemic management), manage it post-peak and recover from it (recovery). (p. 9)

Key data

Publication date: August 2017 Duration: 2017-NK Number of pages: 193

Signed by: CE (Director-General

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Influenza Pandemic

Action Plan (2010)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Yes, p. 10 Strategy map: Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

136= out of 230 GDSs

25= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 45 | 96 |

Whāia Te Ao Mārama 2018 to 2022 -The Māori Disability Action Plan



Purpose:

'[T]āngata whaikaha pursue a good life with support ... Whāia Te Ao Mārama is aligned with the vision and principles of enabling good lives and transforming the disability support system, which offer disabled people greater choice and control over the supports they receive, so that they can plan for the lives they want.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to work with tangata whaikaha (Māori with disabilities) to achieve six goals. These are that by 2022, tāngata whaikaha will:

- · Participate in the development of health and disability services
- Have control over their disability support
- Participate in te ao Māori
- Participate in their community
- Receive disability support services that are responsive to te ao Māori
- Have informed and responsive communities. (p. 8)

Key data

Publication date: March 2018

Duration: 2018-NK (was initially

2022)

Number of pages: 18


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

199= out of 230 GDSs

41 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | l. | 30 | 96 |

Where I Live; How I Live - Disability Support Services Community Residential Support Services Strategy



Purpose:

'[P]rovide disabled people and their families/whānau with greater choice, control and flexibility, while acknowledging that people's own experiences will contribute to their level of desire to explore different living choices.' (p. 16)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement 10 actions, including working with the health and disability sector to improve options for attracting and retaining skilled support workers, and ensuring that the recently established guidelines on preventing and managing abuse are implemented effectively. (pp. 20-21)

Key data

Publication date: March 2018

Duration: 2018-NK (was initially

2020)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate Minister

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

151= out of 230 GDSs

28= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 43.5 | 96 |

Mental Health and Addiction Workforce Action Plan

Mental Health and Addiction Workforce **Action Plan** 2017-2021

Purpose:

'For the mental health and addiction workforce, ... [this means] enabling people to thrive and experience wellbeing wherever they live and whatever their circumstances.' (p. vii)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to concentrate on four priority areas: a workforce that is focused on people and improved outcomes; a workforce that is integrated and connected across the continuum; a workforce that is competent and capable; and a workforce that is the right size and skill mix. (p. viii)

Key data

Publication date: April 2018

Duration: 2017-NK (was initially

2021)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Department staff (other

than CE) (Director of Mental Health and Chief Advisor; and Chief People and Transformation Officer)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 21 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

90= out of 230 GDSs

19= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 52.5 | 96 |

National Poliomyelitis Response Plan for New Zealand



Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to outline a response plan to a potential outbreak of poliomyelitis (polio) that is tailored towards case, contact and community responses, using a risk-based assessment. (pp. 10-15)

Key data

Strategy map:

Legislation:

Publication date: February 2019 Duration: 2019-NK Number of pages: 26 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Not found

Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

219= out of 230 GDSs

43 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 0 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 21 | 96 |

National Syphilis Action Plan



Purpose:

'[G]uide a coordinated and systematic response to interrupt ongoing transmission of infectious syphilis and to prevent congenital syphilis.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to collaborate with stakeholders across the health sector to respond to a syphilis epidemic through a combination of national actions led by MoH, and regional actions led by DHBs and specialist sexual health services. (p. 5)

Key data

Publication date: June 2019 Duration: 2019-2024

Number of pages: 16

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

189= out of 230 GDSs

39 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 33 | 96 |

Every Life Matters -He Tapu te Oranga o ia Tangata - Suicide Prevention Strategy



Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

Building a strong system for suicide prevention includes focusing on four areas: strengthening national leadership; using evidence and collective knowledge to make a difference; developing the suicide prevention workforce to have capacity and capability to respond; and evaluating and monitoring Every Life Matters. Key to supporting wellbeing is working to increase protective factors and reduce risk factors across the suicide prevention continuum, including promotion, prevention, intervention and postvention. (p. 10)

Key data

Publication date: September 2019 Duration: 2019-2029

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)

and CE (Director-General

of Health)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 2

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

86= out of 230 GDSs

16= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 53 | 96 |

Planned Care Strategic Approach



Purpose:

'New Zealanders experience timely, appropriate access to quality Planned Care which achieves equitable health outcomes ... Planned Care encompasses medical and surgical activity traditionally known as Elective or Arranged services that are delivered by hospitals.' (pp. 6-7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on five strategic priorities to set a new direction for planned care services: understanding planned care needs; balancing national consistency and local needs; simplifying pathways for service users; optimising sector capability and capacity; and ensuring systems are fit for the future. (p. 8)

Key data

Transferred from:

Publication date: September 2019 Duration: 2019-2024 Number of pages: 20 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable

Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

170= out of 230 GDSs

33 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 39.5 | 96 |

COVID-19 Health and Disability System Response Plan



Purpose:

'Success under this strategy means that COVID-19 is eliminated in New Zealand or reduced to a small number of cases, the large majority of which are "imported" and linked to international travel.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on eight priority populations (including Māori, Pacific peoples, older people, people with long-term conditions, people with disabilities, people with mental health conditions, people living in residential facilities and refugees and migrant community members) and eight planning areas (workforce, public health, hospitals, care in the community, laboratories, infection prevention and control, health and disability supply chain, and support for priority population) in accordance with the Government's COVID-19 elimination strategy. (pp. 2, 12, 19)

Key data

Publication date: April 2020 Duration: 2020-NK

Number of pages: 37


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

181= out of 230 GDSs

34= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ut | 36 | 96 |

Ola Manuia -Pacific Health and Wellbeing Action Plan



Purpose:

'Pacific people lead independent and resilient lives ... live longer in good health ... [and] have equitable health outcomes.' (p. 17)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is three-fold: to strengthen health knowledge and skills of Pacific people to support informed choices about their health and wellbeing; to change the health and disability system to deliver more responsive, more accessible and high-quality services for Pacific families; and to strengthen actions to create environments that improve health equity for Pacific families. (p. 18)

Key data

Publication date: June 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate


Minister of Health) and CE (Director-General

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 18 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

95= out of 230 GDSs

22 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 51 | 96 |

Whakamaua – Māori Health Action Plan



Purpose:

'The overall aim of He Korowai Oranga is Pae ora – healthy futures for Māori ... Whānau ora Healthy families ... Mauri ora Healthy individuals ... Wai ora Healthy environment.' (p. 18)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on eight priority areas for action: Māori-Crown partnerships; Māori leadership; Māori health and disability workforce; Māori health sector development; cross-sector action; quality and safety; insights and evidence; and performance and accountability. (p. 27)

Key data

Publication date: July 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 78

Signed by: Crown (Associate

> Minister of Health) and CE (Director-General

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 66 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

86= out of 230 GDSs

16= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 53 | 96 |

Kaiāwhina Workforce Action Plan



Purpose:

'The MOEMOEā (vision and dream) of the taonga is to enhance mana and evoke a sense of tinorangatiratanga for all Kaiāwhina [non-regulated roles in the health and disability sector].' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five key priorities: building cultural capability; connecting Kaiāwhina; accelerating new ways of working and ecosystem thinking; creating workforce knowledge and data; and supplying and developing the workforce. Each priority is supported by a set of key actions. (pp. 4-8)

Key data

Publication date: November 2020 Duration: 2020-2025

Number of pages: 8

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Kaiāwhina Workforce


Action Plan 2015-2020

(2015)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

210= out of 230 GDSs

42 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 26 | 96 |

Kia Kaha, Kia Māia, Kia Ora Aotearoa: COVID-19 Psychosocial and Mental Wellbeing Plan



Purpose:

'The mental wellbeing framework has a vision of: Pae ora (healthy futures): An equitable and thriving Aotearoa in which mental wellbeing is promoted and protected.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five areas: build the social, cultural and economic foundations for mental wellbeing, foster community-led solutions, equip whānau and individuals to look after their mental wellbeing, expand primary mental health and addiction support in communities, and strengthen specialist services. (pp. 20, 24, 27, 29, 31)

Key data

Publication date: December 2020

Duration: 2020-NK Number of pages: 53

Signed by: Department staff

(other than CE)

(Deputy Director-General)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 7


Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

84= out of 230 GDSs

15 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 53.5 | 96 |

Kia Manawanui Aotearoa -Long-term pathway to mental wellbeing



Purpose:

'[P]eople and whānau have their basic needs met, know how to strengthen their own mental wellbeing, and live in communities with diverse, well-integrated avenues for support when and where it is needed.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is three-fold: a strong government mandate and commitment to transformation; a commitment to a collective approach and joint effort towards a shared vision; and a set of guiding principles that underpin how the Ministry will work, including a strong focus on achieving equity. (p. 32-34)

Key data

Publication date: August 2021 Duration: 2021-2031

Number of pages: 76

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)

and CE (Director-General

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 24 Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2031 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

51 out of 230 GDSs

11 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 60.5 | 96 |

Data and Information Strategy for Health and Disability - He Rautaki Raraunga, He Rautaki Pārongo mō te Pūnaha Hauora, Whaikaha hoki



Purpose:

Ensuring people have access to and control of their own health information is essential, as well as making sure quality data is available for evidence-based decision-making ... better data management, governance and transparency.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five areas: data foundations; equity and data sovereignty; consumer participation; people and leadership; and data accessibility. (pp. 20–33 of Data and Information Strategy for Health and Disability - He Rautaki Raraunga, He Rautaki Pārongo mō te Pūnaha Hauora, Whaikaha hoki)

Key data

Publication date: November 2021

Duration: 2021-NK

Number of pages: 68 (combined) Signed by: Department staff

(other than CE) (Deputy Director-General)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

90= out of 230 GDSs

19= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 47 | 96 |

COVID-19 Māori Health Protection Plan

6 MANATU HALLORA COVID-19 Māori Health Protection Plan

Purpose:

'[T]o protect the health and wellbeing of whānau, hapū, iwi, and hapori Māori by preventing and mitigating the impacts of COVID-19 on their health and wellbeing.' (p. 13)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on increasing vaccination coverage among Māori, and building resilience of Māori health and disability service providers to respond to the Delta variant and manage ongoing impacts of COVID-19. (p. 2)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-NK Number of pages: 48 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

77= out of 230 GDSs

13 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 55 | 96 |

Smokefree Aotearoa 2025 Action Plan



Purpose:

'By 2025, daily smoking prevalence is less than five percent for all population groups in New Zealand.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on six areas: ensuring Māori leadership and decision-making at all levels; increasing health promotion and community mobilisation; increasing evidence-based stopsmoking services; reducing the addictiveness and appeal of smoked tobacco products; reducing the availability of smoked tobacco products; and ensuring manufacturers, importers and retailers meet their legal obligations. (pp. 16-27)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate

Minister of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 6

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

65= out of 230 GDSs

12 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 57.5 | 96 |

Te Aorerekura -The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated responses.
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2046 Number of pages: 128 (combined) Signed by: Crown (Minister for

the Prevention of Family Violence and Sexual Violence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC, MOE, MOJ, TPK,

MSD, OT and PSC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

34= out of 230 GDSs

8 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|-----|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| | ıl | 68 | 96 |

Strategy to Prevent and Minimise Gambling Harm 2022/23 to 2024/25



Purpose:

'[T]o reduce health inequities attributable to gambling harm for priority populations (Māori, Pacific peoples, Asian peoples and young people/rangatahi), and to better meet their needs' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four objectives:

- Creating a full spectrum of services and supports
- Shifting cultural and social norms
- Strengthening leadership and accountability to achieve equity
- Strengthening the health and health equity of Māori, Pacific peoples, Asian peoples and young people/rangatahi. (p. 41)

Key data

Publication date: June 2022 Duration: 2022-2025

Number of pages: 99

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Strategy to Prevent and

Minimise Gambling Harm

2019/20 to 2021/22 (2019)


Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 41, 53

Legislation: May issue a strategy

> (see Gambling Act 2003, s 317) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

27= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 70 | 96 |

National HIV Action Plan



Purpose:

'An Aotearoa New Zealand where HIV transmission is eliminated and all people living with HIV have healthy lives free from stigma and discrimination'. (p. 12)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four areas:

- Surveillance, information and knowledge systems
- Combination prevention and health promotion
- Testing and linkage to care
- Support for people living with HIV, including addressing stigma and discrimination. (p. 19)

Key data

Publication date: March 2023 Duration: 2023-2030


Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 20 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

44= out of 230 GDSs

9 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 64 | 96 |

Ngā Pokenga Paipai Me Ngā Pokenga Huaketo Mā Te Toto: Te Rautaki -Sexually Transmitted and Blood Borne Infection Strategy



Purpose:

'The purpose of this shared strategy for STIs and blood borne viruses is to improve collaboration, support collective action and set a unified strategic direction for the health agencies ... and the health and disability sector.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four strategic directions:

- Surveillance, information and public health knowledge systems to drive action
- Results-focused health promotion and comprehensive prevention
- Equitable access to culturally safe, quality health care for testing and management
- Leading for an integrated, supported, consumer-focused system. (p. 17)

Key data

Publication date: March 2023 Duration: 2023-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 6


Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

47= out of 230 GDSs

10 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 62 | 96 |

Health Strategy



Purpose:

'[Set] the medum [sic] and long-term direction for health and [identify] priority areads [sic] for change to improve health outcomes.' (p. 10)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on six strategic priorities, including:

- · Responding to the voices of people and communities throughout the health system
- Developing services focused on preventing illness and delivering care closer to home
- Ensuring preparedness for future shocks and the best use of resources to manage the demand for health services over long term
- Developing a diverse, skilled and confident health workforce. (p. 10)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2033

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health) This GDS replaces: Health Strategy (2016)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 10

Legislation: Required (see Pae Ora (Healthy Futures) Act

2022, s 41) and cited in

the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

6= out of 230 GDSs

2= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 81 | 96 |

Pae Tū: Hauora Māori Strategy



Purpose:

'[Update] the direction for improving the health and wellbeing of Māori ... Together with the New Zealand Health Strategy, [set] the overarching direction for the health system ... [Provide] the guiding framework by which health entities will uphold Te Tiriti and achieve Māori health equity'. (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five priority areas:

- Enabling whānau, hapū, iwi and Māori community leadership, decision-making and governance at all levels
- Strengthening whole-of-government commitment to Māori health
- Growing the Māori health workforce and sector to match community needs
- Enabling culturally safe, whānau-centred and preventative primary health care
- Ensuring accountability for system performance for Māori health. (p. 28)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health

and Associate Minister of Health [Māori Health])

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Not found

Legislation: Required (see Pae Ora

(Healthy Futures) Act 2022, s 42) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

24 out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 73 | 96 |

Provisional Health of Disabled People Strategy



Purpose:

'[S]et the direction and long-term priorities to achive [sic] equity in disabled people's health and wellbeing outcomes over the next ten years.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five priority areas:

- Access
- Determinants of health
- Workforce
- Data, research and evidence
- Self-determination. (p. 3)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2033

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 3


Legislation: Required (see Pae Ora

(Healthy Futures) Act 2022, s 44) and cited

in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

6= out of 230 GDSs

2= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 81 | 96 |
| | | | |

Te Mana Ola -The Pacific Health Strategy



Purpose:

'[S]et the key direction and long-term priorities to achieve equity in Pacific health and wellbeing outcomes over the next 10 years' (pp. 11-12)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five priority areas:

- · Population health
- Disease prevention, health promotion and management for good health
- Workforce
- Access
- Autonomy and self-determination. (pp. 11-12)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2033

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Health

> and Associate Minister of Health [Pacific Health])

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 11-12, 47

Legislation: Required (see Pae Ora

(Healthy Futures) Act 2022, s 43) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2033 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

25 out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 72 | 96 |

Rural Health Strategy



Purpose:

'[Set] the direction for improving the health and wellbeing, both physical and mental, of New Zealanders living in rural communities over the next 10 years.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five priority areas:

- Considering rural communities as a priority group
- Prevention for a healthier future
- Services available closer to home for rural communities
- Supporting rural communities to access services at a distance
- A valued and flexible rural health workforce. (p. 6)

Key data

Legislation:

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2033

Number of pages: 100

Signed by: Crown (Minister and

Associate Minister

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 6


> Required (see Pae Ora (Healthy Futures) Act

2022, s 46) and cited

in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

5 out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|---------------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | Score | Out of |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | Ö | 0 |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | | |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | 4 | 4 |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | what success would look like Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| | | 3 | 4 |
| 4: 4.1 | Approach and Focus (Strategy) Breaks down the purpose into a number of | 4 | 4 |
| 4.1 | strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 82 | 96 |
| | | | |

Women's Health Strategy



Purpose:

'[Set] the direction for improving the health and wellbeing of women over the next 10 years'. (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four priority areas:

- 'A health system that works for women (overarching priority)
- Improving health care for issues specific
- Better outcomes for mothers, whanau and future generations
- Living well and ageing well'. (p. 8)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2033

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister and

Associate Minister

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 8

Legislation: Required (see Pae Ora

(Healthy Futures) Act 2022, s 45) and cited

in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2033 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

13= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 78 | 96 |

Strategic Framework for Managing COVID-19

6 MANATU Zealand Strategic Framework for Managing COVID-19

Purpose:

'[P]rotect the health and wellbeing of individuals and communities through a proportionate and equitable response to managing COVID-19 in Aotearoa New Zealand, while building resilience for future pandemics and other infectious diseases.' (p. 11)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to develop a toolkit of measures to manage COVID-19, organised into the categories below:

- Community public health measures
- Border measures (air and maritime)
- Support for individuals and communities
- · System enablers.

These tools are then weighed up against a number of factors to determine which should be utilised and when. (pp. 15-20)

Key data

Publication date: September 2023

Duration: 2023-NK

Number of pages: 30

Signed by: CE (Director-General

of Health)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 10 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

155= out of 230 GDSs

30= out of the 44 GDSs in MOH

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | Į. | 43 | 96 |



He Whare Āhuru He Oranga Tāngata - The Māori Housing Strategy



Purpose:

'[S]upport a future where Māori are not disproportionately represented as social housing tenants, where Māori ... are able to exercise freedom of choice in terms of who their landlord might be, and ... have the opportunity to own their own homes, or to be working towards home ownership.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on six goals: ensuring the most vulnerable Māori have secure tenure, and access to safe, quality housing with integrated support; improving the quality of housing for Māori communities; supporting Māori and their whānau to transition to preferred housing choices; increasing the amount of social housing provided by Māori organisations; increasing housing on Māori-owned land; and increasing large-scale housing developments involving Māori organisations. (pp. 7-37)

Key data

Publication date: July 2014 Duration: 2014-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister and

Associate Minister

of Housing)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable

Transferred from: **MBIE**

Strategy map: Yes, pp. 3, 40 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring


143= out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 6 GDSs in MHUD

25 out of the 35 GDSs in the Social Services and Community Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 44.5 | 96 |

Homelessness Action Plan



Purpose:

'[H]omelessness in New Zealand is prevented where possible, or is rare, brief and nonrecurring.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four areas: prevention, supply, support and system enablers. Under each area there are immediate and longer-term actions such as supporting women leaving prison with safe and stable accommodation, and increasing public housing. (pp. 6-12)

Key data

Publication date: February 2020

Duration: 2020-NK (was initially

2023)

Number of pages: 63

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

Housing; Minister for Social Development; and Associate Minister of Housing [Māori Housing]; Associate Minister of Housing [Public Housing])

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

27= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 6 GDSs in MHUD

9 out of the 35 GDSs in the Social Services and Community Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 70 | 96 |

Public Housing Plan 2021-2024



Purpose:

'[T]o increase the number of newly built public houses and progressively decrease leases over time.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to identify areas where population growth has exceeded new housing development, leading to rising rents and housing shortfalls. Focus area factors include: the sharp rise in housing costs; reliance on motels for emergency housing; overcrowding; population increases that have outpaced construction; infrastructure not able to support development; and housing deprivation. (p. 5)

Key data

Publication date: January 2021 Duration: 2021-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Public Housing Plan

2018-2022 (2018)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring


199= out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 6 GDSs in MHUD

34= out of the 35 GDSs in the Social Services and Community Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 30 | 96 |

Te Tauākī Kaupapa Here a te Kāwanatanga mō te Whakawhanake Whare, Tāone ano hoki - Government Policy Statement on Housing and Urban Development



Purpose:

'[A] multi-decade system strategy for housing and urban development. It will inform, influence and align activity across the system to respond to the challenges we face ... Everyone in Aotearoa New Zealand lives in a home, and within a community that meets their needs and aspirations.' (pp. 5, 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on six areas: ensure more affordable homes are built; ensure houses meet needs; ensure people can live in stable, affordable homes; support whānau to have safe, healthy affordable homes with secure tenure; re-establish housing's primary role as a home rather than a financial asset; and plan and invest in our places. (p. 24)

Key data

Publication date: September 2021 Duration: 2021-2051

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Finance and Minister of Housing)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 4-5

Legislation: Required (see Kāinga Ora-

> Homes and Communities Act 2019, s 22) and cited

in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

17 out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 6 GDSs in MHUD

5 out of the 35 GDSs in the Social Services and Community Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 4 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ı | 75.5 | 96 |

Maihi Ka Ora -The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051



Purpose

'[Drive] a whole of system approach. ... [Set] an expectation of cohesion across government agencies to accelerate Māori housing and wellbeing outcomes.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to break up the Māori housing problem into six main components to focus on:

- · Māori-Crown partnerships
- Māori-led local solutions
- Māori housing supply
- Māori housing support
- Māori housing system
- Māori housing sustainability. (p. 15)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2051 Number of pages: 84 (combined) Signed by: Crown (Associate

Minister of Housing -

Māori Housing)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: **TPK**

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

8= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 6 GDSs in MHUD

2= out of the 35 GDSs in the Social Services and Community Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 80 | 96 |

Fale mo Aiga -Pacific Housing Strategy 2030



Purpose

Pacific peoples own and live in affordable, quality, fit-for-purpose, healthy homes that enhance their wellbeing.' (p. 2 of Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy 2030)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four key priorities:

- 'Demand [b]uild intergenerational Pacific wealth through home ownership.
- Supply [b]uild affordable, quality, healthy, fit-for-purpose homes for Pacific peoples.
- Pacific housing sector [d]evelop and grow the Pacific housing sector.
- Housing system [i]nfluence and strengthen the housing system to improve housing outcomes for Pacific peoples.' (p. 2 of Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy 2030)

Key data

Publication date: November 2022 Duration: 2022-2030 Number of pages: 4 (combined) Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: MPP

Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 2 of Fale mo Aiga

> - Pacific Housing Strategy 2030

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 6 GDSs in MHUD

17= out of the 35 GDSs in the Social Services and Community Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 50 | 96 |
| | | | |



Te Aorerekura -The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated responses.
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2046 Number of pages: 128 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for the

Prevention of Family Violence and Sexual

Violence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

DOC, MOE, MOH, TPK, Jointly held with:

MSD, OT, and PSC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2046 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

8= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 1 GDSs in MoJ

1 out of the 8 GDSs in the Justice Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 80 | 96 |



Maihi Karauna -The Crown's Strategy for Māori Language Revitalisation



Purpose:

'[T]he revitalisation of te reo Māori ... By 2040, 85 per cent of New Zealanders (or more) will value te reo Māori as a key element of national identity ... one million New Zealanders (or more) will have the ability and confidence to talk about at least basic things in te reo Māori ... 150,000 Māori aged 15 and over will use te reo Māori as much as English.' (pp. 7, 11-14)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is for government, in partnership with Māori, to advance the revitalisation of te reo Māori by increasing its value and demand; improving learning capabilities; and providing opportunities to engage in its use in conjunction with the Maihi Māori strategy. This includes preparing a full cross-government implementation plan by September 2019 and reviewing the strategy before it expires in 2023. (pp. 21-37)

Key data

Publication date: February 2019

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially 2023)

Number of pages: 48

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Māori Development)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 21

Legislation: Required (see Te Ture Mō

Te Reo Māori 2016 - Māori Language Act 2016, s 10) and cited in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

42 out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 3 GDSs in TPK

3 out of the 3 GDSs in the Māori Affairs Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 65.5 | 96 |

Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated responses.
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021
Duration: 2021–2046
Number of pages: 128 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for the Prevention of Family

Violence and Sexual

Violence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC, MOE, MOH, MOJ,

MSD, OT and PSC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

18= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 3 GDSs in TPK

1 out of the 3 GDSs in the Māori Affairs Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıt | 74 | 96 |

Maihi Ka Ora -The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051



Purpose

'[Drive] a whole of system approach. ... [Set] an expectation of cohesion across government agencies to accelerate Māori housing and wellbeing outcomes.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to break up the Māori housing problem into six main components to focus on:

- Māori-Crown partnerships
- Māori-led local solutions
- Māori housing supply
- Māori housing support
- Māori housing system
- Māori housing sustainability. (p. 15)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2051 Number of pages: 84 (combined) Signed by: Crown (Associate

Minister of Housing -

Not applicable

Māori Housing)

Jointly held with: HUD

This GDS replaces:

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

34= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 3 GDSs in TPK

2 out of the 3 GDSs in the Māori Affairs Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 68 | 96 |



Disability Strategy 2016-2026



Purpose:

'New Zealand is a nondisabling society – a place where disabled people have an equal opportunity to achieve their goals and aspirations, and all of New Zealand works together to make this happen.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is structured around eight desired outcomes, summarised as: education; employment and economic security; health and well-being; rights protection and justice; accessibility; attitudes; choice and control; and leadership.

Each outcome has two parts: a vision of the future which sets the aspiration, and how this future will be attained. (pp. 22-39, 46-47)

Key data

Publication date: November 2016 Duration: 2016-2026

Number of pages:

Crown (Minister for Signed by:

Disability Issues)

This GDS replaces: Disability Strategy (2001),


originally held by MOH

Jointly held with:

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 8, 22 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

7= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 50 | 96 |

New Zealand Sign Language Strategy



Purpose:

'[T]o enable Deaf and other NZSL users to learn and use NZSL naturally within a community of users, contributing significantly to its survival, sustainability and vitality.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five internationally recognised language planning priorities: acquisition (the learning of a language by children and adults); use/access (the ability to use a language in any or all domains of society, including within whānau); attitude (the beliefs and opinions of language users and others towards that language); documentation (the systematic recording of language use for research and reference); and status (how a language is regarded by its users and others). (pp. 10-16)

Key data

Publication date: October 2018

Duration: 2018-2024 (was initially

2023)

Number of pages: 25

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Disability Issues)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

100= out of 230 GDSs

7= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 50 | 96 |

Te Pae Tata Māori Strategy and Action Plan



Purpose:

'To embed a Māori world view into our organisation that will honour our commitment as a Te Tiriti o Waitangi partner and prioritise the needs of whānau.' (p. 7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three key shifts:

- Mana manaaki: a positive experience every time - earning the respect and trust of Māori
- Kotahitanga: partnering for greater impact forming genuine partnerships with Māori
- Kia takatū tātou: supporting long-term social and economic development - supporting Māori aspirations. (p. 11)

Key data

Publication date: June 2019 Duration: 2019-NK

Number of pages: 28 Signed by: CE

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

177 out of 230 GDSs

17 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 37 | 96 |

Family Violence Funding Approach



Purpose:

'[A] future where providers are sustainably funded and services are whānaucentred, outcomesfocused and integrated.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four priorities: having a whānau-centred approach to service delivery; setting sights on long-term recovery; giving communities universal support, how and when they need it; and giving regions more support. (pp. 15-18)

Key data

Transferred from:

Publication date: July 2019 Duration: 2019-NK Number of pages: 26 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable

Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

151= out of 230 GDSs

13 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 43.5 | 96 |
| | | | |

Pacific Prosperity: Our People, Our Solutions, Our Future



Purpose:

'To rejuvenate the Ministry's delivery and engagement of services for Pacific peoples, families and communities, so they are safe, thrive and flourish in Aotearoa.' (p. 13)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three communities: Pacific peoples and families; staff and people who are providing services on behalf of the Ministry; and communities. Examples of actions include improving access to healthy and sustainable housing; improving current information capture, reporting and sharing processes; and better articulating the meaning of a 'Pacific provider service'. (pp. 18-19)

Key data

Publication date: October 2019 Duration: 2019-NK Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

Social Development)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

188 out of 230 GDSs

18 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 33.5 | 96 |

Better Later Life -He Oranga Kaumātua



Purpose:

'New Zealand embraces the opportunities that an ageing population and longevity brings.' (p. 2 of Better Later Life - He Oranga Kaumātua 2019 to 2034 - Super Seniors)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five key areas, and within each area, a set of goals and actions. The areas are: achieving financial security and economic participation; promoting healthy ageing and improving access to services; creating diverse housing choices and options; enhancing opportunities for participation and social connection; and making environments accessible. (pp. 11-17 of Better Late Life - He Oranga Kaumātua 2019 to 2034 - Super Seniors)

Key data

Publication date: November 2019 Duration: 2019-2034 Number of pages: 48 (combined) Signed by: Crown (Minister

for Seniors)


This GDS replaces: Positive Ageing Strategy

(2001)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

61= out of 230 GDSs

2= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 53 | 96 |

Disability Action Plan 2019-2023



Purpose:

'To deliver the eight outcomes in the New Zealand Disability Strategy 2016-2026.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach involves 25 work programmes, to be delivered by 14 government agencies and their partners. These programmes are expected to be responsive to the needs and potential of all disabled people, including Māori, Pacific peoples, women and girls, disabled people with complex needs, and whānau. (p. 5)

Key data

Publication date: November 2019

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially 2023)

Number of pages: 12

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Disability Action Plan

(2014-2018)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 8-9 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

196= out of 230 GDSs

19 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 1 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 31 | 96 |

Campaign for Action on Family Violence: Framework for change

Purpose:

'A safer New Zealand, where more men are violence free.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to motivate and support long-term behaviour change and prevent intimate partner violence by disrupting and addressing some of the factors that are identified as root causes or drivers of harm, or are reinforcing factors. (p. 9)

Key data

Publication date: June 2020

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially 2023)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate Minister

of Social Development)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 9-10 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

163= out of 230 GDSs

14= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 42 | 96 |

E Tū Whānau Mahere Rautaki: Framework for Change



Purpose:

'Whānau are selfmanaging and resilient ... connected and feel like they belong ... have positive relationships in Te Ao Māori ... feel safe in their homes and communities ... are living healthy lifestyles ... are strengthened and participating in society [and] ... have increased economic independence and rangatiratanga.' (p. 11)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on four priority areas:

- · Hapori development: Supporting whānau, hapū, iwi and communities to build capacity and capability to lead and sustain community level change
- Whānau strength: Supporting wellbeing and relationships within whānau
- Tāne ora/Wāhine ora: Opportunities for healing, connection to culture and community
- Rangatahi development: Enabling youth engagement, education, leadership.

The approach is underpinned by Kaupapa Māori. (pp. 4-5)

Key data

Publication date: June 2020 Duration: 2019-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate Minister

for Social Development)

This GDS replaces: E Tu Whānau Programme

> of Action for Addressing Family Violence 2013 - 2018

(2013)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 4-5 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

77= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 55 | 96 |

Pathways for Change

Purpose:

'Pacific families and communities are safe, resilient and enjoy wellbeing.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is two-fold. The first goal is mobilising Pacific communities, encouraging community leaders to speak out and take action against violence affecting Pacific peoples. The second goal is capability development to strengthen capacity to design, lead and deliver solutions for Pacific peoples. (pp. 28-29)

Key data

Publication date: June 2020

Duration: 2019-NK (was initially 2023)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate Minister

for Social Development)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 4-5 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

120= out of 230 GDSs

10= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 48 | 96 |

Elder Abuse in Aotearoa



Purpose:

'[A]n Aotearoa where older people are supported to live abuse free and the prevalence of elder abuse and neglect is reduced. We also want all people who need and want help with elder abuse to be able to access support.' (p. 10)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is for the strategy to be implemented in three phases, focusing first on stabilising, then strengthening, and finally growing the response services to deliver on long-term and sustainable solutions to reduce the occurrence of elder abuse. (p. 13)

Key data

Publication date: July 2020 2020-NK Duration:

Number of pages: 25


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

163= out of 230 GDSs

14= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 42 | 96 |

Working Matters: An Action Plan to ensure disabled people and people with health conditions have an equal opportunity to access employment



Purpose:

'[T]o help ensure disabled people and people with health conditions have an equal opportunity to access good work.' (p. 14)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three objectives (supply, match and demand) and to implement a range of specific actions. Areas of action identified are: education and training, apprenticeships and internships, referral pathways, employment services, partnerships with employers, information, workplace health and safety, state sector exemplars and future of work. (p. 14)

Key data

Publication date: July 2020

Duration: 2020-NK (was initially

2022)

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for Social

Development)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

170= out of 230 GDSs

16 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 39.5 | 96 |

Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated responses.
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2046 Number of pages: 128 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for the

> Prevention of Family Violence and Sexual

Violence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC, MOE, MOH, MOJ,

TPK, OT and PSC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2046 2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

8= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 80 | 96 |

Financial Capability



Purpose:

'Financial capability services need to be:

- accessible for all
- sustainably funded
- delivered in the right way at the right time.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to identify 'three strategic priorities that inform our desired future state:

- Client and whanau-centred services
- Support systems and frameworks
- · Cross-sector relationships.'

Three phases have been developed to implement the future state:


- Shape preparing for change
- Stretch building relationships, capability, and a sustainable delivery model
- Strive a cohesive community working together for clients. (pp. 16, 20-21)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2024 Number of pages: 24 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 18 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

120= out of 230 GDSs

10= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 48 | 96 |

Rautaki Hangarau -Technology Strategy



Purpose:

'[T]o articulate how the investment across technology platforms, data and insights, security, service and experience design and digital workforce translate to achieve transformed experiences and outcomes for New Zealanders.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to deliver against six strategic themes:

- Digital experience
- Service enablement
- Unified ecosystem
- Enabling better insights
- Digital workplace
- Digital workforce. (p. 8)

Key data

Publication date: March 2022 Duration: 2022-NK 27

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 5, 7 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

131= out of 230 GDSs

12 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 46 | 96 |

Older Workers **Employment** Action Plan -He Mahere Mahi Whakawhiwhi Mahi mō te Hunga Pakeke



Purpose:

'[E]nsure that older New Zealanders who want or need to work can find sustainable employment that fulfils their needs and aspirations and contributes to their overall wellbeing.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on a series of action areas:

- · Training, upskilling and educating
- Preparing for, finding, and staying in work
- Supporting employers
- Planning for older workers' role in the economy and the future of work. (pp. 15-17)

Key data

Publication date: April 2022 Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister

for Seniors)


This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

111= out of 230 GDSs

9 out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 0 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 49 | 96 |

Employment and Social Outcomes Investment Strategy



Purpose:

'[T]o achieve sustainable employment, and improve equity for groups that consistently experience poor labour market outcomes' (p. 13)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- Advise on changes to investment to be considered by national and regional decision-makers
- Set out how the factors bearing on investment decisions should be considered by decision-makers
- Identify focus areas/barriers that can be used when considering wider opportunities to improve outcomes. (pp. 17, 31, 35)

Key data

Publication date: June 2022 Duration: 2022-2025

Number of pages: 78

Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Employment and Social

Outcomes Investment

Strategy (2018)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

80= out of 230 GDSs

5= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 54 | 96 |

Social Sector Commissioning 2022-2028 Action Plan



Purpose:

'[T]ransform the way social supports and services are commissioned so they best support people, families and whānau to live the lives they value.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- '[W]ork on removing current barriers that make a relational way of commissioning difficult
- [B]uild on existing initiatives and successes, and support major social reforms underway
- [U]se continuous learning, monitoring and information sharing to ensure change'. (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: October 2022 Duration: 2022-2028

Number of pages: 44

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

> Social Development and Employment) and other (various other NGO and Independent Project Board

members)

This GDS replaces: Social Sector

Commissioning (2020)

Jointly held with:

Transferred from: Not applicable


Strategy map: Yes, p. 8 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

61= out of 230 GDSs

2= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 3 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 58 | 96 |

Youth Plan: Voice, leadership, action



Purpose:

'[T]o amplify youth voice in government policy and decision-making and ... increase opportunities for young people to lead their own lives, have their identities seen, valued, and respected.' (p. 6 of Strategic Framework)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is 'actions will be designed and undertaken by government agencies, youth sector, and community sector partners between 2023 and 2028. The design and delivery of actions should specifically involve young people from the priority cohorts'. (p. 2 of Rolling Suite of Actions)

Key data

Publication date: August 2023 Duration: 2023-2028 Number of pages: 43 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for Youth)

> and department staff (other than CE) (representatives of the Ministry of Youth Development - Te Manatū Whakahiato Taiohi Youth

Advisory Group)

This GDS replaces: Youth Plan 2020–2022

(2020)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

80= out of 230 GDSs

5= out of the 19 GDSs in MSD

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 54 | 96 |



National Airspace Policy of New Zealand



Purpose:

'[P]romote a safe and capable airspace and air navigation system both within New Zealand and the international airspace it manages, that measures up to international safety standards and best practices, and contributes to economic growth through efficiency gains.' (p.7)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is for the Civil Aviation Authority to develop a National Airspace and Air Navigation Plan. Aspects to be incorporated in the planning process include: the airspace classification and designation; air traffic management; communications, navigation and surveillance; meteorology; aerodromes; and aeronautical information management. (pp. 3, 6)

Key data

Publication date: April 2012 Duration: 2012-NK Number of pages: 10

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Transport)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

203= out of 230 GDSs

13 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 29 | 96 |

International Air Transport Policy



Purpose:

'[P]rovide New Zealandbased and foreign airlines with opportunities to provide their customers with better access to global supply chains and New Zealand's key passenger and goods markets ... in order to help grow the economy and deliver greater prosperity, security and opportunities for all New Zealanders.' (p. 8)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to 'pursue a policy of putting in place reciprocal open skies arrangements except where it is not in the best interests of the country as a whole.

'Where the other party will not agree to open skies agreements, ... seek to put in place the most open package that is in New Zealand's overall best interests ...'

'[C]onsider extra-bilateral approvals pending the putting into place of new or expanded arrangements'. (p. 15)

Key data

Jointly held with:

Publication date: May 2012 Duration: 2012-NK Number of pages: 33 Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

129= out of 230 GDSs

7 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 0 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 4 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 4 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 44 | 96 |

Framework for Shaping our Transport System

Purpose:

'The purpose of the transport system is to improve people's wellbeing, and the liveability of places.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five areas: inclusive access, economic prosperity, healthy and safe people, environmental sustainability, and resilience and security. (pp. 4-6)

Key data

Publication date: June 2018 Duration: 2018-NK

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

189= out of 230 GDSs

10= out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 7 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 33 | 96 |

Taking Flight: An Aviation System for the Automated Age [Drone Integration Paper]



Purpose:

'Our vision is to enable a thriving, innovative and safe drone sector.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four building blocks to achieve safe drone integration: regulation; funding and investment; infrastructure and technology (such as transponders, ground stations, transport connections and drone ports); and research and development. Each building block is to have proposed actions and timeframes. (pp. 10-11)

Key data

Publication date: July 2019 Duration: 2019-NK Number of pages: Signed by: Not signed

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

162 out of 230 GDSs

9 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 42.5 | 96 |
| | | | |

Hei Arataki -Ministry of Transport Māori Strategy



Purpose:

'A transport system that enables Māori to flourish.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach focuses on three goals: strengthening the cultural capabilities of staff so they can better engage with Māori partners; forming long-lasting partnerships; and embedding tikanga Māori into policy design and advice to deliver outcomes for Māori. (p. 1)

Key data

Publication date: September 2019

2019-NK Duration:

Number of pages: 1


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

202 out of 230 GDSs

12 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 29.5 | 96 |

Road to Zero -New Zealand's Road Safety Strategy



Purpose:

'[N]o one is killed or seriously injured in road crashes. It is based on Vision Zero – a global movement that has seen significant decreases in road trauma in Sweden, New York and parts of Australia.' (p. 20)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to aim for a 40% reduction in deaths and serious injuries by 2030. The target will be achieved by focusing on five key areas: infrastructure improvements and speed management; vehicle safety; work-related road safety; road user choices; and system management. This strategy will be supported by a series of action plans over the next 10 years. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2019 Duration: 2020-2030

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Associate

Minister of Transport)

This GDS replaces: Safer Journeys: Road Safety

> Strategy 2010-2020 (2010) and Safer Journeys: Action

Plan 2016-2020 (2016)


Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 6

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

27= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| 1: Opportunities and Threats 1.1 Identifies potential opportunities 1.2 Identifies potential threats 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.5 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
|--|
| 1.2 Identifies potential threats 3.5 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.5 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.5 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 0.5 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.5 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.5 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 0.5 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.5 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.5 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 0.5 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.5 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.5 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 0.5 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.5 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 0.5 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 0.5 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) |
| |
| |
| 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to 7 what success would look like |
| 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.5 |
| 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 3.5 |
| 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) |
| 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives |
| 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 2 |
| 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 3 |
| 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 2.5 |
| 5: Implementation and Accountability |
| 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 |
| 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress |
| 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 |
| 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 1 |
| 6: Alignment and Authority |
| 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt |
| 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6 |
| 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 6 |
| Total 70 9 |

Transport Evidence Base Strategy



Purpose:

'Ensure the transport sector has the right data, information, research and evaluation to deliver an evidence-based transport system that improves wellbeing and liveability.' (p. 9)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to integrate previous strategies and focus on five enablers: improving access; improving governance; investing in the right activities; facilitating collaboration; and developing capacity and capability. The intention is to apply all five enablers across the domain plan, the research strategy and the evaluation strategy. Both the domain plan and the research strategy have been incorporated into this strategy (they were separate strategies that have since been archived). (pp. 4, 24, 28, 33)

Key data

Publication date: December 2019

Duration: 2019-NK Number of pages: 72 (combined)

Signed by:

This GDS replaces: Transport Research

> Strategy (2016) and Transport Domain Plan

(2016)


Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

44= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| 1: Opportunities and Threats 1: Identifies potential opportunities 1: Identifies potential threats 1: Identifies potential threats 1: Identifies potential threats 1: Identifies potential threats 1: Contains a clear statement describing the problem 1: Capabilities and Resources 1: Identifies current and future capabilities 1: Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 1: Identifies current and future resources 1: Identifies current and future resources 1: Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1: Identifies resources it does not have but needs 1: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 1: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 1: Identifies who the beneficiaries are 1: Identifies who the beneficiaries are 1: Identifies who the beneficiaries are 1: Identifies who the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 1: Identifies a range of strategic options 1: Identifies a range of strategic options 1: Identifies who is responsible for implementation 1: Implementation and Accountability 1: Identifies who will report on its progress 1: Implementation and Accountability 1: Identifies who will report on its progress 1: Identifies who wille report on its progress 1: Identifies who will report on its pro | | | Score | Out of |
|--|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1.2 Identifies potential threats 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 5 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.5 4 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 1 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4.4 Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5. Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 6.4 Alignment and Authority 6.5 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6 Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6 6 | 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.3 Contains a clear statement describing the problem 5 8 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.5 4 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2 4 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 1 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 0.5 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 0.5 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2: Capabilities and Resources 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 1 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4. Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5. Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 6.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6.5 Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6 6 | 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.1 Identifies current and future capabilities 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 1 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3. Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4. Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5. Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 6.4 Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2.2 Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 1 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3.5 Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.6 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.7 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3 4 3.8 Describes how success will be measured 3.9 Describes how success will be measured 4.1 Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.3 Explains with its department's SOI 6.4 Obscusses whether the GDS will undergo a feview 6.5 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.6 Obscusses whether the GDS will undergo a feview 6.7 Aligns with its department's SOI 6.7 Aligns with its department's SOI | 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.3 Identifies current and future resources 1 4 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 0.5 4 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 0.5 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 Identifies resources it does not have but needs 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: Vision and Benefits (Purpose) 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 0.5 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 3.1 Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3 4 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 0.5 4 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| what success would look like 3.2 Identifies who the beneficiaries are 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4. Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3. 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1. 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3. 4 6. Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0. 6 | 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.3 Describes how success will be measured 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 3.1 | • | 6 | 8 |
| 4: Approach and Focus (Strategy) 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 4.1 Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0.5 | 4 |
| strategic goals/objectives 4.2 Identifies a range of strategic options 1 4 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 2 4 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 1 4 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.3 Describes the chosen approach 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 4.1 | | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 Highlights the risks, costs and benefits 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 | 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1 | 4 |
| 5: Implementation and Accountability 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 5.1 Identifies who is responsible for implementation 3 4 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5.2 Identifies who will report on its progress 1 4 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.3 Explains how progress will be reported 3 4 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review 3 4 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1 | 4 |
| 6: Alignment and Authority 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 6.1 Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 3 | 4 |
| identifies any lessons learnt 6.2 Aligns with its department's SOI 0 6 | 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| | 6.1 | | 3 | 4 |
| 6.3 Aligns with its department's annual report 0 | 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| | 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Total 44.5 96 | Tota | al | 44.5 | 96 |

Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2021/22-2030/31



Purpose:

'A transport system that improves wellbeing and liveability.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four strategic priorities:

- Safety: developing a transport system where no-one is killed or seriously injured
- Better travel options: so people can access social and economic opportunities
- Improving freight connections: for economic development
- Climate change: developing a low carbon transport system that supports emissions reductions, while improving safety and inclusive access. (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: September 2020 2021-2030 Duration:

Number of pages: 59

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Transport)

This GDS replaces: Government Policy

> Statement on Land Transport (2018)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Required (see Land

> Transport Management Act 2003, s 66) and cited

in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

49 out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 3 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 61.5 | 96 |

Maritime Security Strategy



Purpose:

'A maritime security sector that secures New Zealand's significant maritime economic, cultural and environmental interests, and is better able to deter adversaries, reduce harm to New Zealand communities and exert effective Kaitiakitanga (guardianship) of the sea.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is based on four mutually reinforcing and overlapping pillars: understanding the maritime domain; engaging with partners; preventing threats; and responding with the right mix of interventions. Together they guide how the sector and maritime security will prioritise operational activity and direct investment. They have also developed three core implementation priorities: enabling the comprehensive multi-agency approach; establishing sector planning and assessment expectations; and allocating resources to support the strategy's investment priorities. (pp. 17, 29)

Key data

Publication date: December 2020

Duration: 2020-NK 40

Number of pages:

Signed by: CE (Secretary for Transport)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 5

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

47= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 62 | 96 |
| | | | |

Rail Plan



Purpose:

'[T]o provide modern transit systems in our largest cities, and to enable increasing volumes of freight to be moved by rail.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to establish a new long-term planning and funding framework under the Land Transport Management Act 2003. Key investment priorities include the national rail network, the metropolitan rail network and regional development. (pp. 20, 24-25, 41)

Key data

Publication date: April 2021 Duration: 2021-2031

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister of Finance;

> Minister of Transport; and Minister for State Owned

Enterprises)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

60 out of 230 GDSs

6 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 58.5 | 96 |

Freight and Supply Chain Strategy



Purpose:

'[Set] out a 30-year direction to prepare New Zealand for the future. It will establish what we need to focus on to achieve our vision and when, and how we use our limited resources effectively.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four focus areas:

- Ports and the connections to their communities
- Road freight decarbonisation
- Data sharing and interoperability
- International engagement. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: August 2023 Duration: 2023-NK Number of pages: 62

Signed by: Crown (Minister

of Transport)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 6-7 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

12 out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifie s potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 79 | 96 |

Search and Rescue Strategic Plan



Purpose:

'[E]nsure New Zealand provides effective search and rescue services for people in distress throughout New Zealand's search and rescue region in order to save lives.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four goals:

- · A robust and integrated SAR (Search and Rescue) system
- Efficient and sustainable SAR organisations
- Capable SAR people
- SAR Prevention. (pp. 18-19)

Key data

Publication date: August 2023 2021-2024 Duration:

Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Department staff

> (other than CE) (Chair of New Zealand Search and Rescue Council)


Not applicable This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not found Strategy map: Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

189= out of 230 GDSs

10= out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ı | 33 | 96 |

Charging Our Future: National electric vehicle charging strategy



Purpose:

'Aotearoa New Zealand's EV charging infrastructure supports an equitable transition to a lowemissions transport system in which accessible, affordable, secure, and reliable charging infrastructure is available to everyone who needs it.' (p. 2)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five long-term outcomes:

- Integrating EV charging infrastructure, ensuring the system is affordable, reliable, secure and safe
- Making EV charging infrastructure accessible, affordable, secure, and reliable for EV users from diverse backgrounds
- Developing integrated and streamlined crosssectoral planning and standards to underpin New Zealand's EV charging system
- Ensuring the EV charging market functions effectively, can adapt and evolve over time, and is attractive to users, operators and investors
- Contributing to the transition to, and use of, low-emissions transport modes across the wider transport system. (p. 3)

Key data

Publication date: October 2023 Duration: 2023-2035

Number of pages: 20

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: **MBIE**

Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 4

Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

146= out of 230 GDSs

8 out of the 12 GDSs in MOT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 2 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 1 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 2 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 44 | 96 |



Information Management Strategy



Purpose:

'Information is: Digitally managed by design in a safe, secure environment. Collected once. Easy to find, accessible, used to its maximum potential, in a minimum of systems, managed as a strategic asset, seamlessly and reliably.' (p. 13)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to improve information management by developing a framework to shape initiatives and projects that support business needs and legal obligations. (p. 10)

Key data

Publication date: September 2021 Duration: 2021-2024

Number of pages: 24

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 9

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

143= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 2 GDSs in NZCS

6 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 4.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 44.5 | 96 |

Rautaki Mana Ārai -**Customs Strategy**



Purpose:

'[P]rotect and promote New Zealand across borders ... [and] eliminate border and revenue risk Our Values ... We do what's right ... We are guardians We value people ... We look forward'. (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four strategic priority areas: people, partnerships, innovation and technology and data. 'Each area will have its own topic-specific strategy, with a senior leader as the sponsor'. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2028

Number of pages:

Signed by: CE (Comptroller

of Customs)

This GDS replaces: Rautaki Mana Ārai -

Customs Strategy (2018)


Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 5

Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

38= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 2 GDSs in NZCS


2 out of the 11 GDSs in the External Sector

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 67 | 96 |





Future Direction Action Plan



Purpose:

'All children are safe, loved and nurtured by whānau, hapū, and iwi, supported by thriving communities.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on five key areas: organisational blueprint; people and culture; relationships, partnering and decision-making; social work practice; and data, insights and evidence. (pp. 4-10)

Key data


Publication date: September 2021 Duration: 2021-2026

7 Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

181= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 5 GDSs in OT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2.5 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 0 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 0.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 36 | 96 |

Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated responses.
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2046 Number of pages: 128 (combined)

Signed by: Crown (Minister for the

Prevention of Family Violence and Sexual

Violence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC, MOE, MOH, MOJ,

TPK, MSD and PSC

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

18= out of 230 GDSs

1= out of the 5 GDSs in OT

| | | Score | Out of |
|-----|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| | Aligns with its department's annual report | _ | 0 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |

Oranga Tamariki Action Plan



Purpose:

'[Drive] a shared accountability and monitoring framework across children's agencies to improve the wellbeing of Oranga Tamariki priority populations and prevent the need for them to come into care or be involved with the youth justice system.' (p. 3 of Oranga Tamariki Action Plan)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four results: good health, including mental wellbeing; positive housing conditions; access to good education; and support to grow, connect, and be independent.

The first steps to achieving these results are:

- Building an evidence-based picture of need
- Meeting children and young people's needs and building whānau resilience
- Community-led, regionally-enabled, centrallysupported prevention. (p. 1 of Implementing the Oranga Tamariki Action Plan)

Key data

Publication date: July 2022 Duration: 2022-NK Number of pages: 17 (combined) Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 1 of Implementing

the Oranga Tamariki

Action Plan

Required (see Children's Legislation:

Act 2014, s 8) and cited


in the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

18= out of 230 GDSs

1= out of the 5 GDSs in OT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 74 | 96 |

Social Sector Commissioning 2022-2028 Action Plan



Purpose:

'[T]ransform the way social supports and services are commissioned so they best support people, families and whānau to live the lives they value.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to:

- '[W]ork on removing current barriers that make a relational way of commissioning difficult
- [B]uild on existing initiatives and successes, and support major social reforms underway
- [U]se continuous learning, monitoring and information sharing to ensure change'. (p. 6)

Key data

Publication date: October 2022 Duration: 2022-2028

Number of pages:

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

> Social Development and Employment) and other (various other NGO and Independent Project Board members)

This GDS replaces: Social Sector

Commissioning (2020)

Jointly held with: **MSD**

Transferred from: Not applicable

Strategy map: Yes, p. 8 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

111=out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 5 GDSs in OT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 1 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 3 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 2 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al | 49 | 96 |
| | | | |

The Oranga Tamariki Disability Vision and Strategy



Purpose:

'The mana of tāngata whaikaha me o ratou toa (disabled people and their champions) is enhanced by meeting their needs, upholding their rights and supporting their hopes and dreams.' (p. 1)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach consists of four shifts:

- How we see disability: taking a whole-of-life approach
- Systems work for people: tāngata whaikaha me o rātou toa (disabled people and their champions) experience connected, rights based, consistent and transparent children's and disability systems
- Disability-confident and -competent workforce: the Oranga Tamariki workforce have the skills and expertise, including cultural competency, to work with tāngata whaikaha me o rātou toa
- Oranga Tamariki is safe and proactive: tāngata whaikaha me o rātou toa are listened to. (pp. 2-3)

Key data

Publication date: December 2023

Duration: 2023-NK

Number of pages: 3


Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

Not known

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence




Transparency scoring

199= out of 230 GDSs

5 out of the 5 GDSs in OT

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | | 96 |
| | | | |



Kia Toipoto -**Public Service** Action Plan



Purpose:

'Making substantial progress towards closing gender, Māori, Pacific, and ethnic pay gaps ... [a]ccelerating progress for wāhine Māori, Pacific women, and women from ethnic communities ... [and] [c]reating fairer workplaces for all, including disabled people and members of rainbow communities.' (p. 3)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to prioritise transparency in agencies; ensure equal pay through monitoring; encourage gender and ethnic representation and leadership in the workplace; support career pathways and equitable progression opportunities; eliminate all forms of bias and discrimination in human resource systems; and allow access to flexible-by-default working without affecting pay or progression. (p. 4)

Key data

Publication date: November 2021 Duration: 2021-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

210= out of 230 GDSs

4 out of the 4 GDSs in PSC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0.5 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 0 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 0 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 0 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 1 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 26 | 96 |

Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence



Purpose:

'[S]et out a framework to eliminate family violence and sexual violence, to drive government action in a unified way and harness public support and community action. It will also increase political and public sector accountability by setting out what the government is committing to do and how it will measure and report on progress.' (p. 6)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to implement several actions that fall under six 'shifts':

- 1. Towards strength-based wellbeing.
- 2. Towards mobilising communities.
- 3. Towards skilled, culturally competent and sustainable workforces.
- 4. Towards investment in primary prevention.
- 5. Towards safe, accessible and integrated
- 6. Towards increased capacity for healing. (p. 7)

Key data

Publication date: December 2021 Duration: 2021-2046 Number of pages: 128 (combined) Signed by:

Crown (Minister for the Prevention of Family Violence and Sexual

Violence)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable

Jointly held with: DOC, MOE, MOH, MOJ,

TPK, MSD and OT

Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, pp. 24-25 Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

18= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 4 GDSs in PSC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 4 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 8 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 4 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 3 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 3 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 1 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 4 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | .l | 74 | 96 |

Fourth National Action Plan - Te Tuawhā o ngā Mahere Mahi ā-Motu

Purpose:

'[Maintain New Zealand's] high transparency, politically-neutral Public Service, and low levels of corruption ... [and support] reforms to increase openness, transparency, democratic participation, and government accountability.' (p. 5)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on delivering on eight commitments, including:

- · Adopting a community engagement tool
- Researching deliberative processes for community engagement
- Establishing an inclusive, multi-channel approach to the delivery of government information and services
- Designing and implementing a National Counter Fraud and Corruption Strategy. (pp. 14-31)

Key data

Publication date: December 2022 Duration: 2023-2025

Number of pages: 33

Signed by: Crown (Minister for

the Public Service)

This GDS replaces: Open Government


> Partnership: National Action Plan 2016–18

(2016)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

52= out of 230 GDSs

3 out of the 4 GDSs in PSC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 4 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 4 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 0 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ul | 60 | 96 |

Te Rautaki Ārahi i te Ratonga Tūmatanui o Aotearoa - The Leadership Strategy for New Zealand's **Public Service**



Purpose:

'We want to create one Te Pae Turuki Public Service Leaders Group, unified by a common mission and spirit of service, leading together on system priorities and modelling exemplary Public Service leadership.' (p. 10)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on three long-term aims:

- 'Building the team spirit of Te Pae Turuki PSLG, staying focused on the needs of New Zealanders.
- Enhancing Te Pae Turuki PSLG capability to keep delivering for New Zealand.
- Enabling Te Pae Turuki PSLG to respond with agility to changing government and joint priorities.' (p. 13)

Key data

Publication date: July 2023 Duration: 2023-2025

Number of pages:

Signed by: CE (Public Service

Commissioner/Head


and cited in the GDS

of Service)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 21

Legislation: Required (see Public Service Act 2020, s 61) McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

38= out of 230 GDSs


2 out of the 4 GDSs in PSC

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | 30016 | Out of |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 2 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 2 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | _ | · |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | 4 | 8 |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 4 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 4 | |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | | 4 |
| | | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 4 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | _ | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 6 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 4 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 4 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 6 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | 1 | 67 | 96 |





Transforming the New Zealand Census of Population and Dwellings



Purpose:

'[T]o produce censustype information from administrative sources, rather than by the current census approach, which takes a survey of the whole population.' (p. 39)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is a four-phase approach over time to manage the high level of risk and to ensure continued production of quality population statistics. The strategy includes a proposed transforming census timeline up to the 2020 election; a detailed plan (see Appendix 4); and a promise of a review report in 2025 at the earliest. The short-term focus is on modernising the current census model to create efficiencies and reduce operational costs. (pp. 5-6, 18, 39, 42)

Key data

Publication date: April 2012 Duration: 2012-2030

Number of pages: 45

Signed by: Not signed This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Not found Strategy map: Legislation: Not applicable

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

95= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 2 GDSs in StatsNZ

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 3 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4.5 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1.5 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 3 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 2 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 3.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 3 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 4 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 4 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 3 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 0.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 1.5 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 3 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 51 | 96 |

Government Data Strategy and Roadmap

Government Data Strategy and Roadmap 2021

Purpose:

'[P]rovides a shared direction and plan for Aotearoa New Zealand's government data system.'

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on four areas:

- Data: Providing the right data at the right time
- Capability: Developing capability and skills within government to create, collect, manage, and use data
- · Leadership: Ensuring leadership, clear rules, and system settings are in place and are well understood
- Infrastructure: Building the infrastructure that enables effective data management and reuse.

The focus areas are to guide key initiatives over the next three to five years. (pp. 10-11)

Key data

Publication date: August 2021 Duration: 2021-2024

Number of pages:

Signed by: CE (Government Chief

Data Steward)

This GDS replaces: Data Strategy and

Roadmap For New Zealand

(2018)

Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Yes, p. 26 Strategy map: Not applicable Legislation:

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

136= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 2 GDSs in StatsNZ

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | out or |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 1 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 0 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 4 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 1 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 3 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 1 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 2 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 3 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 0 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 4 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 3 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 1 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 2.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 6 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 45 | 96 |



Thirty Year New Zealand Infrastructure Plan

THE THIRTY YEAR NEW ZEALAND INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN

Purpose:

'By 2045 New Zealand's infrastructure is resilient and coordinated and contributes to a strong economy and high living standards.' (p. 11)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is to focus on developing a better understanding of the type and nature of services to deliver in the future; improving asset management practices; and ensuring the right settings are in place to make better investment decisions in the future. (pp. 46-48)

Key data

Publication date: August 2015 Duration: 2015-2045

Number of pages: 86

Signed by: Crown (Minister of

> Finance) and other (Chair of National Infrastructure

Advisory Board)

This GDS replaces: Not applicable Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Yes, p. 9

Required (see New Zealand Legislation:

> Infrastructure Commission/ Te Waihanga Act 2019, ss 12 and 13) but not cited in the GDS (the GDS was published in 2015)

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends



Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

93= out of 230 GDSs

1 out of the 2 GDSs in Treasury

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 6 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 3 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 2.5 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 8 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 3 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 2 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 0 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 2.5 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 2 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 2 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 3.5 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | al . | 52 | 96 |

He Tirohanga Mokopuna 2021 - Combined Statement on the Longterm Fiscal Position and Long-term Insights Briefing



Purpose:

'[A]nalyse key trends and their potential long-term fiscal impacts directly alongside a range of policy options available to address them.' (p. 4)

Strategy (to achieve the purpose):

The approach is not to make policy recommendations on the 'optimal' policy option or package of options required to manage New Zealand's fiscal sustainability challenge, but to share this information for consideration and analysis by future governments. (p. 41)

Key data

Publication date: September 2021 Duration: 2021-2061

Number of pages: 93

Signed by: CE (Secretary to the

Treasury)

This GDS replaces: He Tirohanga Mokopuna

> - 2016 Statement on the Long-term Fiscal Position

(2016)

Jointly held with: Not applicable Transferred from: Not applicable Strategy map: Not found

Legislation: Required (see Public

Finance Act 1989, ss 26I-26N) and cited in

the GDS

McGuinness Institute analysis

Year strategy ends

2024 2060

Scope of subject matter



Climate intelligence



Transparency scoring

111= out of 230 GDSs

2 out of the 2 GDSs in Treasury

| | | Score | Out of |
|------|--|-------|--------|
| 1: | Opportunities and Threats | | |
| 1.1 | Identifies potential opportunities | 3.5 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Identifies potential threats | 4 | 4 |
| 1.3 | Contains a clear statement describing the problem | 7 | 8 |
| 2: | Capabilities and Resources | | |
| 2.1 | Identifies current and future capabilities | 2 | 4 |
| 2.2 | Identifies capabilities it does not have but needs | 1 | 4 |
| 2.3 | Identifies current and future resources | 4 | 4 |
| 2.4 | Identifies resources it does not have but needs | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3: | Vision and Benefits (Purpose) | | |
| 3.1 | Provides a clear aspirational statement as to what success would look like | 2.5 | 8 |
| 3.2 | Identifies who the beneficiaries are | 2 | 4 |
| 3.3 | Describes how success will be measured | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4: | Approach and Focus (Strategy) | | |
| 4.1 | Breaks down the purpose into a number of strategic goals/objectives | 1.5 | 4 |
| 4.2 | Identifies a range of strategic options | 4 | 4 |
| 4.3 | Describes the chosen approach | 2 | 4 |
| 4.4 | Highlights the risks, costs and benefits | 4 | 4 |
| 5: | Implementation and Accountability | | |
| 5.1 | Identifies who is responsible for implementation | 3 | 4 |
| 5.2 | Identifies who will report on its progress | 0 | 4 |
| 5.3 | Explains how progress will be reported | 0 | 4 |
| 5.4 | Discusses whether the GDS will undergo a review | 1.5 | 4 |
| 6: | Alignment and Authority | | |
| 6.1 | Discusses predecessors to the strategy and identifies any lessons learnt | 2 | 4 |
| 6.2 | Aligns with its department's SOI | 0 | 6 |
| 6.3 | Aligns with its department's annual report | 0 | 6 |
| Tota | ıl | 49 | 96 |

Lists of GDSs

A: GDSs in operation by rank order

B: GDSs archived between October 1994 and 31 December 2023

C: GDSs in operation by jointly held

D: GDSs in operation by merged

List A: GDSs in operation by rank order

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 1= | GDS11-02 | Pacific Languages Strategy | 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Social Services and Community Sector | August, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 1= | GDS13-06 | Te Hau Mārohi ki Anamata – Towards a Productive, Sustainable and Inclusive Economy: Aotearoa New Zealand's first emissions reduction plan | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 3 | GDS02-13 | Climate Change Adaptation Action Plan – He Whakamahere Hātepe Urutau Mō Te Huringa Āhuarangi | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | June, 2020 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 4 | GDS13-09 | Te Rautaki Para - Waste Strategy | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | March, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 5 | GDS19-42 | Rural Health Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 6= | GDS19-38 | Health Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 6= | GDS19-40 | Provisional Health of Disabled People Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 8= | GDS04-10 | Te Rautaki Matihiko mō Aotearoa – The Digital Strategy for Aotearoa | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | September, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 8= | GDS20-05 | Maihi Ka Ora - The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051 | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Social Services and Community Sector | March, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 8= | GDS21-01 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 21. Ministry of Justice | Justice Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 8= | GDS23-13 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 12 | GDS24-11 | Freight and Supply Chain Strategy | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | August, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 13= | GDS02-07 | Kiwi Recovery Plan – Mahere Whakaora Kiwi | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | November, 2018 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 13= | GDS18-07 | International Climate Finance Strategy - Tuia te Waka a Kiwa | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | External Sector | August, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 13= | GDS19-43 | Women's Health Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 16 | GDS14-01 | Te Mahere Whai Mahi Wāhine – Women's Employment Action Plan | 14. Ministry for Women | Social Services and Community Sector | June, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 17 | GDS20-04 | Te Tauākī Kaupapa Here a te Kāwanatanga mō te Whakawhanake Whare, Tāone anō hoki – Government Policy Statement on Housing and Urban Development | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Social Services and Community Sector | September, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 18= | GDS03-04 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 03. Department of Corrections | Justice Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 18= | GDS13-07 | Urutau, ka Taurikura: Kia tū Pakari a Aotearoa i ngā Huringa Āhuarangi – Adapt and Thrive: Building a Climate- Resilient New Zealand | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 18= | GDS22-02 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri | Māori Affairs Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 18= | GDS27-02 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | Social Services and Community Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 18= | GDS27-03 | Oranga Tamariki Action Plan | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | Social Services and Community Sector | July, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 18= | GDS28-02 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 28. Public Service Commission | Finance and Government Administration Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 24 | GDS19-39 | Pae Tū: Hauora Māori Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 25 | GDS19-41 | Te Mana Ola – The Pacific Health Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 26 | GDS11-01 | Pacific Employment Action Plan | 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Social Services and Community Sector | May, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 27= | GDS19-35 | Strategy to Prevent and Minimise Gambling Harm 2022/23 to 2024/25 | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | June, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 27= | GDS20-02 | Homelessness Action Plan | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Social Services and Community Sector | February, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 27= | GDS24-06 | Road to Zero – New Zealand's Road Safety Strategy | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | December, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 30= | GDS11-03 | Pacific Wellbeing Strategy | 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Social Services and Community Sector | September, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 30= | GDS12-01 | Biosecurity Science Strategy for New Zealand – Mahere Rautaki Putaiao Whakamaru | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | October, 2007 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 30= | GDS17-15 | Action Plan for Pacific Education: 2023 refresh | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 33 | GDS05-01 | National Disaster Resilience Strategy - Rautaki ā-Motu Manawaroa Aituā | 05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Finance and Government Administration Sector | April, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 34= | GDS17-09 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 34= | GDS19-34 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 34= | GDS22-03 | Maihi Ka Ora – The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051 | 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri | Māori Affairs Sector | March, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 37 | GDS05-03 | Child and Youth Wellbeing Strategy | 05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Finance and Government Administration Sector | August, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 38= | GDS03-05 | Ara Poutama Aotearoa - Suicide Prevention and Postvention Action Plan | 03. Department of Corrections | Justice Sector | March, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 38= | GDS25-02 | Rautaki Mana Ārai – Customs Strategy | 25. New Zealand Customs Service | External Sector | NK, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 38= | GDS28-04 | Te Rautaki Ārahi i te Ratonga Tūmatanui o Aotearoa – The Leadership Strategy for New Zealand's Public Service | 28. Public Service Commission | Finance and Government Administration Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 41 | GDS03-06 | Disability Action Plan | 03. Department of Corrections | Justice Sector | February, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 42 | GDS22-01 | Maihi Karauna – The Crown's Strategy for Māori Language Revitalisation | 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri | Māori Affairs Sector | February, 2019 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 43 | GDS17-13 | International Education Strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | August, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 44= | GDS19-36 | National HIV Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | March, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 44= | GDS24-07 | Transport Evidence Base Strategy | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | December, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 46 | GDS02-15 | Te Mana o te Taiao: Aotearoa New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 47= | GDS19-37 | Ngā Pokenga Paipai Me Ngā Pokenga Huaketo Mā Te Toto: Te Rautaki – Sexually Transmitted and Blood Borne Infection Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | March, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 47= | GDS24-09 | Maritime Security Strategy | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | December, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 49 | GDS24-08 | Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2021/22-2030/31 | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | September, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 50 | GDS17-11 | Attendance and Engagement Strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | June, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 51 | GDS19-30 | Kia Manawanui Aotearoa - Long-term pathway to mental wellbeing | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | August, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 52= | GDS02-10 | Predator Free 2050 Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | February, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 52= | GDS05-06 | Secure Together – Tō Tātou Korowai Manaaki | 05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Finance and Government Administration Sector | August, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 52= | GDS13-08 | Updated National Implementation Plan Under the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 52= | GDS17-04 | Te Rautaki Rawa Kura - The School Property Strategy 2030 | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | June, 2020 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 52= | GDS17-10 | Literacy & Communication and Maths Strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | March, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 52= | GDS28-03 | Fourth National Action Plan – Te Tuawhā o ngā Mahere Mahi ā-Motu | 28. Public Service Commission | Finance and Government Administration Sector | December, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 58 | GDS02-17 | Heritage and Visitor Strategy - He Rautaki Taonga Tuku Iho, Manuhiri Tūārangi hoki | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | January, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 59 | GDS16-02 | Defence Policy and Strategy Statement | 16. Ministry of Defence | External Sector | August, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 60 | GDS24-10 | Rail Plan | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | April, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 61= | GDS12-22 | Wallaby Strategy: Achieving a wallaby-free Aotearoa | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | October, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 61= | GDS17-03 | Learning Support Action Plan | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | July, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 61= | GDS23-06 | Better Later Life – He Oranga Kaumātua | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | November, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 61= | GDS23-18 | Social Sector Commissioning 2022– 2028 Action Plan | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | October, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 65= | GDS13-05 | Te hau mārohi ki anamata – Transitioning to a low-emissions and climate-resilient future | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | November, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 65= | GDS19-33 | Smokefree Aotearoa 2025 Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 67= | GDS03-07 | Ageing Well Action Plan | 03. Department of Corrections | Justice Sector | August, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 67= | GDS13-10 | Nationally Determined Contribution Strategy | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | October, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 67= | GDS15-05 | National Statement of Science Investment | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | October, 2015 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 70 | GDS03-03 | Wāhine - E rere ana ki te pae hou: Women's Strategy 2021-2025 | 03. Department of Corrections | Justice Sector | October, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 71= | GDS02-18 | Hector's and Māui Dolphin Threat Management Plan 2020 | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 71= | GDS04-11 | Strategy 2022–2025: A pathway to an Aotearoa where ethnic communities feel at home | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | September, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 71= | GDS11-04 | Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy | 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Social Services and Community Sector | November, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 71= | GDS12-17 | Fit for a Better World: Accelerating our economic potential | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | July, 2020 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 71= | GDS15-14 | Regulatory Systems Stewardship Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | March, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 76 | GDS12-14 | Aquaculture Strategy | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | September, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 77= | GDS15-15 | Aerospace Strategy – Te Rautaki Ātea- ā-rangi o Aotearoa | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | July, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 77= | GDS19-32 | COVID-19 Māori Health Protection Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 77= | GDS23-09 | E Tū Whānau Mahere Rautaki: Framework for Change | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | June, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 80= | GDS03-02 | Our Alcohol and Other Drug Strategy – Ara Poutama Aotearoa Strategy | 03. Department of Corrections | Justice Sector | October, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 80= | GDS19-03 | Cancer Control Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | August, 2003 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 80= | GDS23-17 | Employment and Social Outcomes Investment Strategy | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | June, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 80= | GDS23-19 | Youth Plan: Voice, leadership, action | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | August, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 84= | GDS09-01 | Cadastre 2034 | 09. Land Information New Zealand | Natural Resources Sector | February, 2014 | 2015 GDS Index |
| 84= | GDS19-29 | Kia Kaha, Kia Māia, Kia Ora Aotearoa: COVID-19 Psychosocial and Mental Wellbeing Recovery Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 86= | GDS12-06 | Wilding Conifer Management Strategy | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2014 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 86= | GDS19-06 | National Plan for Child Cancer Services in New Zealand | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2011 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 86= | GDS19-23 | Every Life Matters – He Tapu te Oranga o ia Tangata – Suicide Prevention Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | September, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 86= | GDS19-27 | Whakamaua – Māori Health Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 90= | GDS19-16 | Faiva Ora 2016-2021 - National Pasifika Disability Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | August, 2017 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 90= | GDS19-20 | Mental Health and Addiction Workforce Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | April, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 90= | GDS19-31 | Data and Information Strategy for Health and Disability – He Rautaki Raraunga, He Rautaki Pārongo mō te Pūnaha Hauora, Whaikaha hoki | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | November, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 93= | GDS02-08 | Government Tourism Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 93= | GDS31-01 | Thirty Year New Zealand Infrastructure Plan | 31. The Treasury | Finance and Government Administration Sector | August, 2015 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 95= | GDS02-19 | Procurement Strategy for Roading Activities | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 95= | GDS15-04 | Nation of Curious Minds – He Whenua Hihiri i te Mahara: A national strategic plan for science in society | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Education and Workforce Sector | July, 2014 | 2015 GDS Index |
| 95= | GDS17-01 | Nation of Curious Minds – He Whenua Hihiri i te Mahara: A national strategic plan for science in society | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | July, 2014 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 95= | GDS19-26 | Ola Manuia – Pacific Health and Wellbeing Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | June, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 95= | GDS30-01 | Transforming the New Zealand Census of Population and Dwellings | 30. Statistics New Zealand | Finance and Government Administration Sector | April, 2012 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS02-03 | Wilding Conifer Management Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2014 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS09-05 | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities | 09. Land Information New Zealand | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS12-15 | Cadmium and New Zealand Agriculture and Horticulture | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2019 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS12-19 | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS17-14 | Connected Ako: Digital and data for learning (a strategy for education agencies) | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | June, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS18-06 | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | External Sector | December, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS20-06 | Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Social Services and Community Sector | November, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS23-01 | Disability Strategy 2016–2026 | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | November, 2016 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 100= | GDS23-02 | New Zealand Sign Language Strategy | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | October, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 109= | GDS03-01 | Hōkai Rangi: Ara Poutama Aotearoa Strategy | 03. Department of Corrections | Justice Sector | August, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 109= | GDS16-01 | Defence Capability Plan 2019 | 16. Ministry of Defence | External Sector | June, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 111= | GDS05-04 | National Fuel Plan | 05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Finance and Government Administration Sector | March, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 111= | GDS05-05 | Countering Terrorism and Violent Extremism Strategy | 05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Finance and Government Administration Sector | June, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 111= | GDS12-09 | Primary Sector Science Roadmap - Te Ao Tūroa | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | June, 2017 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 111= | GDS15-10 | National Fuel Plan | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | March, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 111= | GDS23-16 | Older Workers Employment Action Plan – He Mahere Mahi Whakawhiwhi Mahi mō te Hunga Pakeke | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | April, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 111= | GDS27-04 | Social Sector Commissioning 2022– 2028 Action Plan | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | Social Services and Community Sector | October, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 111= | GDS31-02 | He Tirohanga Mokopuna 2021 – Combined Statement on the Long- term Fiscal Position and Long-term Insights Briefing | 31. The Treasury | Finance and Government Administration Sector | September, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 118= | GDS12-07 | Science Strategy – Rautaki Putaiao | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | October, 2015 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 118= | GDS19-01 | Palliative Care Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | February, 2001 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 120= | GDS02-21 | Ngā Awa, DOC's Priority River Restoration Programme | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 120= | GDS12-05 | National Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | January, 2014 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 120= | GDS19-15 | Health Research Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | June, 2017 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 120= | GDS23-10 | Pathways for Change | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | June, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 120= | GDS23-14 | Financial Capability | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | December, 2021 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 125 | GDS05-02 | Cyber Security Strategy 2019 | 05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Finance and Government Administration Sector | July, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 126= | GDS02-05 | New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka Threat Management Plan | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | July, 2017 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 126= | GDS02-20 | A Structured Decision-Making Approach for the Recovery of Kuaka/Whenua Hou Diving Petrel (Pelecanoides whenuahouensis) | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | June, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 126= | GDS12-10 | New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka Threat Management Plan | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | July, 2017 | 2020 GDS Index |

| Rank out | GDS | Government Department Strategy | Department | Sector | Publication | Index |
|----------------|----------|---|--|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| of 230 GDSs | Number | (GDS) | | | date | when GDS added |
| 129= | GDS04-06 | Strategy for a Digital Public Service | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | March, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 129= | GDS24-02 | International Air Transport Policy | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | May, 2012 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 131= | GDS02-11 | Visitor Centre Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | February, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 131= | GDS02-14 | Te Kaweka Takohaka mõ te Hoiho | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 131= | GDS12-18 | Te Kaweka Takohaka mō te Hoiho | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 131= | GDS12-20 | A Strategy for New Zealand Food Safety: Strategy refresh | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 131= | GDS23-15 | Rautaki Hangarau – Technology Strategy | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | March, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 136= | GDS09-03 | Regulatory Stewardship Strategy | 09. Land Information New Zealand | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2018 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 136= | GDS12-08 | Biosecurity 2025 Direction Statement | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | November, 2016 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 136= | GDS13-02 | Clean Healthy Air for all New Zealanders | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2011 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 136= | GDS17-12 | Hei Raukura mõ te Mokopuna – A Te Reo Matatini and Pāngarau Strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | August, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 136= | GDS19-14 | Healthy Ageing Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2016 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 136= | GDS19-17 | Influenza Pandemic Plan: A framework for action | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | August, 2017 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 136= | GDS30-02 | Government Data Strategy and Roadmap 2021 | 30. Statistics New Zealand | Finance and Government Administration Sector | August, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 143= | GDS13-04 | Our Science Strategy – Rautaki Pūtaiao | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 143= | GDS20-01 | He Whare Āhuru He Oranga Tāngata - The Māori Housing Strategy | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Social Services and Community Sector | July, 2014 | 2015 GDS Index |
| 143= | GDS25-01 | Information Management Strategy | 25. New Zealand Customs Service | External Sector | September, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 146= | GDS02-12 | National Plan of Action - Seabirds | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 146= | GDS12-16 | National Plan of Action - Seabirds | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 146= | GDS15-16 | Charging Our Future: National electric vehicle charging strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | October, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 146= | GDS19-13 | Disability Strategy 2016-2026 | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | November, 2016 | 2018 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 146= | GDS24-13 | Charging Our Future: National electric vehicle charging strategy | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | October, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 151= | GDS04-08 | Regulatory Services Group Strategy | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | July, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 151= | GDS19-12 | Living Well with Diabetes | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | October, 2015 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 151= | GDS19-19 | Where I Live; How I Live – Disability Support Services Community Residential Support Services Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | March, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 151= | GDS23-04 | Family Violence Funding Approach | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | July, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 155= | GDS02-01 | Karst Management Guidelines – Policies and Actions | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | May, 1999 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 155= | GDS02-04 | Mātauranga Whakauka Taiao - Environmental Education for Sustainability | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | July, 2017 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 155= | GDS13-01 | Urban Design Protocol | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | March, 2005 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 155= | GDS13-03 | Mātauranga Whakauka Taiao - Environmental Education for Sustainability | 13. Ministry for the Environment | Natural Resources Sector | July, 2017 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 155= | GDS15-02 | Strategy to 2040: He kai kei aku ringa - The Crown-Māori Economic Growth Partnership | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | November, 2012 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 155= | GDS19-09 | Cancer Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2014 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 155= | GDS19-44 | Strategic Framework for Managing COVID-19 | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | September, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 162 | GDS24-04 | Taking Flight: An Aviation System for the Automated Age [Drone Integration Paper] | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | July, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 163= | GDS12-21 | National Inshore Finfish Fisheries Plan | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | October, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 163= | GDS15-06 | Health Research Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | June, 2017 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 163= | GDS15-11 | Combatting Modern Forms of Slavery | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | December, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 163= | GDS23-08 | Campaign for Action on Family Violence: Framework for change | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | June, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 163= | GDS23-11 | Elder Abuse in Aotearoa | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | July, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 168 | GDS04-03 | Digital Inclusion Blueprint – Te Mahere mō te Whakaurunga Matihiko | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | March, 2019 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 169 | GDS19-08 | He Korowai Oranga – Māori Health Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | June, 2014 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 170= | GDS04-02 | Archives 2057 Strategy | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | May, 2017 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 170= | GDS19-24 | Planned Care Strategic Approach | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | September, 2019 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 170= | GDS23-12 | Working Matters: An Action Plan to ensure disabled people and people with health conditions have an equal opportunity to access employment | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | July, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 173= | GDS04-09 | Approach to Regulation of Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financing of Terrorism | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | July, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 173= | GDS12-13 | National Fisheries Plan for Highly Migratory Species | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2019 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 173= | GDS17-05 | Ka Hikitia - Ka Hāpaitia - The Māori Education Strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | July, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 176 | GDS12-02 | Harvest Strategy Standard for New Zealand Fisheries | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | October, 2008 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 177 | GDS23-03 | Te Pae Tata Māori Strategy and Action Plan | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | June, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 178= | GDS02-02 | Subantarctic Islands Research Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2005 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 178= | GDS15-01 | Vision Mātauranga | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | July, 2007 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 178= | GDS17-07 | Statement of National Education and Learning Priorities | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | November, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 181= | GDS19-05 | Ambulance Service Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | June, 2009 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 181= | GDS19-10 | Implementing Medicines New Zealand | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | June, 2015 | 2015 GDS Index |
| 181= | GDS19-11 | Cancer Health Information Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | July, 2015 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 181= | GDS19-25 | COVID-19 Health and Disability System Response Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | April, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 181= | GDS27-01 | Future Direction Action Plan | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | Social Services and Community Sector | September, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 186= | GDS17-08 | Tertiary Education Strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | November, 2020 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 186= | GDS19-02 | Sexual and Reproductive Health Strategy - Phase One | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | October, 2001 | 2014 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 189= | GDS12-04 | Animal Welfare Matters | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2013 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 189= | GDS15-09 | Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | NK, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 189= | GDS19-22 | National Syphilis Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | June, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 189= | GDS24-12 | Search and Rescue Strategic Plan | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | NK | 2023 GDS Index |
| 188 | GDS23-05 | Pacific Prosperity: Our People, Our Solutions, Our Future | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | October, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 189= | GDS24-03 | Framework for Shaping our Transport System | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | June, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 194 | GDS09-02 | Positioning Strategy | 09. Land Information New Zealand | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2014 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 195 | GDS12-11 | National Blue Cod Strategy | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | December, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 196= | GDS15-13 | Employment Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | November, 2022 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 196= | GDS23-07 | Disability Action Plan 2019-2023 | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Social Services and Community Sector | November, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 199= | GDS19-18 | Whāia Te Ao Mārama 2018 to 2022 - The Māori Disability Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | March, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 199= | GDS20-03 | Public Housing Plan 2021–2024 | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Social Services and Community Sector | January, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 198 | GDS19-04 | Medicines New Zealand | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | December, 2007 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 199= | GDS27-05 | The Oranga Tamariki Disability Vision and Strategy | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | Social Services and Community Sector | December, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 202 | GDS24-05 | Hei Arataki – Ministry of Transport Māori Strategy | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | September, 2019 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 203= | GDS15-12 | Building for the Future: Building System Regulatory Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | December, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 203= | GDS17-06 | Tau Mai te Reo – The Māori language in education strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | July, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 203= | GDS24-01 | National Airspace Policy of New Zealand | 24. Ministry of Transport | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | April, 2012 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 206= | GDS18-03 | Gender Action Plan | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | External Sector | January, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 206= | GDS18-04 | Child & Youth Well-Being Strategic Action Plan | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | External Sector | May, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 206= | GDS18-05 | Human Rights Strategic Action Plan for International Development Cooperation | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | External Sector | October, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 209 | GDS04-05 | Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financing of Terrorism Group Framework | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | February, 2020 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 210= | GDS19-28 | Kaiāwhina Workforce Action Plan | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | November, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 210= | GDS28-01 | Kia Toipoto – Public Service Action Plan | 28. Public Service Commission | Finance and Government Administration Sector | November, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 212 | GDS04-01 | Te Huri Mōhiotanga Hei Uara: Nga Tohutohu Rautaki Ki 2030 – Turning Knowledge into Value: Strategic Directions to 2030 | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | December, 2016 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 213 | GDS09-04 | New Zealand Geographic Board Strategy | 09. Land Information New Zealand | Natural Resources Sector | April, 2020 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 214= | GDS02-06 | National Compliance Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | August, 2017 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 214= | GDS06-01 | Pacific Strategy: Driving success for Pacific learners | 06. Education Review Office | Education and Workforce Sector | April, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 214= | GDS15-07 | Health and Safety at Work Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Education and Workforce Sector | December, 2018 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 217 | GDS17-02 | International Student Wellbeing Strategy | 17. Ministry of Education | Education and Workforce Sector | June, 2017 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 218 | GDS15-03 | Refugee Settlement: Resettlement Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Education and Workforce Sector | December, 2012 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 219= | GDS02-16 | Critical Ecosystem Pressures on Freshwater Environments (CRESP) 4-year research strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | November, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 219= | GDS12-12 | National Fisheries Plan for Deepwater and Middle-depth Fisheries | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2019 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 219= | GDS18-02 | India – New Zealand 2025: Investing in the Relationship | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | External Sector | February, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 219= | GDS19-21 | National Poliomyelitis Response Plan for New Zealand | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | February, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |

| Rank out of 230 GDSs | GDS Number | Government Department Strategy (GDS) | Department | Sector | Publication date | Index when GDS added |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 223 | GDS18-01 | International Cooperation for Effective Sustainable Development (ICESD) [Policy Statement] | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | External Sector | November, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 224 | GDS12-03 | Research and Science Information Standard for New Zealand Fisheries | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | Natural Resources Sector | May, 2011 | 2018 GDS Index |
| 225= | GDS02-09 | National RMA and EEZA [Exclusive Economic Zone and Continental Shelf (Environmental Effects) Act 2012] Advocacy Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | Natural Resources Sector | June, 2019 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 225= | GDS15-08 | Conformance System Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector | July, 2019 | 2020 GDS Index |
| 227 | GDS04-07 | Charities Services Research Strategy | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | March, 2021 | 2021 GDS Index |
| 228 | GDS08-01 | Our Strategy: Improving oranga for current and future generations | 08. Inland Revenue Department | Finance and Government Administration Sector | NK, 2023 | 2023 GDS Index |
| 229 | GDS19-07 | Care Closer to Home | 19. Ministry of Health | Health Sector | February, 2014 | 2014 GDS Index |
| 230 | GDS04-04 | Office of Ethnic Communities – Strategic Framework | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Finance and Government Administration Sector | January, 2020 | 2020 GDS Index |

List B: GDSs archived between October 1994 and 31 December 2023

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date | | | | |
|------|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2014 | 2014 GDS Index archived as at 30 June 2014 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Department of Conservation | Science Counts! National Strategic Science & Research Portfolios, Programmes, Priority Actions | NK, 2001 | | | | |
| 2 | Department of Conservation | Science Counts! National Strategic Science & Research Portfolios, Programmes, Priority Actions 2002/03 and Beyond | NK, 2002 | | | | |
| 3 | Department of Conservation | Science Counts! National Strategic Science & Research Portfolios, Programmes, Priority Actions 2003/04 and Beyond | NK, 2003 | | | | |
| 4 | Department of Conservation | National Plan of Action to Reduce the Incidental Catch of Seabirds in New Zealand Fisheries (jointly held between MPI and DoC) | April, 2004 | | | | |
| 5 | Department of Conservation | Marine Mammal Action Plan for 2005-2010 | December, 2004 | | | | |
| 6 | Department of Corrections | Pacific Strategy 2002–2005 | NK, 2002 | | | | |
| 7 | Department of Corrections | Strategy to Reduce Drug and Alcohol Use by Offenders 2005–2008 | NK, 2004 | | | | |
| 8 | Department of Corrections | Te Reo Strategy 2004–2008 | NK, 2004 | | | | |
| 9 | Department of Corrections | Pacific Strategy 2005–2008 | NK, 2005 | | | | |
| 10 | Department of Corrections | Justice Sector Information Strategy 2006-2011 (jointly held between MoJ and Corrections) | July, 2006 | | | | |
| 11 | Department of Corrections | Prisoner Employment Strategy 2006-2009 | NK, 2006 | | | | |
| 12 | Department of Corrections | Māori Strategic Plan 2008-2013 | June (approx), 2008 | | | | |
| 13 | Department of Corrections | Pacific Strategy 2008–2013 | June (approx), 2008 | | | | |
| 14 | Department of Corrections | Prisoner Skills & Employment Strategy 2009–2012 | NK, 2009 | | | | |
| 15 | Department of Internal Affairs | National Civil Defence Emergency Management Strategy - 2003-2006 | March, 2004 | | | | |
| 16 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Crime Prevention Strategy 1994 | October, 1994 | | | | |
| 17 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Growing an Innovative New Zealand | February, 2002 | | | | |
| 18 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Sustainable Development For New Zealand: Programme of Action | January, 2003 | | | | |
| 19 | Land Information New Zealand | Geodetic Strategy 2003–2008 | April, 2003 | | | | |
| 20 | Land Information New Zealand | Ross Sea Region: Strategy 2003–2012 | May, 2003 | | | | |
| 21 | Land Information New Zealand | Topographic Information Strategy 2005–2010 | June, 2005 | | | | |
| 22 | Land Information New Zealand | Future-proofing Core Survey and Title Paper Records: Strategy Paper for the Minister for Land Information | October, 2007 | | | | |
| 23 | Land Information New Zealand | Biosecurity Strategy 2010-2013 | July, 2010 | | | | |
| 24 | Ministry for Culture and Heritage | Building a Strong and Sustainable Public Broadcasting Environment for New Zealand: A Programme of Action | NK, 2005 | | | | |
| 25 | Ministry for Culture and Heritage | Digital Television Strategy | November, 2006 | | | | |
| 26 | Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Ala Fou - New Pathways: Strategic Directions for Pacific Youth in New Zealand | NK, 2003 | | | | |
| 27 | Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Pacific Economic Action Plan and Pacific Women's Economic Development Plan | NK, 2005 | | | | |
| 28 | Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Pathways to Leadership: Goal 2010: A Report on Pacific Leadership in the Public Service | NK, 2006 | | | | |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|-----|---|---|------------------|
| 29 | Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Auckland Pacific Strategy: Successful Pacific Peoples 2009–2014 | NK, 2009 |
| 30 | Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Career Futures for Pacific Peoples: A Report on Future Labour Market Opportunities and Education Pathways for Pacific Peoples | October, 2010 |
| 31 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | A Standard Setting Strategy for the Protection of New Zealand's Forests and Trade in Their Products | NK, 1998 |
| 32 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Dairying and Clean Streams Accord Between Fonterra Co-operative, Group Regional Councils, Ministry for the Environment, and Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (jointly held between MfE and MPI) | May, 2003 |
| 33 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Tiakina Aotearoa, Protect New Zealand: The Biosecurity Strategy for New Zealand | August, 2003 |
| 34 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | A Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Strategy | October, 2003 |
| 35 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | National Plan of Action to Reduce the Incidental Catch of Seabirds in New Zealand Fisheries (jointly held between MPI and DoC) | April, 2004 |
| 36 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Strategy for Managing the Environmental Effects of Fishing | June, 2005 |
| 37 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Campylobacter in Poultry – Risk Management Strategy 2006–2009 | November, 2006 |
| 38 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Food Safety Authority Strategy for Involving Māori in Food Safety and Consumer Protection Issues | April, 2007 |
| 39 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Listeria Monocytogenes Risk Management Strategy 2008-2013 | July, 2008 |
| 40 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | National Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks | October, 2008 |
| 41 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Campylobacter Risk Management Strategy 2008–2011 | December, 2008 |
| 42 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Salmonella Risk Management Strategy 2009–2012 | March, 2009 |
| 43 | Ministry for Primary Industries [previously MAF] | Strategic Objectives in Codex 2010–2013 | NK, 2010 |
| 44 | Ministry for the Environment | Environment 2010 Strategy: A Statement of the Government's Strategy on the Environment | September, 1995 |
| 45 | Ministry for the Environment | Sustainable Land Management: A Strategy for New Zealand | June, 1996 |
| 46 | Ministry for the Environment | Learning to Care for Our Environment: Me Ako ki te Tiaki Taiao: A National Strategy for Environmental Education | June, 1998 |
| 47 | Ministry for the Environment | National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy: Towards a Sustainable Energy Future | September, 2001 |
| 48 | Ministry for the Environment | Waste Strategy 2002 | March, 2002 |
| 49 | Ministry for the Environment | Climate Change Research Strategy | NK, 2002 |
| 50 | Ministry for the Environment | Dairying and Clean Streams Accord Between Fonterra Co-operative, Group Regional Councils, Ministry for the Environment, and Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (jointly held between MfE and MPI) | May, 2003 |
| 51 | Ministry for the Environment | Strategy for Improving the Workability of Hazardous Substances Provisions of the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act | June, 2003 |
| 52 | Ministry for the Environment | The Water Programme of Action | November, 2003 |
| 53 | Ministry for the Environment | Climate Change Solutions: Whole of Government Climate Change Work Programmes | June, 2006 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|-----|--|---|------------------|
| 54 | Ministry for Women | Action Plan for New Zealand Women | March, 2004 |
| 55 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | The Government's Strategy for Research, Science and Technology in New Zealand to the Year 2010 | August, 1996 |
| 56 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | A Strategic Plan for Māori Tourism Development for the Ministry of Māori Development, 1999–2002 (jointly held between MBIE and TPK) | NK, 1999 |
| 57 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Industry Development Strategy | May, 2000 |
| 58 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Regional Development Strategy | June, 2000 |
| 59 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | E-Commerce: Building the Strategy for New Zealand | November, 2001 |
| 60 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Tourism Strategy 2010 | NK, 2001 |
| 61 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Connecting Communities: A Strategy for Government Support of Community Access to Information and Communications Technology | June, 2002 |
| 62 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Biotechnology Strategy: A Foundation for Development With Care | May, 2003 |
| 63 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | A Future Together: The New Zealand Settlement Strategy in Outline | NK, 2003 |
| 64 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | The Digital Strategy: Creating Our Digital Future | May, 2005 |
| 65 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Labour Market & Employment Strategy: Better Work, Working Better | June, 2005 |
| 66 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Roadmaps for Science: Energy Research | December, 2006 |
| 67 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Roadmaps for Science: Nanoscience + Nanotechnologies | December, 2006 |
| 68 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Roadmaps for Science: Biotechnology Research | March, 2007 |
| 69 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Tourism Strategy 2015 | NK, 2007 |
| 70 | Ministry of Defence | Defence Long-term Development Plan | June, 2002 |
| 71 | Ministry of Defence | The Defence Sustainability Initiative: Building a Long-term Future for the New Zealand Defence Force | May, 2005 |
| 72 | Ministry of Defence | Defence Long-term Development Plan 2006 Update | October, 2006 |
| 73 | Ministry of Education | Ko e Ako 'a e Kakai Pasifika: Pacific Islands Peoples' Education in Aotearoa, New Zealand Towards the Twenty-first Century: A Plan to Promote Pacific Islands Peoples' Success in New Zealand Education | December, 1996 |
| 74 | Ministry of Education | More Than Words: The New Zealand Adult Literacy Strategy, Kei tua atu i te kupu: Te mahere rautaki whiringa ako o Aotearoa | May, 2001 |
| 75 | Ministry of Education | Pasifika Education Plan 2001–2005 | NK, 2001 |
| 76 | Ministry of Education | Pathways to the Future: Ngā Huarahi Arataki: A 10-year Strategic Plan for Early Childhood Education 2002–2012 | September, 2002 |
| 77 | Ministry of Education | Tertiary Education Strategy 2002–2007 | NK, 2002 |
| 78 | Ministry of Education | The Adult ESOL Strategy | May, 2003 |
| 79 | Ministry of Education | Making a Bigger Difference for All Students, Hangaia he huarahi hei whakarewa aka I ngā tauira katoa: Schooling Strategy 2005–2010 | June, 2005 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|-----|--|---|------------------|
| 80 | Ministry of Education | Māori Education Strategy | NK, 2005 |
| 81 | Ministry of Education | Pasifika Education Plan 2006-2010 | June, 2006 |
| 82 | Ministry of Education | Tertiary Education Strategy 2007–12: Incorporating Statement of Tertiary Education Priorities 2008–10 | December, 2006 |
| 83 | Ministry of Education | Better Outcomes for Children: An Action Plan for GSE 2006-2011 | NK, 2006 |
| 84 | Ministry of Education | Enabling the 21st Century Learner: An e-Learning Action Plan for Schools 2006–2010 | NK, 2006 |
| 85 | Ministry of Education | The Arts Strategy 2006–2008 | NK, 2006 |
| 86 | Ministry of Education | International Education Agenda: A Strategy for 2007–2012 | August, 2007 |
| 87 | Ministry of Education | Pasifika Education Plan 2008-2012 | NK, 2008 |
| 88 | Ministry of Education | ICT Strategic Framework for Education 2008–2012 | NK, 2008 |
| 89 | Ministry of Education | Ka Hikitia: Managing for Success: Māori Education Strategy 2008–2012, Updated 2009 | NK, 2008 |
| 90 | Ministry of Education | Pasifika Education Plan 2009-2012 | NK, 2009 |
| 91 | Ministry of Education | Tertiary Education Strategy 2010–15 | January, 2010 |
| 92 | Ministry of Education | Education Agencies' ICT Investment Framework: Strategy 2011-2014 | NK, 2011 |
| 93 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Strategic Policy Framework for Relations Between NZAID and New Zealand NGOs | August, 2000 |
| 94 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Policy Statement: Towards a Safe and Just World Free of Poverty | July, 2002 |
| 95 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | NZAID Human Rights Policy: Implementation Plan of Action 2004 -09 | NK, 2004 |
| 96 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Preventing Conflict and Building Peace | February, 2005 |
| 97 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Pacific Fisheries Strategy 2006-2010 | October, 2006 |
| 98 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Pacific Strategy 2007–2015: Te Ara Tupu – The Pathway of Growth: Tackling Poverty in Our Region | NK, 2007 |
| 99 | Ministry of Health | Te Ara Tohu: Strategic Management Plan for Māori Health 1994–1999 | NK, 1995 |
| 100 | Ministry of Health | Moving Forward: The National Mental Health Plan for More and Better Services | July, 1997 |
| 101 | Ministry of Health | In Our Hands: New Zealand Youth Suicide Prevention Strategy (jointly held between TPK and MoH) | March, 1998 |
| 102 | Ministry of Health | Kia piki te ora o te taitamariki: Strengthening Youth Wellbeing: New Zealand Youth Suicide Prevention Strategy (jointly held between TPK and MoH) | March, 1998 |
| 103 | Ministry of Health | Child Health Strategy | June, 1998 |
| 104 | Ministry of Health | National Drug Policy 1998–2003 | June, 1998 |
| 105 | Ministry of Health | National Alcohol Strategy 2000–2003 | March, 2001 |
| 106 | Ministry of Health | Pacific Health and Disability Action Plan | February, 2002 |
| 107 | Ministry of Health | Te Puāwaitanga: Māori Mental Health National Strategic Framework | April, 2002 |
| 108 | Ministry of Health | He Korowai Oranga: Māori Health Strategy | November, 2002 |
| 109 | Ministry of Health | Building on Strengths: A New Approach to Promoting Mental Health in New Zealand/Aotearoa | December, 2002 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|-----|---|---|------------------|
| 110 | Ministry of Health | Child Health Information Strategy | April, 2003 |
| 111 | Ministry of Health | Clinical Training Agency Strategic Intentions 2004–2013 | January, 2004 |
| 112 | Ministry of Health | Clearing the Smoke: A Five-year Plan for Tobacco Control in New Zealand (2004–2009) | September, 2004 |
| 113 | Ministry of Health | Preventing and Minimising Gambling Harm: Strategic Plan 2004–2010 | March, 2005 |
| 114 | Ministry of Health | National Mental Health Information Strategy | June, 2005 |
| 115 | Ministry of Health | Te Tāhuhu: Improving Mental Health 2005–2015: The Second New Zealand Mental Health and Addiction Plan | June, 2005 |
| 116 | Ministry of Health | Health Information Strategy for New Zealand 2005 | August, 2005 |
| 117 | Ministry of Health | Pacific Provider Development Fund Purchasing Strategy 2005/06 to 2007/08 | October, 2005 |
| 118 | Ministry of Health | Problem Gambling Research Programme 2005–2010 | NK, 2005 |
| 119 | Ministry of Health | Te Puāwaiwhero: The Second Māori Mental Health and Addiction National Strategic Framework 2008–2015 | July, 2008 |
| 120 | Ministry of Health | National Strategic Plan of Action for Breastfeeding 2008–2012 | March, 2009 |
| 121 | Ministry of Health | Ala Mo'ui: Pathways to Pacific Health and Wellbeing 2010–2014 | January, 2010 |
| 122 | Ministry of Health | National Health IT Plan: Enabling an Integrated Healthcare Model | September, 2010 |
| 123 | Ministry of Justice | Justice Sector Information Strategy 1996 | August, 1996 |
| 124 | Ministry of Justice | Youth Offending Strategy: Preventing and Reducing Offending and Re-offending by Children and Young People: Te Haonga (jointly held between MoJ and MSD) | April, 2002 |
| 125 | Ministry of Justice | Crime Reduction Strategy 2002 | NK, 2002 |
| 126 | Ministry of Justice | Te Ara Hei Mua, The Pathway Forward 2003–2006 | June, 2003 |
| 127 | Ministry of Justice | Safer Communities: Action Plan to Reduce Community Violence & Sexual Violence | June, 2004 |
| 128 | Ministry of Justice | Justice Sector Information Strategy 2006–2011 (jointly held between MoJ and Corrections) | July, 2006 |
| 129 | Ministry of Justice | Organised Crime Strategy: Developing a Whole of Government Approach to Combat Organised Crime | March, 2008 |
| 130 | Ministry of Justice | STOP Strategy: A Strategy for Change 2008–2011 | September, 2008 |
| 131 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | In Our Hands: New Zealand Youth Suicide Prevention Strategy (jointly held between TPK and MoH) | March, 1998 |
| 132 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | Kia piki te ora o te taitamariki: Strengthening Youth Wellbeing: New Zealand Youth Suicide Prevention Strategy (jointly held between TPK and MoH) | March, 1998 |
| 133 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | A Strategic Plan for Māori Tourism Development for the Ministry of Māori Development, 1999–2002 (jointly held between MBIE and TPK) | NK, 1999 |
| 134 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | Te Rautaki Reo Māori, The Māori Language Strategy 2014 | June, 2003 |
| 135 | Ministry of Social Development | Te Punga: Our Bicultural Strategy for the Nineties | Late, 1994 |
| 136 | Ministry of Social Development | Opportunity, Capacity, Participation: Government Employment Strategy 2000 | September, 2000 |
| 137 | Ministry of Social Development | Pathways to Opportunity: Ngā ara whai oranga: From Social Welfare to Social Development | June, 2001 |
| 138 | Ministry of Social Development | Te Rito: New Zealand Family Violence Prevention Strategy | February, 2002 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|------|--|---|---------------------|
| 139 | Ministry of Social Development | Youth Offending Strategy: Preventing and Reducing Offending and Re-offending by Children and Young People: Te Haonga (jointly held between MoJ and MSD) | April, 2002 |
| 140 | Ministry of Social Development | New Zealand's Agenda for Children: Making Life Better for Children | June, 2002 |
| 141 | Ministry of Social Development | Pacific Strategy 2002 | NK, 2002 |
| 142 | Ministry of Social Development | Care and Protection Blueprint 2003 | NK, 2003 |
| 143 | Ministry of Social Development | Pacific Youth Development Strategy: Deliver Positive Life-change and Affirmation for All Pacific Youth in Auckland | June, 2005 |
| 144 | Ministry of Social Development | Pate, Lali, Nafa: Strategy for Pacific Islands Employment and Service Delivery | NK, 2006 |
| 145 | Ministry of Social Development | Caring for the Carers - He Atawhai i te Hunga Ngākau Oha o Aotearoa: The New Zealand Carers' Strategy and Five-year Action Plan 2008 | April, 2008 |
| 146 | Ministry of Transport | Transport Strategy 2002 | December, 2002 |
| 147 | Ministry of Transport | Road Safety to 2010 | October, 2003 |
| 148 | Ministry of Transport | Getting There – On Foot, by Cycle: A Strategy to Advance Walking and Cycling in New Zealand Transport | February, 2005 |
| 149 | Ministry of Transport | National Rail Strategy to 2015 | May, 2005 |
| 150 | Ministry of Transport | Transport Research Strategy 2007 | May, 2007 |
| 151 | Ministry of Transport | Driver Fatigue Strategy: An Inter-agency Strategy to Combat Driver Fatigue | December, 2007 |
| 152 | Ministry of Transport | Sea Change: Transforming Coastal Shipping in New Zealand: A Strategy for Domestic Sea Freight: May 2008 | May, 2008 |
| 153 | Ministry of Transport | Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding 2009/10-2018/19 | August, 2008 |
| 154 | Ministry of Transport | Transport Strategy 2008 | NK, 2008 |
| 155 | Ministry of Transport | Safer Journeys Action Plan 2011–2012 | May, 2011 |
| 156 | New Zealand Customs Service | International Strategy 2002–2004 | March, 2002 |
| 157 | Public Service Commission | Enabling Transformation: A Strategy for e-Government 2006 | November, 2006 |
| 158 | Statistics New Zealand | Strategic Directions: 2002 and Beyond | April, 2002 |
| 159 | The Treasury | Strategic Plan 1999-2004 | March, 1999 |
| 160 | The Treasury | Strategic Direction Summary 2004 | NK, 2004 |
| 161 | The Treasury | Long-term Fiscal Position | June, 2006 |
| 162 | The Treasury | Stepping Up | November, 2006 |
| 2015 | GDS Index archived 30 June 2015 | | |
| 163 | Department of Corrections | Drug and Alcohol Strategy 2009-2014 | July (approx), 2009 |
| 164 | Department of Internal Affairs | New Generation National Library: Strategic Directions to 2017 | NK, 2007 |
| 165 | Land Information New Zealand | Geodetic Physical Infrastructure Strategy | September, 2012 |
| 166 | Land Information New Zealand | Power of 'Where' Drives New Zealand's Success | November, 2013 |
| 167 | Ministry for Culture and Heritage | New Zealand Arts, Cultural and Heritage Tourism Strategy to 2015 | September, 2008 |
| 168 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Climate Change Solutions: Sustainable Land Management and Climate Change: Plan of Action: A Partnership Approach | September, 2007 |
| 169 | Ministry for the Environment | Packaging Accord 2004 | July, 2004 |

| Row | Department (number & name) | GDS title | Publication date |
|--------|---|--|------------------|
| | that archived the GDS | | |
| 170 | Ministry for the Environment | Meeting the Challenges of Future Flooding in New Zealand | August, 2008 |
| 171 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Energy Strategy to 2050: Powering Our Future: Towards a Sustainable Low Emissions Energy System | October, 2007 |
| 172 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Our Future Together: New Zealand Settlement Strategy | NK, 2007 |
| 173 | Ministry of Defence | Defence Capability Plan 2011 | September, 2011 |
| 174 | Ministry of Health | Disability Support Services Strategic Plan 2010 to 2014: Towards a More Flexible Disability Support System: Nothing for Us Without Us | March, 2012 |
| 175 | Ministry of Social Development | Strengthening Families for Well-being: From Welfare to Well-being, mai i te toko i te ora ki te oranga: 5th Edition 1998 | NK, 1998 |
| 176 | The Treasury | National Infrastructure Plan | July, 2011 |
| 2018 (| GDS Index archived 31 December 20 | 018 | |
| 177 | Canterbury Earthquake | Recovery Strategy for Greater Christchurch, Mahere Haumanutanga o | May, 2012 |
| | Recovery Authority | Waitaha | 3, |
| | Note: No longer in existance | | |
| 178 | Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority | Community in Mind, Hei Puāwai Waitaha – a flourishing Waitaha: Strategy for rebuilding health and wellbeing in greater Christchurch | June, 2014 |
| | Note: No longer in existance | | |
| 179 | Department of Conservation | Marine Protected Areas: Policy and Implementation Plan (jointly held with MPI and DoC) | December, 2005 |
| 180 | Department of Conservation | Sea Lion Species Management Plan: 2009-2014 | July, 2009 |
| 181 | Department of Conservation | Rena: Long-term Environmental Recovery Plan (jointly held between DoC, MfE, MPI and MoT) | December, 2011 |
| 182 | Department of Conservation | Science Counts! The Department of Conservation's Strategic Science and Research Priorities 2011–2016 | June, 2011 |
| 183 | Department of Corrections | Creating Lasting Change: Strategy 2011–2015 | NK, 2011 |
| 184 | Department of Corrections | Youth Strategy – Reducing Re-offending by Young People | November, 2013 |
| 185 | Department of Corrections | RR25%: Reducing Re-offending Strategy 2014–2017 | November, 2014 |
| 186 | Department of Internal Affairs | Government ICT Strategy and Action Plan to 2017 | June, 2013 |
| 187 | Department of Internal Affairs | Result 10 Blueprint: A Strategy for Digital Public Services | June, 2014 |
| 188 | Department of Internal Affairs | Government ICT Strategy 2015 | October, 2015 |
| 189 | Department of Internal Affairs | A Dynamic, Integrated Programme of Work to Deliver the Government | June, 2016 |
| 109 | Department of internal Analis | ICT Strategy | Julie, 2010 |
| 190 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Tackling Methamphetamine: An Action Plan | October, 2009 |
| 191 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Cyber Security Strategy 2011 | June, 2011 |
| 192 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Measures to Improve Youth Mental Health | June, 2012 |
| 193 | Land Information New Zealand | Geospatial Strategy 2007 | January, 2007 |
| 194 | Land Information New Zealand | He Whāriki Maurua: Business with Māori Strategy 2013–2016 | June, 2013 |
| 195 | Land Information New Zealand | Strategic Plan 2015 | December, 2015 |
| | | | December, 2005 |
| 196 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Marine Protected Areas: Policy and Implementation Plan (jointly held with MPI and DoC) | December, 2005 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|-----|---|---|------------------|
| 197 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Fisheries 2030: New Zealanders Maximising Benefits From the Use of Fisheries Within Environmental Limits | September, 2009 |
| 198 | Ministry for Primary Industries | National Fisheries Plan for Deepwater and Middle-depth Fisheries | NK, 2010 |
| 199 | Ministry for Primary Industries | National Fisheries Plan for Highly Migratory Species (HMS) 2010–2015 | NK, 2010 |
| 200 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Pest Management National Plan of Action | February, 2011 |
| 201 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Draft National Fisheries Plan for Freshwater | July, 2011 |
| 202 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Draft National Fisheries Plan for Inshore Finfish | July, 2011 |
| 203 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Draft National Fisheries Plan for Inshore Shellfish | July, 2011 |
| 204 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Our Strategy 2030: Growing and Protecting New Zealand | July, 2011 |
| 205 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Rena: Long-term Environmental Recovery Plan (jointly held between DoC, MfE, MPI and MoT) | December, 2011 |
| 206 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Future Directions for the Border Sector | February, 2012 |
| 207 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Freshwater Reform: 2013 and Beyond (jointly held between MfE and MPI) | March, 2013 |
| 208 | Ministry for Primary Industries | National Plan of Action – 2013: To Reduce the Incidental Catch of Seabirds in New Zealand Fisheries | April, 2013 |
| 209 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Campylobacter Risk Management Strategy | July, 2013 |
| 210 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Listeria Risk Management Strategy | July, 2013 |
| 211 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Salmonella Risk Management Strategy | July, 2013 |
| 212 | Ministry for Primary Industries | National Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks 2013 | January, 2014 |
| 213 | Ministry for the Environment | Water Research Strategy | December, 2009 |
| 214 | Ministry for the Environment | Rena: Long-term Environmental Recovery Plan (jointly held between DoC, MfE, MPI and MoT) | December, 2011 |
| 215 | Ministry for the Environment | Freshwater Reform: 2013 and Beyond (jointly held between MfE and MPI) | March, 2013 |
| 216 | Ministry for the Environment | Framework for Environmental Reporting in New Zealand | February, 2014 |
| 217 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Workplace Health and Safety Strategy for New Zealand to 2015, Ruataki mõ te Haumaru me te Hauora o te Wāhi Mahi mõ Aotearoa ki te 2015 | June, 2005 |
| 218 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Migrant Settlement and Integration Strategy | March, 2014 |
| 219 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Tertiary Education Strategy 2014 (was jointly held between MBIE and MoE but then became solely MoE) | March, 2014 |
| 220 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Better Public Services Result 9: Improving Business' Interactions with Government | July, 2014 |
| 221 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Business Growth Agenda: Future Direction 2014 | NK, 2014 |
| 222 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Business Growth Agenda: Towards 2025 | September, 2015 |
| 223 | Ministry of Defence | Defence White Paper 2010 | November, 2010 |
| 224 | Ministry of Education | Success for All: Every School, Every Child: Building an Inclusive Education System | NK, 2010 |
| 225 | Ministry of Education | Leadership Statement for International Education | September, 2011 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|-----|---|---|------------------|
| 226 | Ministry of Education | School Property Strategy 2011–2021 [jointly held between MoE and MBIE] | NK, 2011 |
| 227 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Latin America: A Revised Approach | May, 2010 |
| 228 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Opening Doors to India: New Zealand's 2015 Vision | October, 2011 |
| 229 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | International Development Group Strategic Plan 2012–2015: Development that Delivers | September, 2012 |
| 230 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Opening Doors to the Gulf Region: The New Zealand Inc Strategy | July, 2013 |
| 231 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | New Zealand Aid Programme Strategic Plan 2015-19 | September, 2015 |
| 232 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | New Zealand Aid Programme Investment Priorities 2015–19 | February, 2016 |
| 233 | Ministry of Health | Health Strategy 2000 | December, 2000 |
| 234 | Ministry of Health | Disability Strategy 2001 | April, 2001 |
| 235 | Ministry of Health | National Drug Policy 2007-2012 | NK, 2007 |
| 236 | Ministry of Health | National Health Emergency Plan 2008 | December, 2008 |
| 237 | Ministry of Health | Actioning Medicines New Zealand 2010 | April, 2010 |
| 238 | Ministry of Health | Preventing and Minimising Gambling Harm [Six-year strategic plan] | May, 2010 |
| 239 | Ministry of Health | National Screening Unit Strategic Plan 2010-2015 | June, 2010 |
| 240 | Ministry of Health | Better, Sooner, More Convenient Health Care in the Community | June, 2011 |
| 241 | Ministry of Health | National Health Emergency Plan: Mass Casualty Action Plan | September, 2011 |
| 242 | Ministry of Health | Preventing and Minimising Gambling Harm [Three-year service plan and levy rates] | May, 2013 |
| 243 | Ministry of Health | National Health Emergency Plan: H5N1 Pre-Pandemic Vaccine Usage Policy (Revised 2013) | December, 2013 |
| 244 | Ministry of Health | National Health Emergency Plan: National Reserve Supplies Management and Usage Policies, 3rd Edition | December, 2013 |
| 245 | Ministry of Health | Faiva Ora National Pasifika Disability Plan | January, 2014 |
| 246 | Ministry of Justice | Strengthening New Zealand's Resistance to Organised Crime: An all-of-government response 2011 | August, 2011 |
| 247 | Ministry of Justice | Delivering Better Public Services: Reducing Crime and Re-offending Result Action Plan | July, 2012 |
| 248 | Ministry of Social Development | Pathways to Inclusion: Ngã ara whakauru ki te iwi whānui: Improving Vocational Services for People with Disabilities | September, 2001 |
| 249 | Ministry of Social Development | Delivering Better Public Services: Reducing Long-term Welfare Dependence: Result Action Plan | August, 2012 |
| 250 | Ministry of Social Development | Community Investment Strategy | June, 2015 |
| 251 | Ministry of Transport | Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding 2012/13–2021/22 | July, 2011 |
| 252 | Ministry of Transport | Connecting New Zealand: A Summary of the Government's Policy Direction for Transport | August, 2011 |
| 253 | Ministry of Transport | Rena: Long-term Environmental Recovery Plan (jointly held between DoC, MfE, MPI and MoT) | December, 2011 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|------|---|--|------------------|
| 254 | Ministry of Transport | Safer Journeys Action Plan 2013–2015 | March, 2013 |
| 255 | Ministry of Transport | Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2015/16 - 2024-25 | December, 2014 |
| 256 | New Zealand Customs Service | Towards Customs 2020 | September, 2013 |
| 257 | New Zealand Customs Service | Customs IS Strategy 2014–2017 | December, 2014 |
| 258 | Oranga Tamariki - Ministry for Children | Delivering Better Public Services: Supporting Vulnerable Children: Result Action Plan | August, 2012 |
| 259 | Public Service Commission | Direction and Priorities for System Stewardship | May, 2016 |
| 260 | Statistics New Zealand | Strategic Plan 2010-20 | September, 2010 |
| 261 | The Treasury | Challenges and Choices: New Zealand's Long-term Fiscal Statement 2009 | October, 2009 |
| 262 | The Treasury | Affording Our Future: Statement on New Zealand's Long-term Fiscal Position | July, 2013 |
| 263 | The Treasury | Investment Statement: Managing the Crown's Balance Sheet 2014 | March, 2014 |
| 2020 | GDS Index archived 31 December 2 | 020 | |
| 264 | Department of Conservation | Biodiversity Strategy (2000) | February, 2000 |
| 265 | Department of Corrections | Reducing Re-offending Among Māori | March, 2017 |
| 266 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Cyber Security Strategy 2015 | December, 2015 |
| 267 | Education Review Office | Pacific Strategy 2013-2017 | January, 2013 |
| 268 | Ministry for Culture and Heritage | Cultural Sector Strategic Framework 2014-2018 | August, 2014 |
| 269 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Campylobacter Risk Management Strategy 2017-2020 | May, 2017 |
| 270 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Growing and Protecting New Zealand | July, 2017 |
| 271 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Tourism Strategy 2016 | August, 2016 |
| 272 | Ministry of Defence | Defence Capability Plan 2014 | June, 2014 |
| 273 | Ministry of Education | Pasifika Education Plan | November, 2012 |
| 274 | Ministry of Education | Tau Mai Te Reo - The Māori Language in Education Strategy 2013-2017 | June, 2013 |
| 275 | Ministry of Education | Ka Hikitia - Accelerating Success: The Māori Education Strategy 2013- 2017 | July, 2013 |
| 276 | Ministry of Education | Tertiary Education Strategy 2014-2019 | March, 2014 |
| 277 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | International Development Policy Statement | March, 2011 |
| 278 | Ministry of Health | National Drug Policy 2015-2020 | August, 2015 |
| 279 | Ministry of Health | Strategy to Prevent and Minimise Gambling Harm 2016/17-2018/19 | May, 2016 |
| 280 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | Te Rautaki Reo Māori - Māori Language Strategy 2014 | July, 2014 |
| 281 | Ministry of Social Development | Positive Ageing Strategy | April, 2001 |
| 282 | Ministry of Social Development | Disability Action Plan 2014-2018 | May, 2014 |
| 283 | Ministry of Social Development | Youth Investment Strategy | September, 2017 |
| 284 | Ministry of Transport | Safer Journeys: Road Safety Strategy 2010–2020 | March, 2010 |
| 285 | Ministry of Transport | Intelligent Transport Systems Technology Action Plan 2014-2018 | May, 2014 |
| 286 | Ministry of Transport | Safer Journeys: Action Plan 2016–2020 | March, 2016 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|--------|--|--|------------------|
| 287 | Ministry of Transport | Transport Research Strategy | July, 2016 |
| 288 | New Zealand Customs Service | Customs 2020 | September, 2015 |
| 2021 (| GDS Index archived as at 31 December 2015 | ber 2021 | |
| 289 | Department of Conservation | Hector's and Maui's Dolphin Threat Management Plan | August, 2007 |
| 290 | Department of Conservation | Information Systems Strategic Plan | April, 2015 |
| 291 | Department of Corrections | Our Drug and Alcohol Strategy Through to 2020 | March, 2016 |
| 292 | Department of Corrections | Health and Safety Strategy 2016-2020 | May, 2016 |
| 293 | Department of Corrections | Change Lives Shape Futures: Wahine - E rere ana ki te Pae Hou - Women's Strategy | June, 2017 |
| 294 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | National Civil Defence Emergency Management Strategy | March, 2008 |
| 295 | Government Communications Security Bureau | Diversity and Inclusion Strategy 2017-2020 (jointly held between GCSB and NZSIS) | April, 2018 |
| 296 | Land Information New Zealand | Outcomes Framework | December, 2017 |
| 297 | Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Pacific Languages Framework | October, 2012 |
| 298 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Cadmium and New Zealand Agriculture and Horticulture | February, 2011 |
| 299 | Ministry for the Environment | Hitting the Mark - Our Strategic Plan to 2045 | December, 2015 |
| 300 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Oil Emergency Response Strategy | July, 2008 |
| 301 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Plan of Action to Prevent People Trafficking | July, 2009 |
| 302 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy 2011–2016 | NK, 2011 |
| 303 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Investment Attraction Strategy | July, 2015 |
| 304 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | From the Knowledge Wave to the Digital Age – Mai I Te Ao Mātauranga Ki Te Ao Matihiko Nei | July, 2019 |
| 305 | Ministry of Defence | Defence Capability Plan 2016 | November, 2016 |
| 306 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Science | April, 2011 |
| 307 | Ministry of Health | Sexual and Reproductive Health Strategy (1996) | May, 1996 |
| 308 | Ministry of Health | Sexual and Reproductive Health Strategy (2001) | October, 2001 |
| 309 | Ministry of Health | Influenza Pandemic Action Plan 2010 | April, 2010 |
| 310 | Ministry of Health | Whāia Te Ao Mārama 2012 to 2017 - The Māori Disability Action Plan | August, 2012 |
| 311 | Ministry of Health | Kaiāwhina Workforce Action Plan 2015-20 | July, 2015 |
| 312 | Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Public Housing Plan 2018-2022 | August, 2018 |
| 313 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | Māori Housing Network Investment Strategy (2015) | October, 2015 |
| 314 | Ministry of Social Development | E Tu Whānau Programme of Action for Addressing Family Violence 2013 - 2018 | February, 2013 |
| 315 | Ministry of Social Development | Caring for the Carers – He Atawhai i te Hunga Ngākau Oha o Aotearoa | February, 2014 |
| 316 | Ministry of Social Development | Social Housing Investment Strategy 2017/18 | August, 2017 |
| 317 | Ministry of Social Development | Elder Abuse in Aotearoa 2019 | December, 2019 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|--------|--|--|---------------------------|
| 318 | Ministry of Transport | Transport Domain Plan | July, 2016 |
| 319 | Ministry of Transport | Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2018/19-2027/28 | June, 2018 |
| 320 | New Zealand Customs Service | Diversity and Inclusion (2017) | December, 2017 |
| 321 | New Zealand Security Intelligence Service | Diversity and Inclusion Strategy (2018) (jointly held between GCSB and NZSIS) | April, 2018 |
| 322 | Statistics New Zealand | 2018 Census Strategy | September, 2016 |
| 323 | Statistics New Zealand | 2018 Census Data Quality Management Strategy | July, 2017 |
| 324 | Statistics New Zealand | Open Data Action Plan | July, 2017 |
| 325 | Statistics New Zealand | Empowering Agencies to Use Data More Effectively | March, 2018 |
| 326 | Statistics New Zealand | Data Strategy and Roadmap For New Zealand | October, 2018 |
| 327 | The Treasury | He Tirohanga Mokopuna – Statement on the Long-term Fiscal Position (2016) | November, 2016 |
| 2023 (| GDS Index archived as at 31 Decem | ber 2023 | |
| 328 | Department of Conservation | National Education Strategy 2010–2030 | March, 2011 |
| 329 | Department of Conservation | Digital Strategy 2020: Te pae tawhiti whaia kia tata – Navigating to new horizons | March, 2021 |
| 330 | Department of Corrections | National Historic Heritage Strategy 2013 | October-December, 2013 |
| 331 | Department of Corrections | Change Lives Shape Futures: Investing in better mental health for offenders | March, 2017 |
| 332 | Department of Internal Affairs | National Library of New Zealand Digitisation Plan 2019-2022 | August, 2019 |
| 333 | Department of Internal Affairs | Three Waters Reform Programme | July, 2020 |
| 334 | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | Countering terrorism and violent extremism: National strategy overview | February, 2020 |
| 335 | Government Communications Security Bureau | Diversity and Inclusion Strategy 2021–2025 (jointly held between GCSB and NZSIS) | July, 2021 |
| 336 | Inland Revenue Department | Our Corporate Strategy – Customer | May-September, 2016 |
| 337 | Land Information New Zealand | He Whāriki Maurua - Business with Māori Strategy 2013-2017 | September, 2014 |
| 338 | Land Information New Zealand | Topographic Strategy | March, 2015 |
| 339 | Land Information New Zealand | Crown Property Strategy | June, 2018 |
| 340 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Aquaculture Strategy and Five-year Action Plan to Support Aquaculture | April, 2012 |
| 341 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Essential Freshwater (jointly held between MPI and MfE) | October, 2018 |
| 342 | Ministry for Primary Industries | Food Safety 2019–2024 | November, 2019 |
| 343 | Ministry for the Environment | National Implementation Plan Under the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants | December, 2006 |
| 344 | Ministry for the Environment | Waste Strategy | October, 2010 |
| 345 | Ministry for the Environment | Essential Freshwater (jointly held between MPI and MfE) | October, 2018 |
| 346 | Ministry for the Environment | Shared Interests in Freshwater | October, 2018 |
| 347 | Ministry for the Environment | Sustainability Strategy | July, 2020 |
| 348 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Energy Strategy 2011–2021 | August, 2011 |

| 349 | that archived the GDS Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Major Events Strategy: Investing in world class events | NK, 2013 |
|-----|--|---|-----------------|
| 349 | and Employment | Major Events Strategy: Investing in world class events | NK 2013 |
| | Ministry of Dusiness Innovation | | , 20.0 |
| 350 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Pacific Economic Strategy 2015–2021 | August, 2015 |
| 351 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy 2017–2022 | June, 2017 |
| 352 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Government Tourism Strategy (jointly held between DOC and MBIE) | May, 2019 |
| 353 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Small Business Strategy | July, 2019 |
| 354 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Our Employment Strategy | August, 2019 |
| 355 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Economic Plan for a Productive, Sustainable and Inclusive Economy | September, 2019 |
| 356 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Responsibly Delivering Value: A Minerals and Petroleum Resource Strategy for Aotearoa New Zealand 2019–2029 | November, 2019 |
| 357 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Agritech Industry Transformation Plan | July, 2020 |
| 358 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Advanced Manufacturing ITP Scope | July, 2021 |
| 359 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities 2021–2030 (jointly held between LINZ, MPI, MBIE and MFAT) | December, 2021 |
| 360 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Advanced Manufacturing Industry Transformation Plan | March, 2023 |
| 361 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | He Mahere Tiaki Kaimahi – Better Work Action Plan | March, 2023 |
| 362 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Agritech Industry Transformation Plan | June, 2023 |
| 363 | Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | Our Economic Plan | NK |
| 364 | Ministry of Defence | Defence White Paper 2016 | June, 2016 |
| 365 | Ministry of Defence | Strategic Defence Policy Statement 2018 | July, 2018 |
| 366 | Ministry of Education | International Education Strategy - He Rautaki Mātauranga A Ao 2018-2030 | August, 2018 |
| 367 | Ministry of Education | Mahi Aroha: Carers' Strategy Action Plan 2019–2023 (jointly held between MoE, MoH, TPK, MSD and OT) | December, 2019 |
| 368 | Ministry of Education | Action Plan for Pacific Education 2020–2030 | July, 2020 |
| 369 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Opening Doors to China: New Zealand's 2015 Vision | February, 2012 |
| 370 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | ASEAN Partnership: One Pathway to Ten Nations | July, 2013 |
| 371 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Advancing with Australia: New Zealand Inc Australia strategy | NK, 2013 |
| 372 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Our People Strategy | July, 2017 |
| 373 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Diversity and Inclusion Strategy 2018–2028 | June, 2018 |
| 374 | Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | Trade Recovery Strategy | June, 2020 |

| Row | Department (number & name) that archived the GDS | GDS title | Publication date |
|-----|---|---|------------------|
| 375 | Ministry of Health | Reduced Waiting Times for Public Hospital Elective Services | March, 2000 |
| 376 | Ministry of Health | Primary Health Care Strategy | February, 2001 |
| 377 | Ministry of Health | Health of Older People Strategy | April, 2002 |
| 378 | Ministry of Health | Youth Health: A Guide to Action | September, 2002 |
| 379 | Ministry of Health | Suicide Prevention Strategy 2006–2016 | June, 2006 |
| 380 | Ministry of Health | Rising to the Challenge – The Mental Health and Addiction Service Development Plan 2012–2017 | December, 2012 |
| 381 | Ministry of Health | Suicide Prevention Action Plan 2013–2016 | May, 2013 |
| 382 | Ministry of Health | National Health Information Technology Plan Update 2013/14 | November, 2013 |
| 383 | Ministry of Health | 'Ala Mo'ui – Pathways to Pacific Health and Wellbeing 2014–2018 | June, 2014 |
| 384 | Ministry of Health | Disability Support Services Strategic Plan 2014-2018 | June, 2015 |
| 385 | Ministry of Health | Health Strategy 2016 | April, 2016 |
| 386 | Ministry of Health | Pharmacy Action Plan 2016 to 2020 | May, 2016 |
| 387 | Ministry of Health | Strategy to Prevent and Minimise Gambling Harm 2019/20 to 2021/22 | June, 2019 |
| 388 | Ministry of Health | Mahi Aroha: Carers' Strategy Action Plan 2019–2023 (jointly held between MoE, MoH, TPK, MSD and OT) | December, 2019 |
| 389 | Ministry of Health | Tā Tātau Rautaki - Our Strategy | October, 2020 |
| 390 | Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Maihi Ka Ora - The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021–2051 (jointly held between HUD and TPK) | September, 2021 |
| 391 | Ministry of Justice | Our Māori Strategy - Te Haerenga | September, 2017 |
| 392 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | Mahi Aroha: Carers' Strategy Action Plan 2019–2023 (jointly held between MoE, MoH, TPK, MSD and OT) | December, 2019 |
| 393 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | Maihi Ka Ora – The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021–2051 (jointly held between HUD and TPK) | September, 2021 |
| 394 | Ministry of Māori Development— Te Puni Kōkiri | Māori Housing Investment Strategy 2018–19 to 2020–21 | NK, 2018 |
| 395 | Ministry of Social Development | Youth Development Strategy Aotearoa | January, 2002 |
| 396 | Ministry of Social Development | Employment and Social Outcomes Investment Strategy | October, 2018 |
| 397 | Ministry of Social Development | Mahi Aroha: Carers' Strategy Action Plan 2019–2023 (jointly held between MoE, MoH, TPK, MSD and OT) | December, 2019 |
| 398 | Ministry of Social Development | Youth Plan 2020-2022 | July, 2020 |
| 399 | Ministry of Social Development | Social Sector Commissioning | August, 2020 |
| 400 | New Zealand Security Intelligence Service | Diversity and Inclusion Strategy 2021–2025 (jointly held between GCSB and NZSIS) | July, 2021 |
| 401 | Oranga Tamariki - Ministry for Children | Children's Action Plan | October, 2012 |
| 402 | Oranga Tamariki - Ministry for Children | Youth Justice Work Programme 2013–2023 (previously called Youth Crime Action Plan) | October, 2013 |
| 403 | Oranga Tamariki - Ministry for Children | Mahi Aroha: Carers' Strategy Action Plan 2019–2023 (jointly held between MoE, MoH, TPK, MSD and OT) | December, 2019 |
| 404 | Public Service Commission | Leadership Strategy for the State Services | November, 2013 |
| 405 | Public Service Commission | Open Government Partnership: National Action Plan 2016-18 | October, 2016 |
| 406 | The Treasury | He Puna Hao Pātiki – 2018 Investment Statement: Investing for Wellbeing | March, 2018 |

List C: GDSs in operation by jointly held

| Row | GDS title | Department (number & name) | GDS number | Publication date | Sector |
|-----|--|---|------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities | 09. Land Information New Zealand | GDS09-05 | December, 2021 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 2 | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | GDS12-19 | December, 2021 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 3 | Antarctic and Southern Ocean Research Directions and Priorities | 18. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade | GDS18-06 | December, 2021 | External Sector |
| 4 | Charging Our Future: National electric vehicle charging strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | GDS15-16 | October, 2023 | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector |
| 5 | Charging Our Future: National electric vehicle charging strategy | 24. Ministry of Transport | GDS24-13 | October, 2023 | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector |
| 6 | Disability Strategy 2016–2026 | 19. Ministry of Health | GDS19-13 | November, 2016 | Health Sector |
| 7 | Disability Strategy 2016–2026 | 23. Ministry of Social Development | GDS23-01 | November, 2016 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 8 | Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy 2030 | 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples | GDS11-04 | November, 2022 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 9 | Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy 2030 | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | GDS20-06 | November, 2022 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 10 | Health Research Strategy | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | GDS15-06 | June, 2017 | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector |
| 11 | Health Research Strategy | 19. Ministry of Health | GDS19-15 | June, 2017 | Health Sector |
| 12 | Maihi Ka Ora – The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051 | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | GDS20-05 | December, 2021 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 13 | Maihi Ka Ora – The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051 | 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri | GDS22-03 | December, 2021 | Māori Affairs Sector |
| 14 | Mātauranga Whakauka Taiao – Environmental Education for Sustainability | 02. Department of Conservation | GDS02-04 | July, 2017 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 15 | Mātauranga Whakauka Taiao – Environmental Education for Sustainability | 13. Ministry for the Environment | GDS13-03 | July, 2017 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 16 | Nation of Curious Minds – He Whenua Hihiri i te Mahara: A national strategic plan for science in society | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | GDS15-04 | July, 2014 | Education and Workforce Sector |
| 17 | Nation of Curious Minds – He Whenua Hihiri i te Mahara: A national strategic plan for science in society | 17. Ministry of Education | GDS17-01 | July, 2014 | Education and Workforce Sector |
| 18 | National Fuel Plan | 05. Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet | GDS05-04 | March, 2020 | Finance and Government Administration Sector |
| 19 | National Fuel Plan | 15. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment | GDS15-10 | March, 2020 | Economic Development and Infrastructure Sector |
| 20 | National Plan of Action – Seabirds | 02. Department of Conservation | GDS02-12 | May, 2020 | Natural Resources Sector |

| Row | GDS title | Department (number & name) | GDS number | Publication date | Sector |
|-----|---|--|------------|------------------|--|
| 21 | National Plan of Action – Seabirds | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | GDS12-16 | May, 2020 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 22 | New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka Threat Management Plan | 02. Department of Conservation | GDS02-05 | July, 2017 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 23 | New Zealand sea lion/rāpoka Threat Management Plan | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | GDS12-10 | July, 2017 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 24 | Social Sector Commissioning 2022– 2028 Action Plan | 23. Ministry of Social Development | GDS23-18 | October, 2022 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 25 | Social Sector Commissioning 2022– 2028 Action Plan | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | GDS27-05 | October, 2022 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 26 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 03. Department of Corrections | GDS03-04 | December, 2021 | Justice Sector |
| 27 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 17. Ministry of Education | GDS17-09 | December, 2021 | Education and Workforce Sector |
| 28 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 19. Ministry of Health | GDS19-34 | December, 2021 | Health Sector |
| 29 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 21. Ministry of Justice | GDS21-01 | December, 2021 | Justice Sector |
| 30 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri | GDS22-02 | December, 2021 | Māori Affairs Sector |
| 31 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 23. Ministry of Social Development | GDS23-13 | December, 2021 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 32 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | GDS27-03 | December, 2021 | Social Services and Community Sector |
| 33 | Te Aorerekura – The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence | 28. Public Service Commission | GDS28-02 | December, 2021 | Finance and Government Administration Sector |
| 34 | Te Kaweka Takohaka mō te Hoiho | 02. Department of Conservation | GDS02-14 | August, 2020 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 35 | Te Kaweka Takohaka mō te Hoiho | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | GDS12-18 | August, 2020 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 36 | Wilding Conifer Management Strategy | 02. Department of Conservation | GDS02-03 | December 2014 | Natural Resources Sector |
| 37 | Wilding Conifer Management Strategy | 12. Ministry for Primary Industries | GDS12-06 | December 2014 | Natural Resources Sector |

List D: GDSs in operation by merged

| Row | Department/s (number & name) | GDS title | Merged/not merged (and if merged, the reason why) | Overarching GDS (if merged, what strategy is it merged with) | Final 2023 GDS Index number becomes: |
|------|--|--|---|---|---|
| GDSs | held by one departm | ent only (not jointly held) | | | |
| 1 | 04. Department of Internal Affairs | Action Plan for the Digital Strategy for Aotearoa (September, 2022) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan was published alongside the strategy and contains actions that directly relate to the three goals identified in the strategy. | Te Rautaki Matihiko mō Aotearoa – The Digital Strategy for Aotearoa (September, 2022) | GDS04-10 |
| 2 | 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples | Pacific Languages Government Action Plan*(June, 2023) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan outlines how the strategy will be implemented in order to advance the key objectives and vision of the strategy. | Pacific Languages Strategy (August, 2022) | GDS11-02 |
| 3 | 16. Ministry of Defence | Future Force Design Principles (August, 2023) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The Future Force Design Principles acts as a key bridging document between the information outlined in the Defence Policy and Strategy Statement and the investment planning that is to be included in an upcoming Defence Capability Plan (not the one currently included in the 2023 GDS Index). Please note that the Ministry of Defence website as at 19 November 2024 notes that work is under way on options to inform a new Defence Capability Plan as the next phase of the Defence Policy Review. | Defence Policy and Strategy Statement (August 2023) | GDS16-02 |
| 4 | 19. Ministry of Health | Data and Information Strategy for Health and Disability: Roadmap 2021–2024 (November, 2021) [From 2021 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan was published alongside the strategy and contains actions that directly relate to the five focus areas identified in the strategy. | Data and Information Strategy for Health and Disability – He Rautaki Raraunga, He Rautaki Pārongo mō te Pūnaha Hauora, Whaikaha hoki (November, 2021) | GDS19-31 |
| 5 | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Better Later Life Action Plan - He Oranga Kaumātua: Action plan 2021-2024 (September, 2021) [From 2021 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan outlines the actions that will be taken to support the strategy from 2021 to 2024 and is underpinned by the guiding principles of the strategy. As well as developing 25 new actions, the 10 initial actions identified in the strategy are carried forward. | Better Later Life – He Oranga Kaumātua (November, 2019) GDS23–06¹ | GDS23-06 |

| Row | Department/s (number & name) | GDS title | Merged/not merged (and if merged, the reason why) | Overarching GDS (if merged, what strategy is it merged with) | Final 2023 GDS Index number becomes: |
|-------|--|--|--|---|---|
| 6 | 23. Ministry of Social Development | Youth Plan Voice, Leadership, Action: Rolling Suite of Actions (August, 2023) Youth Plan Voice, Leadership, Action: Youth Plan Measurement Framework (August, 2023) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan and the measurement framework were published alongside the strategy. The action plan sets out actions that directly relate to the two identified focus areas that underpin the vision of the strategy. The Measurement Framework provides monitoring and assessment on how the action plan contributes towards the key outcomes of the strategy. | Youth Plan Voice, Leadership, Action: Strategic Framework (August, 2023) | GDS23-19 |
| 7 | 24. Ministry of Transport | International Air Transport Policy (August, 2012) [From 2021 GDS Index] | Merged Both strategies have the same name and were published in the same year. The strategy that was published in May appears to be the main strategy document, whereas the strategy published in August appears to be less comprehensive but contains some overlapping content. | International Air Transport Policy (May, 2012) | GDS24-02 |
| 8 | 24. Ministry of Transport | Te Rautaki Whai-Taunakitanga Waka a Aotearoa – Transport Evidence Base Strategy Implementation Plan (October, 2022) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan outlines a work programme to implement the high-priority initiatives that are identified in the strategy and sets out the actions that need to be taken to achieve the strategy's vision. | Transport Evidence Base Strategy (December, 2019) | GDS24-07 |
| 9 | 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children | Implementing the Oranga Tamariki Action Plan (July, 2022) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The implementation plan was published alongside the strategy and contains actions that directly relate to the six outcomes identified in the strategy. | Oranga Tamariki Action Plan (July, 2022) | GDS27-04 |
| Joint | ly held | | | | |
| 10 | O3. Department of Corrections, 17. Ministry of Education, 19. Ministry of Health, 21. Ministry of Justice, 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri, 23. Ministry of Social Development, 27. Oranga Tamariki – Ministry for Children and 28. Public Service Commission | Action Plan for the National Strategy to Eliminate Family Violence and Sexual Violence (December, 2021) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan was published alongside the strategy and contains actions that directly relate to the six focus areas identified in the strategy. | Te Aorerekura - The Enduring Spirit of Affection: The national strategy to eliminate family violence and sexual violence (December, 2021) | GDS03-04, GDS17-09, GDS19-34, GDS21-01, GDS22-02, GDS23-13, GDS27-03 and GDS28-02 |

| Row | Department/s (number & name) | GDS title | Merged/not merged (and if merged, the reason why) | Overarching GDS (if merged, what strategy is it merged with) | Final 2023 GDS Index number becomes: |
|-----|--|---|--|--|---|
| 11 | 11. Ministry for Pacific Peoples and 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development | Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Action Plan 2030 (November, 2022) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan was published alongside the strategy and contains short- and medium-tolong-term to long-term actions and targets that directly relate to the four priority areas identified in the strategy. | Fale mo Aiga – Pacific Housing Strategy 2030 (November, 2022) | GDS11-04 and GDS20-06 |
| 12 | 20. Ministry of Housing and Urban Development and 22. Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri | Maihi Ka Ora – The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051 [Implementation Plan] (March, 2022) [Addition to the 2023 GDS Index] | Merged The action plan was published alongside the strategy and contains actions that directly relate to the six priority areas identified in the strategy. | Maihi Ka Ora – The National Māori Housing Strategy 2021-2051 [Strategy] (December, 2021) | GDS20-05 and GDS22-03 |

Abbreviations

CLO Crown Law Office

Corrections Department of Corrections

DIA Department of Internal Affairs

DOC Department of Conservation

DPMC Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

ERO Education Review Office

GDS Government department strategy

GCSB Government Communications Security Bureau
HUD Ministry of Housing and Urban Development

IRD Inland Revenue Department
LINZ Land Information New Zealand

MBIE Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

MCH Ministry for Culture and Heritage

MFAT Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade

MFE Ministry for the Environment

MOD Ministry of Defence

MOE Ministry of Education

MOH Ministry of Health

MOJ Ministry of Justice

MOT Ministry of Transport

MFW Ministry for Women

MPI Ministry for Primary Industries
MPP Ministry for Pacific Peoples

MSD Ministry of Social Development NZCS New Zealand Customs Service

NZSIS New Zealand Security Intelligence Service
OT Oranga Tamariki—Ministry for Children

PSC Public Service Commission

SFO Serious Fraud Office

StatsNZ Statistics New Zealand

TPK Ministry of Māori Development—Te Puni Kōkiri

Treasury The Treasury

Glossary

Archived GDS

The department responsible for the GDS has advised it is no longer active.

Occasionally, a department retrospectively advises the Institute of a strategy that should have been included in a previous GDS Index, but is no longer active. In this situation, the GDS is included in the current GDS Index as 'active', then moved immediately to 'archived GDSs'. For this reason, it is not scored, and does not appear in the Handbook.

Basic response (climate intelligence scoring)

The GDS contains some discussion on climate change impacts and/or how it hopes to deliver better climate outcomes in terms of mitigation and/or adaptation but does not explain any detail (e.g. the GDS states it plans to do more research but does not explain what, when or how).

Capabilities

Soft skills (including existing relationships and in-house expertise). See also resources.

Climate intelligence

The GDS contains some form of climate intelligence (i.e. a basic or detailed response to climate change impacts)

Criteria (GDS selection)

The criteria developed by the Institute to determine whether a document is a GDS.

Detailed response (climate intelligence scoring)

The GDS contains a detailed discussion of climate change impacts and how it will deliver better climate outcomes in terms of mitigation and adaptation (e.g. the GDS contains several clear and measurable next steps, explaining what, when and/or how).

Element (Transparency Scorecard)

An element is a characteristic that is considered of primary importance in the publication of a GDS. In the GDS Index, six high-level elements make up the Transparency Scorecard.

Explicit mention of a GDS

This is where either (i) the exact title of the GDS is stated, or (ii) the full title is not given or the subtitle is missing, but the supporting information and/or context makes it clear which GDS is being referred to.

Externally facing strategy (GDS selection)

An externally facing strategy considers matters outside of the general operations of the department.

Good strategy

Determining what makes a good strategy is a matter of judgement. One aim of the GDS Index is to invite departments to be more transparent, so that citizens can make their own assessments on the quality of strategy.

Government department

The term 'government department' refers to the list of entities found in Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Public Service Act 2020. The GDS Index reflects the list in the Schedule in terms of the department's name and order.

Since the 2021 GDS Index, three changes have been made. On 1 July 2022, Te Kāhui Whakamana Rua Tekau mā Iwa—Pike River Recovery Agency was disestablished. In 2024, the Ministry of Regulation and the Social Investment Agency were added to Schedule 2 of the Public Service Act 2020. However, as this was after December 2023, the 2023 GDS Index does not include these two new departments.

Government department strategy (GDS)

A 'government department strategy' must:

- be a publicly available document that is published by a government department
- be a public-facing document that is written for the public to read
- be an externally facing document (i.e. It is not written for internal management purposes)
- be a strategic document that contains long-term thinking (i.e. it sets out the approach (the means) and the purpose (the ends) of the strategy)
- be a national document (i.e. it excludes local and regional strategies)
- be a document that looks forward at least two years or more, and
- 7. not be a corporate document (i.e. not a statement of intent, a four-year plan or an annual report.

Implicit mention of a GDS (Transparency Scorecard)

This is where the GDS is indirectly mentioned in the statement of intent or annual report (i.e. not word for word). The test is if there is some doubt as to whether the strategy is being referred to (e.g. the department's energy strategy).

Internally facing strategy (GDS selection)

A strategy document that focuses solely on the internal management and/or operations of a department (e.g. it focuses on the department's staff diversity and inclusion policies or the sustainability practices related to how the department manages its resources).

Jointly held GDS

A GDS that is owned and managed by two or more departments.

May issue (legislation)

The GDS is a voluntary requirement in legislation. Terms often used are 'may issue', 'may allocate responsibility for', or 'may approve' For example, 'may approve' relates to two fisheries GDSs (GDS12-13 and GDS12-21) whereby the GDS itself says it was developed under the Fisheries Act, but the Fisheries Act says 'May... approve... a fisheries plan', implying that the GDS was developed under the Fisheries Act.

Mention only (climate intelligence scoring)

The GDS mentions climate change and may discuss its impacts, but it does not go into any detail on how it hopes to deliver better climate outcomes in terms of mitigation and adaptation.

Merged (combined)

Where two documents are significantly integrated (e.g. either two strategy documents, or a strategy document and an implementation plan/action plan), they are combined into one PDF and treated as a single GDS.

Narrow scope (scope scoring)

The nature of the subject matter discussed by the GDS relates to a group of individuals or species, or covers a specialised topic (e.g. Hoiho penguin, syphilis).

No mention (climate intelligence scoring)

The GDS does not mention climate change at all.

Points (Transparency Scorecard)

Points are allocated to each sub-element. In the GDS Index there are 21 sub-elements. Seventeen of those are given four points each for a reviewer to score. Two sub-elements (6.2 and 6.3) are allocated six points each. The remaining two (sub-elements 1.3 and 3.3) are allocated eight points each. This additional weighting is recognises the importance of these sub-elements. The highest possible total in the GDS Index is 96 points.

Purpose statement (the ends)

An aspirational future-focused statement that explains in a concise, unique, coherent and specific way what the strategy aims to achieve and provides an impetus for action (e.g. the purpose statement sets out what success looks like).

Rank (Transparency Scorecard)

The rank reflects where a specific GDS, department or sector sits when its Transparency Scorecard totals are compared to the average score of all GDSs, the average score of the department by which it is held, or the average score of its sector.

Rejected

A document is rejected when the Institute and the department conclude it does not meet the criteria, in which case it is never added to the GDS Index. In the rare case of a disagreement, the final decision is the Institute's.

Required (legislation)

The GDS is a mandatory requirement in legislation. Terms often used are 'must' or 'shall'.

Resources

Physical hardware (including physical and financial assets). See also capabilities.

Reviewer (Transparency Scorecard)

A person who is employed by the Institute to read and then score each GDS in operation against the Transparency Scorecard.

Score (Transparency Scorecard)

The number of points a GDS has accumulated as a result of the scoring process.

Sector

The groupings of departments based on the summary tables of the Estimates of Appropriations in the Treasury's Budget (in the 2023 GDS Index, it is the 2023 Budget). The introduction to each volume of the Estimates of Appropriations 2024/25 explains how Votes and departments have been grouped into sectors. The 2023 Budget sector groupings are:

- Economic Development and Infrastructure
- Education and Workforce
- External
- Finance and Government Administration
- Health
- Justice
- Māori Affairs
- Natural Resources
- Social Services and Community

Strategic options

The range of options a government department might explore before deciding on the best approach. Exploring a range of strategic options often leads to a new and improved approach.

Strategy map

A visual illustration of the proposed strategy, usually on one page, showing the cause-and-effect relationships between the desired purpose and the choices made on how to achieve the strategy (e.g. types of goals/priorities/themes/actions capabilities/resources).

Strategy statement (the means)

The 'means' to an end. The unique approach a department has chosen to adopt to bring about change. It describes the choices made.

Sub-element (Transparency Scorecard)

In the GDS Index there are 21 sub-elements shared across six elements.

Transparency Scorecard

The lens through which each GDS has been assessed. The Transparency Scorecard is made up of six elements and 21 sub-elements.

Wide scope (scope scoring)

The nature of the subject matter discussed by the GDS relates to a broad range of demographics or species, and/or covers a generalised topic (e.g. seabirds, climate change).

Generally GDSs with a wide scope tend to be more strategic and high-level than narrow scope strategies. For example, a GDS focusing on the wellbeing of all New Zealanders (which would be considered to have a wide scope) may lead to development of a more specific strategy, such as a strategy focusing on the wellbeing of New Zealanders in aged care (which would be considered narrow in scope).



